


BOOK REVIEW

Undone science and the vaccine racket



PAGE 4

INTERNATIONAL

Battle to hold Trusted News Initiative to account



PAGE 6

OPINION

Misinformation war over 5G risks



PAGE 7

INTERNATIONAL

15 minute cities: 30 years in the making



PAGE 9

THE LIGHT



AUSTRALIA

PEOPLE-FUNDED
NEWSPAPER

ISSUE 8

The Uncensored Truth

thelightraustralia.com

FREE

Impending disaster in the global aviation industry – See Page 5

Misinfo bill signals regression to Soviet-era censorship

By **REBEKAH BARNETT**

THE Australian government's proposed new laws to crack down on misinformation and disinformation have drawn intense criticism for their potential to restrict free expression and political dissent, paving the way for a digital censorship regime reminiscent of Soviet Lysenkoism.

Under the draft legislation, the Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA) will gain considerable expanded regulatory powers to “combat misinformation and disinformation”, which ACMA says poses a “threat to the safety and wellbeing of Australians, as well as to our democracy, society and economy.”

Digital platforms will be required to share information with ACMA on demand and implement stronger systems and processes for handling of misinformation and disinformation.

ACMA will be empowered to devise and enforce digital codes with a “graduated set of tools” including civil penalties, with fines of up to \$550,000 (individuals) and \$2.75 million (corporations). Criminal penalties, including imprisonment, may apply in extreme cases.

Controversially, the government will be exempt from the proposed laws, as will professional news outlets, meaning that ACMA will not require platforms to police misinformation and disinformation disseminated by official government or news sources.

Faced with the threat of penalty, digital platforms will play it safe. This means that for the purposes of content moderation, platforms will treat the official position as the

‘true’ position, and contradictory information as ‘misinformation.’

Some platforms already do this. For example, YouTube recently removed a video of MP John Ruddick’s maiden speech to the New South Wales parliament on the grounds that it contained ‘medical misinformation’, which YouTube defines as any information that, “contradicts local health authorities’ or the World Health Organization’s (WHO) medical information about Covid-19.” Under ACMA’s proposed laws digital platforms will be compelled to take a similar line.

The potential for this circular definition of misinformation to escalate the censorship of true information and valid expression on digital platforms is obvious.

Free expression has traditionally been considered essential to the functioning of liberal democratic societies, in which claims to truth are argued out in the public square. Under ACMA’s bill, the adjudication of what is (and is not) misinformation and disinformation will fall to ‘fact-checkers’, AI and other moderation tools employed by digital platforms.

But the assumption that such tools are capable of correctly adjudicating claims to truth is misguided. ‘Fact-checkers’ routinely make false claims and rely on logical fallacies in lieu of parsing evidence. In US court proceedings, ‘fact-checker’ claims are protected under the First Amendment, confirming that the edicts of ‘fact-checkers’ are just opinion.

Recent reporting on the gaming of social media moderation tools, most notably from the Twitter Files and the Facebook Files, shows that they comprise a powerful apparatus for promoting false

narratives and suppressing true information, with significant real-world impacts.

ACMA seeks to curtail expression under the proposition that misinformation and disinformation can cause ‘harm’, but the scope is extraordinarily broad. A shopping list of potential harms includes: identity-based hatred; disruption of public order or society; harm to democratic processes; harm to government institutions; harm to the health of Australians; harm to the environment; economic or

financial harm to Australians or to the economy.

The overly broad definition of harm makes enforcement of the proposed laws inherently subjective and likely to result in a litany of court cases – to the benefit of lawyers and the institutionally powerful, but to the detriment of everyone else. Moreover, the inclusion of ‘disrupting public order’ in the definition of harm could be used to stop legitimate political protests.

ACMA says that the proposed laws aren’t intended to infringe on the right to protest, yet the erosion of protest rights during Covid lockdowns, particularly in Victoria, proves that politicians and bureaucrats are prone to take great latitude where the law allows it.

In the past, extreme censorship has led to mass casualty events, such as the Soviet famine of the 1930s brought on by Lysenkoism. Biologist Trofim Lysenko’s unscientific agrarian policies were treated as gospel by Stalin’s censorious Communist regime. It is reported that thousands of dissenting scientists were dismissed, imprisoned, or executed for their efforts to challenge Lysenko’s policies. Up to 10 million lives were lost in the resultant famine – lives that could have been saved had the regime allowed the expression of viewpoints counter to the official position.

History tells us that censorship regimes never end well, though it may take a generation for the deadliest consequences to play out. The draft legislation is now under review following a period of public consultation. Hopefully, the Australian government will take the historical lesson and steer Australia off this treacherous path.

Contact your MP and tell them you reject proposed new AMCA powers.

<https://tinyurl.com/me8f5e6u> or scan the QR code

Rebekah Barnett reports from Western Australia. She holds a BA in Communications. Find her work at *Dystopian Down Under* <https://rebekahbarnett.substack.com/>



ARTWORK: FRANK MADRANA

**THE LIGHT AUSTRALIA
SEPTEMBER 2023**

Reporting honest independent news and information mainstream media ignores.

Original content is © 2023
www.thelightpaper.co.uk and www.thelightaustralia.com

For all volunteers and distribution enquiries, please go to our website www.thelightaustralia.com/distribute

For advertising enquiries, please go to our website www.thelightaustralia.com/advertise

If you'd like this paper in your business, please go to our website www.thelightaustralia.com/contact advising your details for contact

If you'd like to order the paper, please go to our website www.thelightaustralia.com/order-the-paper

To keep our paper FREE, is not free. Please help by donating on our website www.thelightaustralia.com/donate

This newspaper is proudly brought to you by a dedicated group of hardworking volunteers.

Special thanks to:

- The Light Paper UK for their generous support in allowing us to bring the newspaper down under and use of their articles.
- All the graphic designers, relay drivers, distribution hubs, online orders and volunteers who have worked tirelessly to bring you the uncensored truth.

ISSUE 7 CIRCULATION - 235,000 COPIES

THE LIGHT AUSTRALIA NEWSPAPER LTD -ABN 47 667 527 559

ATTENTION READERS

The Light Australia never allows inserts, attachments or leaflets to be inserted into our newspaper. If you find these, please disregard them.

MUSIC FOR THE PEOPLE

Oliver Anthony tops Spotify and iTunes charts

Listen to "Rich men north of Richmond"

<https://tinyurl.com/k4xteaz6> or scan QR

Photo by iStock.com/Luciano_Queiroz




"No one pretends that democracy is perfect or all-wise. Indeed, it has been said that democracy is the worst form of government except for all those other forms that have been tried from time to time."

Winston Churchill 1874 - 1965

Q 2030:

Jane and Jamal want to buy some lab-grown apples before the 5pm curfew. The apples cost 4 digi-credits each. Jane has 12 credits but Jamal only has 3.




Jane wants to buy an apple for Jamal. However, Jane's account has been frozen because she criticised the government in an online post.

Do you really want this future for your children?


© THE DEMOCRACY FUND

Image courtesy of Bob Moran - <https://bobmoran.co.uk>

STILL WORSHIPPING THE GREAT TV GOD ...



Images courtesy Steve Hunter Illustrations



Source: <https://tinyurl.com/3a36d2ep>

WA Aboriginal Cultural Heritage laws to be repealed: but for how long?

By **PROFESSOR AUGUSTO ZIMMERMANN** and **DR JOHN GIDEON HARTNETT**

The Western Australian Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act went into effect on July 1st 2023.

HOWEVER, on August 8th 2023, 39 days later, on the steps of the WA Parliament, the Aboriginal Affairs minister, Tony Buti, announced that his government would immediately write new legislation to repeal the Act.

“Put simply, the laws went too far, were too prescriptive, too complicated, and placed unnecessary burdens on everyday Western Australian property owners,” Premier Roger Cook confessed.

This official announcement was made after about 2 months of intense pressure from the state’s farming, mining and pastoralist industries over concerns the new laws were confusing and difficult to implement. Both the premier, Roger Cook, and Tony Buti, apologised to the many farmers and business owners gathered there to protest the onerous laws. In reality, these laws would effectively drive many farmers off the land.

The decision to repeal these laws will make it the fastest any parliamentary Act has ever been repealed in the history of state politics in Australia. This might sound like a victory for the people of Western Australia, and in the short term it is, but we suspect



Photo by iStock.com/FiledIMAGE

that that is not the whole story. Arguably, Labor found it impossible to manage the outcry caused by such an oppressive intervention on the property rights of landowners. The WA government has suffered a significant 22.5% swing against them in the “safe” Labor seat of the former premier Mark McGowan in the July 29th by-election.

A few days ago, one of us was speaking to an agricultural supply agent who said he had had 16 years dealing with farmers on the land. The farmers had expressed concerns that the backflip on the state laws is because of two reasons.

Firstly, the federal government has recognised that the WA Indigenous cultural heritage laws were the main cause of people waking up to the damage that will be inflicted on the nation if the soon-coming referendum on the “Voice to Parliament” is successful. The NO vote has been gaining momentum in every state and now eclipses the YES vote.

In other words, not only were these laws threatening the return of the Labor Party in the next state election, but they were also

“Put simply, the laws went too far, were too prescriptive, too complicated, and placed unnecessary burdens on everyday Western Australian property owners,” Premier Roger Cook confessed.

undermining the prospects of the “Voice to Parliament” referendum being successful. Many reasonably fear that these laws would be just a glimpse of what “The Voice to Parliament” might enshrine in the Australian Constitution.

Secondly, the federal Labor government, led by Prime Minister Anthony Albanese, has its own federal Indigenous laws that it wants to pass, but only after a successful vote on the “Voice” referendum. These new federal laws would replace the state Indigenous law anyway. Thus, the repeal of the controversial legislation in WA is just political manoeuvring.

These indigenous cultural laws essentially create an apartheid state

with “rent” paid to the Indigenous landowners, ostensibly. According to Tony Seabrook, president of the Pastoralists and Grazers Association in Western Australia, the WA Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act “was really starting to stir up racial divisions. It should never have happened.”

Curiously, both the WA Liberals and the National Party failed their rural members by initially supporting Labor in this outrageous legislation. To make it worse, on April 5th, the WA Liberal leader, Libby Mettam, challenged the federal Liberal position to the Voice to Parliament by saying she would vote ‘YES’. She now says she regrets to have

supported the Voice, but one must wonder how incompetent a leader might be to support a proposal that would radically change the egalitarian nature of the Constitution.

Australians are led to believe institutionalising inequality will promote equality and that constitutionally enshrining separateness will reinforce national unity. But let’s not be fooled here. As Senator Jacinta Nampijinpa Price correctly points out, this is about entrenching racial separatism and “the idea that Aboriginal people are perpetual victims forever in the need of special measures.”

Don’t think our Indigenous peoples will benefit from a “Voice” to Parliament. They will be as much pawns in this manoeuvring as anyone else in Australia. The reality is that constitutionally enshrining “the Indigenous Voice” reaffirms difference at the very moment when we should be trying to establish equality, and leads, in the name of alleged anti-racism, back to the old commitments connected with race or ethnicity.

To conclude, politicians who engineer these divisive laws are leading us down that dark corridor on the basis of race – thus prolonging the sorry history of racial difference. The ultimate goal is to divide our country into lines of culture, origin and ethnicity, which obviously facilitates the attainment of more power and control by the ruling classes. We can only guess the level of hatred and division that the so-called “Voice to Parliament” will generate, if passed.

■ **Dr John Gideon Hartnett BSc (hon) PhD**

■ **Prof Augusto Zimmermann PhD, LLB, LLM, CIARB, DipEd**

Access to cash must be preserved in the Constitution

AUSTRIANS are fearful of living without cash in their pocket. Cash to transact daily purchases. Cash to ensure anonymity in those transactions. They understand that physical cash, issued by the government’s treasury department is essential to freedom. It is the people’s money, non-interest bearing and not created by a bank in a bank loan, thus not interest bearing.

Two weeks ago, the Chancellor of Austria, Karl Nehammer, said “More and more people are worried that cash could be restricted as a means of payment in Austria.” He went on to say “In Austria alone, €47 billion (nearly [USD] \$52 billion) is withdrawn from ATMs every year and, on average, every Austrian carries €102 in cash.”

He also pointed out that, in Austria, 67% of payments under €20 (about US \$22) are made with cash.

He has proposed that the



country must enshrine the use of cash in its national constitution, otherwise citizens may lose the right to use paper money and coins.

Nehammer also said “Cash is elementary as a means of payment. It is important that we create an unambiguous legal framework in order to secure it.”

He appointed Finance Minister Magnus Brunner to work on implementing the plan. Additionally, a roundtable assembling relevant ministries,

industry representatives and the national bank will be held in September to determine the best way to make it happen.

European states, including Austria and Germany in particular, remain suspicious of using credit and debit cards and are still using cash much more than other nations, particularly for smaller, everyday items.

■ <https://boomfinanceandconomics.substack.com/>

Undone science and the vaccine racket

A book review by
JERRY ROBERTS

During the Cold War, protesters fearful of nuclear annihilation marched with Ban the Bomb placards.

UNFORTUNATELY, powerful nations are unlikely to stop making bombs, just as they are equally unlikely to shut down laboratories where scientists play with gain-of-function research and biological weaponry.

What then is a realistic baseline goal for a world population that is oppressed by authoritarian governments and medical professionals who are under the thumb of a cartel known as Big Pharma?

Dr Judy Wilyman answers this question in the last words of the final appendix to her remarkable book, *Vaccination - Australia's Loss of Health Freedom - A Critical Analysis of the Australian Government's Rationale for its Vaccination Policy*:

"The health of Australians can only be protected by maintaining our right to bodily autonomy


and having choice in all health interventions. It cannot be protected by giving that right to the government and losing the right to decide what is injected into our bodies and those of our children."

Judy Wilyman's book was published in 2015, years before the Covid-19 project. The author calmly traces the erosion of medical ethics, scientific standards and political transparency that has turned Australia's health system into a ruthless racket.

The work was originally written as a successful PhD thesis, an academic badge that is small reward for such a massive work of scholarship. It is a frightening book for readers, including this reviewer, who were ignorant of the appalling corruption and prostitution of corporate medicine and science, until the tragedy of the Covid injection mandates woke us up.

Chapters are devoted to detailed case studies of jabs for HPV (Human Papillomavirus) and the so-called Swine Flu of 2009, noting that it would not have been possible to declare a "pandemic" if the definition of that word had not been changed. Thus, the book provided one of many ominous foretastes of what lay ahead regarding the world's Covid hysteria.

Central to the mounting catastrophe of contemporary public health is the inexcusable failure to follow basic rules that can be summarised in two words – undone



science, which is defined by Dr Wilyman as "research that is not conducted because institutionalised barriers are constructed in the political process to prevent it from being done."

Lay readers will find the guide to the abbreviations used in the book handy. One that caught my eye was GAVI – The Global Alliance for Vaccines Initiative. A turning point Dr Wilyman identifies was the 1978 Conference of Alma-Ata in Kazakhstan, where the World Health Organisation tried to promote a general lifting of clean water supplies, nutrition and community involvement, but was outmanoeuvred by the Rockefeller Foundation's focus on vaccination programmes for economic efficiency.

"Ultimately the price that is paid for adopting public-private sponsorship of global health policies and the academic-industry model for research institutions is an increased risk to the health of populations because the profit motive contaminates the search for knowledge. This health model is a faith-based system and not an evidence-based system because it is dependent on trade secrets and political decisions that are based on biases that are not transparent to the public," Dr Wilyman writes.

Judy (aka Dr Wilyman) has the ability to speak both to the lay reader, like this reviewer, and the specialist scientist. For example: "Modern science has progressed by selecting some causes and ignoring others ... Reductionist theories over-simplify and encourage the lay person to believe in 'magic bullets' as cures or preventions for disease ..."

"The political, epistemological and economic conditions of the time influence which theories about infectious disease control are adopted in public policy and the relationship between the individual and the State."

The standard history curriculum for high school students of my vintage was the British 19th century parliamentary model, taking us from Wilberforce and the abolition of slavery to Grey's electoral reform, and on to Lord Shaftsbury's sponsorship of improved working

conditions and public health.

Dr Wilyman's historical perspective looks at the 20th century in two halves. "The Australian government's public health policy up to 1950 was a holistic approach that emphasised prevention through nutrition and environmental changes."

She notes the increasing influence on Australian policy from the 1970s via the World Health Organisation (WHO) and United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), and the basic clash of logic when the Australian government stepped up its national vaccination programme in the 1990s, even though most Australian children were not at serious risk of any infectious diseases.

Today, Dr Wilyman continues her tireless campaign around the country and educates us on the need for integrity in science and medical practice. There is an urgency in her timetable because the International Health Regulations promoted by the United Nations through the WHO currently threaten to bring us all under a global medical tyranny.

■ *Judy's book can be purchased through her website [Vaccination Decisions www.vaccinationdecisions.net](http://www.vaccinationdecisions.net)*

■ *Jerry Roberts is a West Australian journalist*

Why 'anti-vaxxer' shuts down debate

By **RICHARD HOUSE**
PhD, C.PSYCHOL

'When one's only tool is a syringe, the world becomes a pin-cushion.'
(with apologies to Abraham Maslow)

THAT impeccable paragon of scientifically objective, non-politicised and vested-interest-free medical information, the World Health Organisation, has called the 'anti-vaxxer' movement 'one of the top ten threats to global health'.

This view is presumably based on their claim that vaccines are a hugely successful public-health intervention, and that their much-vaunted 'Decade of the Vaccine' will be a beneficent good for our health.

It's time to subject the 'anti-vaxxer' trope to analytical scrutiny. Its etymological origins

are unclear, so perhaps a more productive approach is to work backwards from the effects of this squalid term, and thence deduce some possible reasons for its cultural deployment.

Having been repeatedly subjected to this offensive term in my street campaigning, and having thought deeply how (if at all) to respond, it's clear that the term is deeply connected to hate and victimhood, and to the closing-down of thinking.

In Kleinian psychoanalytic terms, hate is a pervasive phenomenon to which we're all subject; it's one that's ripe for psychological projection onto a 'hate-object', whose identity can easily be manipulated into existence when fear and death anxiety are skilfully deployed.

The powerful dynamics of blaming are also deeply implicated in the 'anti-vaxxer' trope. In terms of the archetypal 'Drama Triangle' of Persecutor-Victim-Rescuer from Humanistic Psychology, the incessant mainstream propaganda narrative has cleverly created huge numbers of unwitting Victims of the 'deadly', 'Persecutory' virus;



and once Victims have been thus manufactured, they will likely rage at anyone who threatens the raison d'être of their potentially life-saving Rescuer – the 'vaccine'. When it's experienced as literally a matter of life and death, the very first casualty will be the capacity to think. Anyone who's been subjected to anti-vaxxer 'othering' and demonisation will have observed how the use of the term seems to render its deployers incapable of thinking beyond the trope's confines – and therefore also incapable of even discussing

its legitimacy and origins as a descriptor.

Tempting as it might be simply to call them 'vaxxers' back, sinking to their level isn't very useful. It is helpful to realise, though, that 'anti-vaxx' can only exist as a notion because of 'vaxx' itself. That is, it is those who believe that Russian Roulette techno-medical intrusions are a good idea – thus waging a war against nature – who have themselves created the 'anti-vaxx' phenomenon, not those who believe in natural living and

natural immunity.

What is perhaps most concerning, however, is the way in which a kind of uncritical 'vaccine-consciousness' seems to have completely colonised the psyches of so many people (including scientists and politicians) – and often, people who by any measure would be seen as 'intelligent'. Big Pharma and their criminal accomplices have been working away at this colonisation of the psyche for decades, with their zombie-science lies about the beneficence of vaccines, and their control of the mainstream narrative, laced as it is with fear and victim-manufacturing propaganda. This explains why their clever programming of the 'anti-vaxxer' trope into so many people's psyches has, to date, been so effective.

It is in the naming of these dynamics and encouraging people with any capacity left for open-mindedness to think about them, that the cultural defusing of this obnoxious name-calling trope offers most hope.

■ *independentviewpoints.net*

Impending disaster in the global aviation industry

By **CAPTAIN SHANE MURDOCK**

Mandating Covid-19 injectables for pilots and the aviation industry at large was a massive mistake.

COERCING aircrews to take the dangerous Covid-19 jabs or lose their jobs has placed the global aviation industry on the precipice of disaster.

I am a victim of the world's airlines mandated "vaccination" policies, having been terminated for "serious misconduct" due to not being injected.

My expertise in aviation safety and decades spent piloting commercial flights has given me a clear view of what has happened to this industry since 2020 – and what will happen if we do not take urgent remedial action.

Let's start by looking at a model of accident causation that most in the aviation industry will be familiar with: "James Reason's Model of Accident Causation". This simple model likens human systems in aviation to multiple slices of Swiss cheese, stacked side by side, so risks are mitigated by defences that are "layered" behind each other.

Aviation is now poised for disaster because holes in six slices of the industry's "Swiss cheese" have aligned:

Slice #1: Mandated mRNA jabs, globally introduced, with little data.

Slice #2: Complicit regulators and authorities, wilfully blind to the risks.

Slice #3: Airlines incentivised by government inducements to comply.

Slice #4: Incompetent regulators who ignore the danger signals.



Slice #5: Aircrew coerced to take jabs by "no jab, no job" rules.

Slice #6: Adverse reactions or deaths from the jabs amongst aircrew.

It is no longer a matter of if but when these aligned holes will lead to disaster. Each mitigator has been breached. A catastrophe is inevitable. The failure to observe safety mechanisms since 2020 means the legal liabilities will be huge. All who have been complicit must be held to account.

Three sets of data substantiate this claim. The data, when correlated, prove there is a problem that will lead to disasters. Investigators know there are always missing pieces of the puzzle. In aviation, the missing piece of evidence is proof that the Covid-19 jabs are causing the harms.

Data point 1: After the introduction of mRNA jabs for



ANALYSIS OF THE INTRODUCTION ON mRNA JABS FOR COVID-19

- 1 ALL CAUSES MORTALITY IN AUSTRALIA**
 2020 - 141,116 DEATHS
 2021 - 149,486 DEATHS
 2022 - 190,394 DEATHS
- 2 IN-FLIGHT EMERGENCIES**
 In 2022, mayday calls increased by **272%**
 In the first three months of 2023, the increase was **386%**
- 3 MORE MULTI-CREW LICENCES ISSUED**
 From FY 2021/22 to FY22/23, there was a **200%** increase in the number of restricted/limited pilot licences issued by CASA.

GRAPHIC: F MAIORANA

Covid-19 in Australia in 2021, there was an enormous spike in "all causes mortality": In 2020, there were 141,116 deaths; in 2021, there were 149,486 deaths; and in 2022, there were 190,394 deaths.

The increase in 2022 is like six Boeing 737s crashing and killing all on board each week throughout the year!

Data point 2: When aircraft

squawk 7700 on their transponders (i.e. make "mayday calls") it alerts Air Traffic Control that the aircraft is in imminent danger of crashing or a significant threat or danger exists to the aircraft.

Very few scenarios warrant a mayday; examples are an uncontrollable fire, or an incapacitated pilot. Data shows a drastic increase in mayday calls within the last two years. In 2022,

there was an increase of 272% in 7700 squawks. In the first three months of 2023, the increase was 386% – a staggering and unprecedented increase.

Data point 3: The Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) administers medical certification and licensing for all pilots in Australia. CASA can endorse a Pilot Licence with a limitation to restrict operations to a

multi-crew environment only. This happens to pilots when their medical status shows a possibility that they may become incapacitated whilst piloting. The data shows a substantial increase in licence limitations from FY21/22 to FY22/23 of over 200% – a massively significant increase.

It is extremely late in the day to stave off what is coming, but there is one last opportunity, in my view.

Insurers who are the greatest at risk financially can mitigate their risks by amending their underwriting contracts with the stipulation that at least one pilot in every airline cockpit must be unvaccinated. This will help prevent financial and human losses from an airliner crash.

However, flight crews who may unknowingly have subclinical medical issues could be at risk of a serious adverse reaction at any time. A shocking number of pilots have 'suddenly' lost their lives or their health since receiving the injections. If they are not subject to adequate medical screening, they will remain an unknown risk to their own lives and the lives of passengers and citizens on the ground.

The ICAO booklet on aviation medicine discusses "new medicines to market," and states that pilots should not take any new medicines on the market, unless they have been fully approved and available in the free market for 12 months. It is deeply perplexing to me that CASA in Australia has chosen to let pilots be injected with unapproved medications.

I demand that there be a cessation of the Covid-19 shots and a high-level investigation into the jab itself, plus the mandates, and then create appropriate compensation structures for all jab-injured pilots. Furthermore, extensive medical testing that accurately identifies both clinical and sub-clinical adverse reactions in pilots needs to be implemented immediately. The cost of these tests must be borne by the employers that mandated their employees get jabbed.

If my demands are not met urgently, disasters will occur and both aircrew and the travelling public will die unnecessarily.

Listen to interview on TNT Radio <https://tinyurl.com/3bwk595u>

Read full report <https://tinyurl.com/yc53jkk5>

Captain Shane Murdock, M. Aviation (Human Factors), (Swinburne Aviation), Cert. Human Factors for Aviation Investigators, (ATSB), Cert. Air Accident Investigation, (Uni. Southern California), Airline Transport Pilot Licence Holder for 42 years, over 22,000 flying hours experience, inc Airline Captain for 31 yrs, most recently for Virgin Australia for the past 20 years.

Battle to hold Trusted News Initiative to account

By SERENA WYLDE

Legal hearing will challenge global media censorship

IN March 2020, the BBC launched an industry partnership with other media groups to stifle independent news sites.

The sites were providing increasing amounts of alternative content to a broadening readership.

And so the Trusted News Initiative (TNI) was born to combat this and, along with the BBC, includes The Associated Press (AP), Agence-France Press (AFP), Reuters, Canadian Broadcasting Corp (CBC), Australian Broadcasting Corporation (ABC), European Broadcasting Union, Facebook, Financial Times, Google/YouTube, Microsoft, Twitter and The Washington Post.

The TNI's aim, as stated in memos seen by Children's Health Defense (CHD), was to destroy independent voices by labelling any stories which departed from the 'trusted news' narratives as 'misinformation' - and to deny them access to the social media sites essential to their functioning.

Its reason was that competition from independent news outlets posed a direct threat to the members' commercial interests,



and was undermining trust in the larger networks.

The stratagem was an 'early warning system' that identified individuals and outlets disseminating information that the TNI did not approve of, with immediate notification to all partners. Partners would then act in concert to discredit the material, while Big Tech removed such voices and opinions from their platforms.

Otherwise known as a cartel, this practice is prohibited in the U.S. under antitrust laws.

Thus, in January, CHD filed a case in Texas on behalf of a group of plaintiffs that includes Robert F. Kennedy Jr., alleging violation of The Sherman Antitrust Act, and of the First Amendment of the Constitution, although the First Amendment does not ensure private entities are under the same obligation as the state to uphold free speech.

The lead attorney for the plaintiffs, Jed Rubinfeld, explained that the first major hurdle to overcome would likely be a motion to dismiss the case. Powerful defendants can hire teams of lawyers to outspend and exhaust plaintiffs to prevent a case from ever coming to court, and this tactic would have to be meticulously and painstakingly fought because, if a motion to dismiss fails, the case proceeds to the discovery phase, when documentary trails of communications and secret

agreements are prised into the light.

In fact, the defendants moved to have the case transferred to a different forum, and on May 12, Judge Matthew J. Kacsmaryk mistakenly transferred the case prematurely, before the June 18 deadline for the plaintiffs to submit their objections to the transfer.

As a result, CHD withdrew the case, and on May 31 filed it in Louisiana. Kennedy is no longer a plaintiff in the case but he is acting as volunteer legal counsel.

So why is this an important development?

- *The case is now before U.S. District Judge Terry Doughty, who is already examining three key free speech cases, all of which raise similar issues of censorship, and means he is well versed in the subject matter and equipped to preside over a case against several of the world's largest news organisations.*

- *The somewhat aptly-named Judge Doughty will be the arbiter of whether to grant any new request to have the case transferred to another forum, and will determine whether the case has the merits to proceed or not.*

- *The plaintiffs have requested a trial by jury, as the magnitude of censorship and resulting harm to the populace at large demands that The People themselves decide the case, the outcome of which will not easily be censored.*

- <https://tinyurl.com/4e8r68uk>

No evidence that depression is caused by a chemical imbalance

By PAUL BENNETT

RESEARCHERS from University College London (UCL) have published eye-opening findings that may create a seismic shift in how we view and treat depression.

Joanna Moncrieff, lead author of the study, and professor of psychiatry at University College London, said: "It is always difficult to prove a negative, but I think we can safely say that after a vast amount of research conducted over several decades, there is no convincing evidence that depression is caused by serotonin abnormalities, particularly by lower levels or reduced activity of serotonin.

"The popularity of the 'chemical imbalance' theory of depression has coincided with a huge increase in the use of

antidepressants.

"Thousands of people suffer from side-effects of antidepressants, including the severe withdrawal effects that can occur when people try to stop them, yet prescription rates continue to rise."

Co-author of the research, Dr Mark Horowitz, reacted to their findings by saying: "I had been taught that depression was caused by low serotonin in my psychiatry training, and had even taught this to students in my own lectures. Being involved in this research was eye-opening and feels like everything I thought I knew has been flipped upside down. It is high time to inform the public that this belief is not grounded in science."

The UCL team also highlighted that many studies found that precipitating external factors, such as stressful life events, can increase the likelihood of depressive features. More

pluralistic treatment options, such as psychotherapy, alongside practices such as exercise, self-care, and mindfulness, or addressing underlying perpetuating factors such as poverty, stress and loneliness, can greatly help with low mood, said the researchers.

Dr Horowitz said: "One interesting aspect in the studies we examined was how strong an effect life events played in depression, suggesting low mood is a response to people's lives, and cannot be boiled down to a simple chemical equation. We believe the situation has been driven partly by the false belief that depression is due to a chemical imbalance."

The ground-breaking umbrella peer-review meticulously examined all relevant studies and research published on depression and serotonin. The detailed review can be found in the scientific journal *Molecular Psychiatry*,

under the title: 'The serotonin theory of depression: a systematic umbrella review of evidence'.

The professor and consultant psychiatrist, Moncrieff, also questioned the efficacy of antidepressants by stating: "Our view is that patients should not be told that depression is caused by low serotonin or by a chemical imbalance, and they should not be led to believe that antidepressants work by targeting these unproven abnormalities. We do not understand what antidepressants are doing to the brain exactly, and giving people this sort of misinformation prevents them from making an informed decision about whether to take antidepressants or not."

Irving Kirsch, an American psychologist, researcher and academic, discussed the efficacy of antidepressants in his 2009 book called: *The Emperor's New Drugs - Exploding the Antidepressant*

Myth. He argued that the chemical imbalance theory of depression is wrong, and that antidepressants have minimal therapeutic effect on depression, but because of their common or serious side-effects, antidepressants can be powerful active placebos.

Antidepressant drugs rank third in pharmaceutical sales worldwide. According to a report from Fortune Business Insights, the market is projected to reach U.S. \$18.29 billion by 2027.

It is high time that enlightened health professionals and service users questioned the psychiatric and Big Pharma industry over its inaccuracies, pseudoscience, and reliance on popping pills.

'Still III', a 1984 song by the band The Smiths, asked the curious question that we should all be asking: "Does the body rule the mind, or does the mind rule the body?"

Misinformation war over 5G risks

By **ROGER COMERFORD**

'Captured agencies' are forcing wireless radiation on the public

ALLEGEDLY, any concerns or claims about the risks of 5G technology are 'misinformation'.

But just what is misinformation? And have the government, health and regulatory services that are meant to keep us safe ever failed us before? Have they ever peddled information that subsequently was proved not only to be false, but dangerous?

The harmful effects of asbestos were first identified 100 years before it was banned. There are a litany of other examples, from tower block 'fireproof' cladding to our sewage-soaked seas - the list goes on and on. It is difficult to argue that we live in a climate where health checks are more important than profit.

Sometimes, we need to remind ourselves that not all truths are hidden behind a veil of secrecy; some are there in plain sight. Who could conclude that safety procedures and regulatory supervision operate smoothly and effectively with honesty, integrity and accountability? Who in their right mind would conclude there is no corruption, and there are no lapses, or vested interests that care little for our collective health, beyond weasel words and platitudes?

Enquiring minds continue to be deterred from investigating



Image: Counterpoint Research

risks, lest they be targeted by an apparently socially engineered stigma of ridicule. Consider the mainstream disdain for even questioning the issue of 5G and wireless radiation - an issue that literally and figuratively slowly wraps around us all.

Kent Chamberlin PhD, touring the UK from the U.S., knows more than most. Before rising to be Professor & Chair of the Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering at University of New Hampshire, he was taught as a young faculty member to prioritise designs that were faster and used less power - but never urged to consider less radiation.

His 2021 New Hampshire Commission has proven a go-to source of peer-reviewed scientific information for those fighting 5G masts and antennas in their local communities. The Commission included experts from the fields of physics, toxicology, electromagnetics, epidemiology, biostatistics, occupational health

medicine, public health policy, business and law. They relied upon peer-reviewed papers and the regulatory agencies' own information, and they concluded that wireless telecommunication antennas needed to be placed at least 500m from residences, parks, playgrounds, hospitals, nursing homes, day care centres and schools.

It is a familiar story of modern times. Local Republicans and Democrats sensed an issue more important than their old tribal divisions, and the New Hampshire Commission initially secured bipartisan support. The Commission also ruffled feathers, as top experts were discussing inconvenient possibilities.

Corporate interests mobilised, allegedly 'captured agencies' did their thing and the continuation of the unabated roll-out of wireless technology was ensured.

Following the New Hampshire Commission guidelines is an even more forlorn hope here in the UK - 5G antennas are frequently allowed

in close proximity to primary schools and nurseries, sometimes not even requiring planning permission from the local council.

Kent described to UK audiences the potted history of the issue, how international standards set in the 1980s, and informed by a behavioural study on just 8 rats and 5 monkeys that lasted less than one hour, have been used to inform radiation exposure limits for a society that now has virtually 24/7 exposure.

The talks set out how international agencies apply mast and antenna guidelines designed for adults as the default, while evidence has shown variations in tolerance, with children, the elderly and the chronically ill appearing more vulnerable to its effects.

Meanwhile, the tide of 'progress' and public demand for must-have gadgets and devices continues inexorably. Yet, since 2015, Lloyd's has declined to provide insurance cover for illnesses caused by

continuous long-term non-ionising radiation exposure.

We can also speculate that ever more powerful antennas may also be crucial to the future delivery of technology such as driverless cars, and to wider agendas that will exert more control and monitoring over our everyday lives.

Many are now waking up to the sense of an on-running information war, a propaganda war, a spiritual war, even - one being fought on many fronts. And there are not many fronts less immediately rewarding than this.

Many campaigners sit alone in dark rooms, poring over the intricacies of legal statutes, planning regulations and electromagnetic frequency readings. Some have poured thousands of their own life savings into costly legal battles against a hostile establishment that appears to hold all the cards. It is a sobering reality of our times.

Yet, one of the features of the last few years has been the great awakening; the mobilisation of people power, often across international borders. The American Professor began his tour in the small Sussex town of Bexhill, a town and county that have consistently punched above their weight in the struggles of the last few years.

He was originally invited to the UK to address a Vodafone meeting - a window-dressing PR exercise perhaps, but either way it presented a valuable opportunity to take his message around the UK at the same time.

The first night was standing room only. Slowly but surely, there are signs that the persistence and dedication of him and others are being rewarded and their message is getting through.

People power has already had its victories with inappropriately sited masts and antennas being refused after public objections. Many more will have a part to play, if the same momentum is to be continued.

PLEASE HELP SPREAD THE UNCENSORED TRUTH



Why not order each issue of The Light Australia for your group, town or community?

50 copies for \$19
100 copies for \$32
200 copies for \$62
500 copies for \$110
1000 copies for \$215
2000 copies for \$420
Prices including P&H.

If you would like to help please go to our website at: www.thelightaustralia.com/order-the-paper

How to have more rational conversations

By **DAVID CHARALAMBOUS**

Having successful conversations with people unaware of the issues we face today is complex and difficult, but can be done with practice and patience

WHY do people shut down when asked a simple question, or lash out at a stated fact?

I spent over 20 years, prior to the first lockdown, studying perception, communication and behaviour. Yet nothing had prepared me for the level of influence that could be exerted over intelligent people who suddenly became completely irrational and abusive, doctors who dismissed valid questions, and a collective media that continues to demonise anyone daring to ask questions, or who refused to comply with rules and mandates.

Reaching people that have been conditioned with a false narrative is difficult, and at times can seem impossible. There are ways to reach people but it takes practice, understanding of the issues, as well as self-control, to even begin to have small successes at having rational conversations. It's an obstacle course we must navigate.

It is fair to say there are many pitfalls in having conversations with loved ones. Much of how to reach people begins with a number of things we must avoid; if these pitfalls are not navigated successfully, it really doesn't matter how many facts you have, or convincing an argument you present; you will likely be shut down with one of the many scripted responses, such as 'you're a conspiracy theorist' or 'have you joined a cult?'

What has happened when someone turns into King Kong and gets angry? Metaphorically speaking, we stepped on a landmine. Human beings have in-built (and cultivated) safety alarms, or cognitive dissonance, that to some extent help us function in the world.

While the behavioural sciences have in recent times made startling discoveries about how we come to make decisions, and what drives our behaviour, these sciences are also now used by corporations and authorities in what is known as 'nudging' (influencing). For example, in repeated slogans such as 'hands, face, space'.

If these insights were understood by the public on the



Photo by iStock.com/nicoletaionescu

Reaching people that have been conditioned with a false narrative is difficult, and at times can seem impossible. There are ways to reach people but it takes practice, understanding of the issues, as well as self-control...

other hand, we could solve so many problems. This gives me great hope, and communicating these insights to help people understand their own and other's behaviours and beliefs is one of my missions in establishing reachingpeople.net.

'Science advances one funeral at a time' - Max Plank

Max's quote describes the resistance that we humans have when our beliefs are challenged. Usually the first information in, sets the belief, so education and the media have a huge responsibility, and they have failed us so miserably, for so long.

First, however, we will have to let go of negative emotions we have towards unaware people. Trying to have a conversation in a heightened state - angry, anxious, etc. - will invariably not work. The anger, the injustice, the bitterness are all justified; but the problem is, in practical terms, they disconnect us from those we need to reach.

The greatest manipulation of all is of our emotions, and by resolving negative ones, we do not let the perpetrators off the hook;

we just stop experiencing those emotions. You don't want to feel bad when looking at a picture of a maniac such as Bill Gates. He isn't worth an ounce of energy.

So, finding a way to let go will really help conversations. Some of the ways I have found powerful are a) The Sedona Method; b) Emotional Freedom Technique (EFT or 'tapping'), and c) any process that assists in letting go of unresolved emotion.

Jerome Bruner showed that a fact presented in a story will land over 20 times more effectively than the fact presented in its raw form. We find that metaphor is also useful at reaching people, along with questions used artfully. See boxes on the right.

■ **The Reaching People project helps people put into practice the three best ways to share information - stories, metaphors and asking the right questions.**

■ **Please see website and our YouTube channel for further details: <https://reachingpeople.net/dos-and-donts-in-conversation/>**

Key concepts in successful communication

1. First impressions are everything

Most people make up their minds whether someone is a threat (physical or ego) within the first second. If we are seen as a threat, the other person will shut down immediately, likely attack us verbally, or exit the conversation quickly.

Seeing the unaware person as having wrong ideas, rather than see them in a derogatory light, will greatly help us not trigger this shutdown. If we unconsciously view people as stupid, we will treat them as such, and they will sense this and shut down. We have to be understanding that people have been subjected to the greatest psychological operation in history. Letting go of negative emotions we have towards unaware people is key.

2. Overcome division and find common ground

Studies clearly show if we see people as on the opposite side, we will mostly dismiss everything that person has to say. This is why media propaganda focuses on divisive group identities such as 'anti-vax', 'conspiracy theorist' and many others.

Overcoming this is critical. If we find a subject where we and the other person agree, we will have a great platform to introduce ideas they need to be aware of. A quick way to find common ground is to establish that you both want people to be healthy and happy. Example: 'we are effectively on the same side.'

3. Pace, pace, pace

One of the quickest ways to shut down someone to new ideas is to introduce too many subjects and complex problems too early. This is like a pushy salesman, or evangelical preacher - it shuts people down.

Share information at a pace that the other person can digest. Think about where they are and what they can acknowledge, such as corruption.

4. Share information in a way that the other person can hear

Much of the propaganda is aimed at reaching the unconscious mind. It is largely pointless to present a fact to someone in its raw form such as 'lockdowns don't work', if they believe the opposite. Starting with 'how effective were lockdowns?', then telling a story using metaphors or questions is a more powerful way to do so.

It is also worth avoiding asking the question "Why?" regarding the person's decisions. They get defensive, and will rationalise and justify. Asking questions to have them explain themselves, in a polite and gentle way, helps them understand their thinking, or lack of it. Be supportive as they hit discomfort in their minds. You're not trying to 'win', only help people realise where their beliefs come from. Asking questions about things such as 'are the public aware it doesn't stop transmission?' etc, when you have a good rapport going, can also be very effective.

15 minute cities: 30 years in the making

UN's climate narrative reveals disturbing goals

By SHANE FUDGE

CLIMATE change has been visible in government policy and in the media, to a greater or lesser degree, for around the last three decades.

WHILE 1876 was the year that the Swedish chemist Svante Arrhenius first proposed a human-influenced greenhouse gas effect on the earth, it was almost a century later that a growing environmental movement sparked the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment in 1972.


It was the first of a series of UN gatherings aimed at protecting the global commons from the environmental impacts of industrialization. Here, acid rain performed 'a dress rehearsal for global warming'.

Coincidentally, 1972 also saw the appearance of the Club of Rome-authored Limits to Growth - a report which made a direct link between resource depletion and degradation of the earth's carrying capacity and projected global population increases.

It was at the Rio Earth Summit in 1992 where climate change was first outlined as a distinct environmental threat. To these ends, participants at the Rio summit first outlined a political and economic framework through which: a) national governments could develop their own indigenous policies and b) begin a collaborative process through which countries could coordinate and mainstream sustainability objectives at a global level.

Central to these efforts was the emergence of Agenda 21 - a four-phase, long-term action plan by which the UN would play a central role in coordinating global, national, and local level sustainability endeavours post 1992.

Local Agenda 21: Community Planning and Neighbourhood Renewal then became the finer level of detail on the role of local authorities, communities,



Housing
(i.e., low, medium and high rise)

Retail, Commercial and Health Services
(e.g., grocery stores, pharmacies, doctor's offices)

Public Service Facilities
(e.g., recreation facilities, libraries, indoor community centres)

Education
(e.g., schools, licensed child care)

Parks and Greenspaces
(e.g., playgrounds, pathways)

Sustainable Mobility
(e.g. cycling facilities, sidewalks, transit)

Typical example of a '15-minute city' - sure looks a bit like a large open-air prison

and citizens in organising and delivering 'bottom up' environmental interventions.

In the UK for instance, transport policy has been increasingly delivered via local government delivery mechanisms, ranging from traffic calming; an increase in cycle lanes; car-free town and city centres; congestion charging and low-speed zones.

The momentum behind all of this was given further impetus by the world's first Climate Change Act in 2008, where the stated aim of the UK Government was to coordinate and oversee the reduction of carbon emissions from three main sectors: energy, transport and households.

Despite these endeavours, the UK's climate programme up to now has been inconsistent at best, and an outright failure at worst - particularly when compared to countries such as Germany, Denmark and the Netherlands, who have all reorganised around renewables and more energy-efficient economies in a more significant way than the UK.

However, the year 2020 witnessed a huge shot in the arm to the UN's climate change programme, where it has dovetailed nicely with the reshaping of society through the Covid-19 restrictions.

Indeed, the World Economic Forum has made no secret that the period from the beginning of the decade had provided a window of opportunity to 'build back better' in all nations - including the UK.

The '15-minute city' is set to become the cornerstone upon which the principles of Agenda 21 (now Agenda 30) can finally be delivered after three decades of planning.

Transport has been the sector that the UK Government has long sought to intervene in more directly, but dissuaded by a reluctant public and the power of the transport lobby.

Transport accounts for a quarter of UK carbon emissions. Net Zero is a clear ramping up of the UK's climate agenda from setting unrealistic, unaccountable targets. Net Zero means exactly what it

says on the tin - a zero carbon society.

Oxford City Council is already set to unveil the first 15-minute city in the UK this year, and the expected nationwide rollout of them will embed an ongoing and increasingly restrictive set of infrastructural and geographical boundaries through which it will be possible to enforce, limit or completely curtail mobility for UK citizens.

Cities will be divided up into a series of districts or zones. No longer will people have to travel far to schools, parks, shops, gyms or for health care. Neighbourhoods will now be organised so that all these places will be a short walk or bike ride from any location. A central plank in this will be that petrol and diesel cars will be gradually outlawed.

This 'new urbanism' will also force citizens to take responsibility for their role in saving the planet from the impending climate crisis.

This geographic, cultural, and demographic revision of modern life will be coordinated by a new

suite of smart technologies, not least the impending 5G rollout and ongoing camera surveillance systems.

Given that the UK Government has been understandably vague about all of this, it must be assumed that much like a Russian Doll, the nested layers of what is being planned will include a central role for the CBDC and the appearance of a carbon credit system.

The overall policy framework is likely to be the forthcoming World Health Organisation treaty, supplemented by the WEF's My Carbon: An Approach for Inclusive and Sustainable cities, prioritising the twin control mechanisms of public health and the environment.

Understanding the three-decade evolution of the UN sustainability agenda rests upon a comprehension of the more sinister, underlying narratives which guide policy makers: a) climate change (and other environmental impacts) are man-made and b) environmental degradation and resource depletion are directly related to population growth and associated per capita consumption.

The UN scientific community has even given a name to the geological period of the planet characterised by man's so called direct impact on the Earth's ecosystems - the 'Anthropocene'. All UN climate science broadly supports this supposition, and any challenges are swiftly discredited or shut down.

Highlighting the alleged responsibility and profligacy of citizens, UN rhetoric ultimately points the finger at individuals, whose lack of responsibility for unsustainable transport routines, inefficient energy habits in households, and for the carbon embedded in goods and services (out-of-season fruit and veg for example) and 'unsustainable' purchasing decisions that they make every day.

To these ends, 15-minute cities are a means by which these 'unsustainable' options can be curtailed or taken away from the irresponsible citizens and choices instead made by an unaccountable, technocratic elite who claim a 360-degree vision which is inclusive of the entire world.

The great famine reset: you will own nothing and you will be starving

By **DR JOHN GIDEON HARTNETT**
and **PROFESSOR AUGUSTO ZIMMERMANN**

“You will own nothing, and you will be happy.” This confronting statement emanates from the World Economic Forum (WEF), a non-governmental organisation established in 1971 by Klaus Schwab.

BY all appearances, the WEF is the most powerful organisation in the world, due to the way it unites the richest and most powerful in business and politics.

In July 2020, Schwab co-authored and published a book entitled ‘Covid-19: The Great Reset’. In it, he sought to identify the weaknesses of the economic system which, according to him, were exposed by the alleged pandemic.

Schwab’s WEF considers Covid-19 as a “rare but narrow window of opportunity” to reset the global economy. This involves the elimination of national borders and the removal of property rights and, indeed, any other individual right from the rest of us. More remarkably, the Great Reset involves changing human beings. As political economist and financial journalist James Gorrie said:

“Schwab’s WEF cohort is professor and author Yuval Noah Harari, who has publicly declared that free will in human beings is “over” and that humans are just “hackable animals” to be controlled by digital or nanochip implants. According to Schwab, under the Fourth Industrial Revolution, humans will be genetically altered and chipped in the fusion of digital technology and human beings”.

The Great Reset’s primary goal is to restructure the world into a top-down dictatorship that is ruled by the global oligarchy.

If Covid-19 taught us anything, it’s that many governments are not working for the people. To the contrary, they are following the script of the WEF’s Great Reset, which “is tied to the climate change and green new

deal policies, as well as the United Nations’ climate agenda and net-zero initiative”.

These oligarchical plans to compromise food security and destroy property rights are well underway. Take, for instance, the Netherlands – a tiny country in terms of land and population. It is, nevertheless, the second largest food exporter in the world. This is all coming to an end due to governmental policies which effectively blame them for “high greenhouse emissions”, despite the nation contributing only 5.2 per cent of all the EU emissions. It is estimated that up to 3,000 Dutch farmers will have to close their farms.

Unfortunately, the Dutch government is not alone in targeting farmers. Canada, Germany and Sri Lanka are following similar agendas to undermine the agricultural sector by reducing nitrogen in the environment by at least 30 percent.

The global warming alarmists claim nitrous oxide is a greenhouse gas and we must stop meat production to reduce it. This is just another scam. In United States, farmers already cannot find enough chemical nitrogen fertilizer to grow their crops. The WEF recommendation to “build back better” was adopted

We are experiencing an asymmetric war, some kinetic (NATO/Ukraine vs. Russia) but primarily a silent war where food shortages are engineered. This is achieved by driving farmers from the land, banning live animal exports, and disrupting supply lines like we saw in the “pandemic” years.

in the United States as a “climate change policy”. This has led to the collapse of the current energy system in order to lower carbon dioxide emissions.

The US Department of Agriculture (USDA) released a disturbing report warning people about inevitable food shortages. The USA’s food shortage threat has been further aggravated by governmental policies resulting in rising interest rates, price inflation, and excessive environmental regulations that, when combined, create serious problems for its agrarian and livestock sectors.

WEF propaganda about overpopulation and environmental



Image courtesy of Bob Moran – <https://bobmoran.co.uk>

Schwab’s WEF considers Covid-19 as a “rare but narrow window of opportunity” to reset the global economy. This involves the elimination of national borders and the removal of property rights and, indeed, any other individual right from the rest of us. More remarkably, the Great Reset involves changing human beings.

engineered wars. In the First World War 21.5 million died, of which 13 million were civilians. The civilian deaths were largely caused by starvation, exposure, disease, military encounters and massacres. In the Second World War, 40 to 50 million died, the largest of any war. Then there were the massacres by the communists. For example, Joseph Stalin’s Bolsheviks (1929-53) killed 40 to 60 million in the former Soviet Union, and Mao Zedong’s communist regime (1946-76) killed 65 to 78 million in China.

The war in Ukraine, coupled with the West’s economic sanctions, has put the world’s food security at tremendous risk. These sanctions are supposedly meant to punish Russia for its invasion of Ukraine. However, they are seriously endangering the world’s ability to feed itself.

We are experiencing an asymmetric war, some kinetic (NATO/Ukraine vs. Russia) but primarily a silent war where food shortages are engineered. This is achieved by driving farmers from the land, banning live animal exports, and disrupting

supply lines like we saw in the “pandemic” years.

The greatest driver of famine is none of the above, but the supply of currency and credit. Control the food supply and you control the people. Control the money supply and you control the whole world.

Since 2008, the world-dominating US Federal Reserve has been “printing money” like never before. Currently, the amount is already 2.3 times larger (in the same dollar terms) than was “printed” during and after World War II — and there is no stopping. Since the US dollar is the global reserve currency, either hyperinflation will result and/or a total global economic collapse will ensue. Either way, global famine will accelerate.

We must resist all efforts to impose the dystopian objectives on us. Stop the wasteful kinetic wars and stop the war on citizens by insane fiat currency printing. We must also stop the woke depopulation attempts and put an end to the WEF’s ungodly neofascist agenda before it is too late. Otherwise, paraphrasing WEF’s statement, “you will own nothing and you will be starving!”

■ *Augusto Zimmermann is professor and Head of Law at Sheridan Institute of Higher Education, in Perth, W.A. He is former Commissioner with the Law Reform Commission of W.A. (2012-2017), President of the Western Australian Legal Theory Association (WALTA). Author/co-author of numerous academic articles and books, including ‘The Spirit Behind The Voice: The Religious Dimension of the Voice Proposal’ (Connor Court Publishing, 2023).*

■ *John Gideon Hartnett is an Australian physicist and cosmologist, a Christian with a biblical creationist worldview. Has a Ph.D. with distinction in Physics from UWA. During his research career he worked at UWA and University of Adelaide obtaining many research grants. Published more than 200 papers. Founding director of an Australian startup now commercialising his research on ultra-stable cryogenic ‘clocks’. L lectured worldwide in churches and conferences and written extensively on biblical creation mostly in terms of astrophysics and cosmology.*

Café Locked Out: in search of humanity

By **AMY HOLDSWORTH**

THE past four years have given us much to grapple with. Did everyone in Australia have the same experiences? Are things really ‘back to normal’, or are we just not asking enough questions?

The real history of these extraordinary times will be recorded by those willing to go out and actively listen.

Michael Gray Griffith, a playwright from Victoria, has spent the past two years on the road doing just that. Before lockdowns began in March 2020, a play he had written was touring Australian Army bases, having been chosen for its effective messaging on suicide prevention. When lockdowns eased, lockouts began, and a ‘green tick’ COVID pass was required to enter most public venues including gyms, cafes, sports venues — and theatres, “It came out that they were going to segregate audiences – and I couldn’t do it,” Michael recounts.

When he publicly questioned how all of this was about health, Michael was encouraged by industry colleagues to “keep quiet” for the sake of his career.

Instead, Michael created a social media account specifically to talk about what was going on. He was concerned that “free speech was under attack” and purposefully made the account, Café Locked Down, into a platform for reporting on



events, news and personal tragedies that were being ignored by the mainstream media.

Café Locked Down became Café Locked Out, and Michael created a podcast of the same name, co-hosted with Damien Richardson, which incorporated interviewing people about their experiences.

“We just got anyone on who would speak,” Michael says.

Michael witnessed local protests where, he says: “There were no reporters here. No one was recording who these people were. So, I started recording them [asking] who are you, what are you doing here? That’s what

started all this.”

Michael travelled to Canberra to document the February 2022 protest events as hundreds of thousands of Australians were gathering. During the journey home, he recalls that in one town “there were all these people waiting, wanting to tell their stories. And when we got to the next town, it was the same. I said to Kret [who travelled with Michael at the time] there’s a whole country out there. Why don’t we tour the country town to town until we run out of money and just document what’s happening?”

So, what began as a social

media page has become a full-time, on-the-road commitment to free speech. Café Locked Out travels the country in a coaster bus nicknamed ‘Florence’, stopping at any and every town possible, ready to listen to anyone who wants to talk – and no topic is off limits.

Michael’s interviews, videos, photographs and blogs are all published online and feature astounding stories of courage. Some are reminders of the contradictory measures taken in the name of public health, like firefighters stood down for ‘non-compliance’ during the height of summer, and military

veterans excluded from ANZAC Day commemorations. Others are messages of resilience and hope, such as the health practitioner who created a community-run wellness centre in her small country town.

The Café Locked Out platform is also used to collaborate with independent citizen journalists, raise awareness of social issues and support community initiatives. It is a collaborative effort – and that doesn’t just include the remarkable people who have given of their time and shared Michael’s journey (and the driving). Everyone who donates towards fuel costs, offers their front yards for camping or shares their story is part of the ‘new media’ movement.

Global audiences can be reached online, but in the face of near-constant censorship and bans, connecting in-person is a vitally important pastime to engage in.

With upwards of sixty thousand kilometres travelled so far, and an estimated 1,400 interviews recorded, Michael says: “It is an honour to be on this journey. I didn’t see this coming and I don’t know where it will end. We are attempting to capture a history they are already trying to erase.”

Follow and subscribe:

- **Café Locked Out website:** <https://cafelockedout.com/>
- **Search ‘Café Locked Out’ on Facebook, Twitter, Rumble, Substack**

Expanding perspectives: beyond the binary pandemic debate

By **JASON MILES**

THE Café Locked Out exchange between Dr Daniel and Dr William on Covid-19 mRNA vaccines marked a refreshing departure from the prevailing polarised discourse.

In an era where certain questions were deemed off-limits and dissent was stifled, the exchange opened a window for constructive, mostly respectful dialogue and an understanding that we each carry our perspectives. As we witnessed for three years, the orchestrated silencing of opposing voices inadvertently fostered misinformation, highlighting the need for diverse viewpoints.

Unquestionably, there exist segments of society that readily embrace prevailing narratives while others, like me, instinctively question them. The initial response to the pandemic was understandable, yet a singular path forward was enforced, leaving us in a monolithic state of affairs. It is my view that it was crucial to present a range of options to ensure a well-informed and

effective course of action. But there was only ever one path forward.

The suppression of open discussion only fuels curiosity and scepticism, especially considering the ever-shifting goalposts and their societal and economic toll. While the government may argue that its actions prevented casualties, this narrative remains unchallenged without a platform for counterarguments. The same stifling of dialogue is now being replicated in other arenas, exemplified by the vilification of dissenting voices to the Voice debate, and the proposed disinformation bill.

The very essence of democracy invites scrutiny of government decisions, particularly when lives and economies hang in the balance. It is our right to question the values underpinning these choices without apprehension. The events in Canberra echo of historical dissent and remind us that complacency and disregard for critical questioning can yield widespread discontent.

Paradoxically, the government’s clampdown on opposing views only amplifies dissent, as more

individuals perceive an entrenched and myopic perspective. The red flags waving amidst the discourse beckon further investigation within the silence.

As a nation we must forge ahead with inclusivity, acknowledging that solutions aren’t dichotomous. The suppression of potential alternatives and diverse viewpoints stagnates our growth and unity. Recognising multiple pathways to resolution is pivotal in bridging the current divisions.

Australians have every right to demand answers from their government. Answers to questions such as:

1. How will the government address the challenges faced by Australians living in tents and on the streets, and does punishing them align with our compassionate objectives?
2. Are there alternative approaches to managing public finances that circumvent currency devaluation or asset liquidation?
3. What are your emotions when a minor’s autonomy to refuse a vaccine results in denied medical

access, like the recent transplant case?

4. How might a bridge be constructed between the government and its critics beyond the initial lockdown phase?
5. With the hindsight of the pandemic, what alterations would you introduce in preparation for a potential recurrence?

Community unity brings about numerous benefits that positively impact individuals and society as a whole. By fostering a sense of togetherness and shared purpose, unity enhances social cohesion, reducing conflicts and creating a supportive environment. Through collaboration and collective effort diverse talents and perspectives are harnessed, leading to innovative solutions to challenges and promoting growth. People like Graham Hood and Michael Grey Giffith prove just how well this community unity works. Yet we see the opposite from our elected politicians.

Unity strengthens resilience in times of adversity, as mutual support networks provide emotional and material assistance. By promoting

empathy and understanding among members, community unity breaks down barriers, fosters cross-cultural relationships and nurtures a more inclusive society. Ultimately, the bonds forged through community unity create a platform for individuals to thrive collectively and a stronger sense of belonging. To quote G Edward Griffin, “The challenge of our time is that we must accept both the responsibilities of our blessings and the risks involved in defending them for ourselves and future generations”.

In conclusion, our collective progress hinges on a multiplicity of ideas, the freedom to deliberate and the courage to challenge established norms. Embracing diversity in thought is not a threat to democracy but rather its cornerstone. May we the people continue to foster an environment where alternatives are nurtured, dialogue is encouraged and unity is cultivated through shared understanding. And may our politicians who see this consider that the people they represent are indeed the way forward.

- **View debate - <https://tinyurl.com/2z36puh4>**

The long walk for justice

By **TANI DU TOIT**

Matthew Lawson completes epic walk for Australia's vaccine injured.

"HEY, everyone, hope you're well!"

For almost three months, Matthew Lawson's catchcry beamed from The Newell Highway as he journeyed on foot from Victoria to Queensland to raise awareness for Job Injuries Australia.

Matthew, 45, set off from his Melbourne home on Monday, July 17, with a backpack and an unflappable mindset to share his message. A dedicated support crew followed.

His commitment to speak up for basic human rights over the past three years has been life changing. When Victorians faced the world's longest COVID lockdowns – and the rest of Australia lined up alongside them for mandated "vaccinations" – Matthew actively sought to encourage peace and connection in our increasingly dystopian world.

For his efforts, his home was raided, he was strip searched, handcuffed, hit with a baton, pepper sprayed and shot with a rubber bullet (for which he required corrective surgery).



"It's fair to say I copped every bit of abuse possible from the government ... but I'd do it again in a heartbeat," Matthew said as he left Victoria.

With a heavily censored online presence, Matthew hit the road to be as visible as possible on his mission: The Long Walk for Covid Job Injury Awareness.

"There are thousands of people who are job injured and I wanted to get the truth out here," he said.

"There are thousands of people who are job injured and I wanted to get the truth out here"

"Whether mainstream media reported on it or not, I had a feeling the Bush Telegraph would get a hold of it quickly."

Matthew was right. News spread faster than it took to walk an average 30km a day. Groups welcomed Matthew to their towns, while others kept him company for short stretches. Forests of the Fallen were erected to signal Matthew's arrival, local newspapers ran stories and independent journalists interviewed him.

Carmen Bartley, 36, from New South Wales, followed Matthew's journey closely. The single mother of three children said she had no choice but to be vaccinated – and her life changed irrevocably after getting her first and only Pfizer jab in 2021.

"I needed to be able to go out of the house, and I had no help at all at home," she said.

"(Afterwards) I started getting extreme fatigue, and headaches," she recollected. "I was sleeping up to 20 hours a day and totally exhausted."

Blood tests revealed Carmen's cortisol levels were three times higher than normal. She was referred to The Garvin Institute of Medical Research. However, she was refused treatment until she received her second shot.

"I was treated like a leper because I wasn't fully vaccinated," she said. "Then, I was told I had a mental health, not a physical issue."

Carmen was finally diagnosed with Cushing's disease and underwent brain surgery to remove a tumour on her pituitary gland.

Carmen said she hoped Matthew's "selfless" walk would open people's eyes.

"It is hard enough being this sick without people and doctors calling you out as a tinfoil hatter, too," she said. "Some doctors and nurses have confirmed my suspicions, but only ever off the record."

Matthew said he was always aware the vaccines could do damage, but he never imagined making such a trek for the cause. His aim was for people, like Carmen, to get recognition and compensation for their suffering.

"These people are being shunned," he said. "They are stuck in limbo and can't get the compensation they need, to keep living. In many cases, they have lost their jobs and, I am sure, many have lost their lives."

Matthew has no plans to put his feet up when he returns to Melbourne.

"I will just keep advocating for human rights," he said.

■ **Find Matthew on Instagram at [matt.lawsonphotography](https://www.instagram.com/matt.lawsonphotography), Spotify as *The Elephant in the Room* and Facebook as *THE LONG WALK FOR CVJ INJURY AWARENESS* – MELB/BRIS.**

Open letter from Clintel to the UN Secretary-General António Guterres

Amsterdam, June 23, 2023
Professor A.J. (Guus) Berkhout
office@clintel.org

António Guterres, Secretary-General, United Nations, United Nations Headquarters, New York, NY 10017, United States of America.

Dear Mr. Guterres,

On 23 September 2019 we have sent you a registered letter, expressing our major concerns about your idealistic plans to stop climate change, which you never answered.

On 5 May 2020 we had the honor to share with you in a second letter our profound worries about your plans to re-engineer the world's economy into an ideologic green system (ref. Petersberg Virtual Dialogue). Again, you never replied.

On 2 January 2023 we wrote to you in a third letter asking you to stop making a plea for a 'net-zero society'. Results of this policy clearly show that it will destroy

our economies; billions will suffer. Again, you never did reply.

This time we write to you for the fourth time to make you aware that an international team of scientists from the 1500-strong Climate Intelligence Foundation (Clintel) has assessed several claims from the IPCC Working Group 1 and Working Group 2 reports. Results have been summarized in Clintel's report *The Frozen Climate Views of the IPCC*:

Thorough analysis by Clintel shows serious errors in latest IPCC report – Clintel

Clintel's report has been sent to the Chairman of IPCC, Professor Dr Hoesung Lee. The firm criticism in the letter identifies biased and invalid scientific work in the AR6 report and mentions serious lack of internal governance in IPCC.

No surprise that more and more people in the world don't believe anymore in an "existential climate crisis". They realize that your familiar scaring-to-death narrative



is based on over-heated computer models. Knowing the weakness of these models, why do you keep on talking about an "upcoming climate hell"? And why do you still insist on "keeping the net-zero targets"?

Mr. Guterres, in the 1945 charter of the UN it clearly says that the UN should employ an international machinery for the promotion of the economic and social advancement

of all peoples. However, by promoting a hasty destruction of the oil and gas industry worldwide – without any suitable replacement – you do the opposite and will push the world into an energy crisis, leading to global poverty.

And why do not you recognize that mass generation of intermittent solar and wind energy is leading to unreliable energy systems and very high energy prices? In addition, it will create huge damage to the natural environment and a serious shortage to materials such as copper, cobalt and neodymium.

We repeat our invitation to you to organize with Clintel a constructive high-level meeting between world-class scientists on both sides of the debate on your green plans. A 'Red Team Meeting' will give effect to the sound and ancient principle that both sides should be fully and fairly heard. Audiatur et altera pars!

Looking forward to hearing from you,

Yours sincerely,

Professor A.J. (Guus) Berkhout,
President of Clintel
office@clintel.org

P.S. The main objective of the Climate Intelligence Foundation (Clintel) is to generate knowledge and understanding of the causes and effects of climate change, as well as of the effects of climate policy. Clintel published the World Climate Declaration, which has now been signed by more than 1500 scientists and experts worldwide, thus rivalling in size and credentials the IPCC's Working Group authorship lists. See www.clintel.org.

■ **Thorough analysis latest IPCC Report - <https://tinyurl.com/vwr56tmr>**

Fake meat sales plummet amid falling demand

By YUDI SHERMAN

'It's less digestible than real meat, and certainly less nutritious'

FAKE meat giant Beyond Meat's revenue plunged over 30% for the second quarter compared to last year as consumers turn to real meat.

The California-based company also slashed its annual sales forecast from \$375m–\$415m to \$360m–\$380m “in light of greater than expected consumer and category headwinds and their anticipated impact on net revenues,” according to The Telegraph. Last year, the company was forced to cut a fifth of its workforce as its stock dropped nearly 80%.

Beyond Meat, founded in 2009 to “fight climate change,” counts globalist billionaire Bill Gates as one of its investors. It has since supplied its plant-based fake meat to McDonalds, Dunkin' Donuts, Taco Bell, Walmart and PepsiCo. In 2013, the People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA) named Beyond Meat “Company of the Year” and the company has been endorsed by



Photo by iStock.com/Gorodenkoff

several Hollywood celebrities.

Frontline News reported last year that Beyond Meat was distributing its fake Beyond Burger to 1,600 supermarkets throughout Germany as the country moves to reduce livestock to “fight climate change.”

Beyond Meat is not the only player in the fake meat industry. It primarily competes with Impossible Foods, which also provides plant-based meat, and Upside Foods, which provides lab-grown meat. All three companies are backed by Gates, who has clarified that

government regulation may be needed to force people to transition to fake meat.

“I don't think the poorest 80 countries will be eating synthetic meat,” Gates told the MIT Technology Review. “I do think all rich countries should move to 100% synthetic beef. You can get used to the taste difference, and the claim is they're going to make it taste even better over time. Eventually, that green premium is modest enough that you can sort of change the [behaviour of] people or use regulation to totally shift the demand.”

But nutrition experts have been warning against fake meat. Nutritionist and Sacred Cow: The Case for (Better Meat) author Diana Rodgers says lab-grown meat, such as that sold by Upside Foods, is still not as healthy as McDonalds.

“I'd rather eat my shoe than lab-grown meat,” Rodgers stated.

British investigative food journalist Joanna Blythman warns against even plant-based meat, which she says sometimes contain up to 30 artificial ingredients.

“Artificial plant-based proteins tend to be loaded with ‘anti-nutrients’ - compounds that make it harder for our guts to absorb beneficial macro and micronutrients,” Blythman wrote in an article for the Daily Mail. “Essentially, it's less digestible than real meat, and certainly less nutritious.”

While Gates and his World Economic Forum (WEF) colleagues hope to significantly reduce meat consumption by 2030 and, ideally, phase it out completely by 2050, Blythman says the global real meat industry is forecasted to rise up to 7% annually.

There are also significant concerns about fake meat's purported contribution to the climate. Plants require fertilizer, processing and shipping, too, and

lab-grown meat is also expected to be environmentally taxing.

A preprint study published in April by University of California, Davis researchers found that if fake meat becomes as widely accepted as globalists would like, it could be extremely harmful to the climate.

The researchers found that the production process for fake meat emits 246 to 1,508 kg of carbon dioxide per kilogram of fake meat, while retail meat production produces only about 60 kg of CO₂ per kilogram. According to the scientists' estimates, producing fake meat is 4 to 25 times worse for the climate than real beef.

“Currently, animal cell-based meat products are being produced at a small scale and at an economic loss, however companies are intending to industrialize and scale-up production,” the scientists opine in the study.

“Results indicate that the environmental impact of near-term animal cell-based meat production is likely to be orders of magnitude higher than median beef production if a highly refined growth medium is utilised,” they concluded.

■ *This article was originally published by Frontline.news and is republished here with permission.*

Playbook for GMO 2.0 going exactly to plan

By MAX GOLDBERG

IF you are eating ‘animal-free’ dairy or meat products that taste nearly identical to a traditional animal product, you should be asking plenty of questions.

And more often than not, what you will discover is that these foods are anything but natural.

Aware of what consumers may find out and not wanting to make the same PR mistake twice, the GMO industry has intentionally introduced sophisticated and deceptive names such as synthetic biology, cultured meat, gene editing, precision fermentation and cellular-based seafood.

Further muddying the waters is that these companies have been funded not just by the likes of Bayer and BASF, but by Silicon Valley heavyweight investors

who have bankrolled some of the largest technology companies in the world, giving these start-ups instant credibility and a certain degree of protection from criticism.

Even more, the organic community is being aggressively courted.

They are enlisting people like acclaimed farm-to-table chef Dan Barber and are purchasing booths at Natural Products Expo West, a show that most attendees believe is only for natural products. And these booths are interspersed throughout the show, sharing aisles with USDA organic and natural product companies.

During ‘The State of Organic & Natural’ keynote presentation at this year's Expo West, we were told that “The only way we are going to meet demand, as a planet, is through cultured meat.” Cultured meat is meat produced from cells,

without having to raise or kill animals. This is synthetic biology.

As these GMO 2.0 companies have raised billions of dollars, with Silicon Valley salivating over the prospect of owning a piece of the patent-protected food supply, their marketing tactics have been an unmitigated success at manipulating the public.

“Companies call these things ‘synthetic biology’ and ‘fermentation technology’, but these foods are all just GMOs,” said Michael Hansen, PhD, Senior Staff Scientist at Consumer Reports. “They are using terms people do not understand, so that people will not realise these are GMO ingredients.”

“These are often highly processed foods, which are associated with increased calorie intake and weight gain, according to a study from the National Institute of Health.

And while these companies may be perceived as tech start-ups, the products they produce are designed to fit into an industrial food system, and society is clearly moving against this trend and toward a more agroecological-based food system. Additionally, they are introducing novel, genetically-engineered proteins into the food supply that will have unknown potential impacts on the human microbiome and the environment, and these companies are self-affirming GRAS status with the FDA, a voluntary process that is incredibly problematic and falls very, very short of protecting the consumer,” said Michael Hansen.

In recent years, ‘animal-free’ dairy proteins have found their way into everything from ice cream to cream cheese to snack bars, but many shoppers, food

manufacturers and retailers are unaware that these are actually unlabeled and unregulated GMOs. Further compounding the problem is that consumers may be misled into thinking that these products are ‘natural’, which could potentially take market share away from the organic industry.

The introduction of synthetic biology products will seemingly only continue to accelerate, and according to the biotech industry's Good Food Institute, nearly \$2 billion has been invested in developing ‘precision fermented’ products like synbio dairy in the past year alone.

How is so much money being directed into this category despite consumers increasingly moving away from GMOs?

■ *Article reproduced by kind permission from Organic Insider: <https://tinyurl.com/2k2ytwas>*

**PLEASE
DONATE!**



If you like what you see in this paper,
please donate at our website
www.thelightaustralia.com/donate



HEALTH EXPRESSIONS
NATURAL THERAPIES

If you're still taking zinc, calcium, iron and vitamins D & C you've missed an important rabbit hole.

I can help you better navigate the misinformation minefield that is Nutrition.

In clinic (Bega NSW) and online consults.
"The Alternative Therapist"

✉ rian@healthexpressions.com.au
🌐 www.healthexpressions.com.au
☎ 0449 569 064

Your supplement selection could be doing you more harm than good!



Phil and Jodie Jardine have owned and operated Topolinis Caffè for 23 years.
Open 7 nights a week, Friday and Sunday lunch

08 9447 7118
Located in the foyer of
Grand Cinema - Warwick

Monday: Any regular Pasta/Pizza \$18.5
Tuesday: 2 x Barramundi \$50
Wednesday: Chicken Parmigiana \$20
Thursday: Steak night starting from \$25
Friday & Saturday: 2 course meal \$38
Sunday: All day regular pizzas \$18.5

Purchase a VIP card \$150 for 1 year. Buy 1 get 1 free*



THE PLANDEMIC AGENDA

This book explains the events of the last three years that have had such a significant impact on so many lives, as was always planned, and which sadly means for so many, the world may never be the same again.

After reading this book the final agenda should be clear. This book is about providing hope!

The detox protocols, which do work for eliminating graphine oxide, spike proteins, heavy metals and mould, and which protect from the dangers of 5G EMF's, are discussed in several chapters.

After reading this book you will have a clearer picture of how to protect yourself, your family and your loved ones from the chaos which has been planned. Perhaps if enough of us wake up and indeed step up, then one day the madness may end.



SCAN BARCODE TO ORDER ON AMAZON

ONLY AU\$30 PLUS \$15 POSTAGE AUST WIDE

To order your own signed copy by the author - scan the barcode to order on Amazon or email rushden88@hotmail.com and pay with PayPal

'Smart' without the Spying.

FREEDOM TECH SOLUTIONS Devices the way they should be:
No Spying, Without compromises.

- Surveillance-Free.
- Keep Your Favorite Apps.
- Increased Battery life.
- Better Performance.
- User Friendly & Easy To Use.

Your data is your business. Reclaim your privacy.
Find out more:
FreedomTechSolutions.net



AUSTRALIAONE

- ✓ **Australian economic power:**
End all direct & indirect taxes, (income tax, payroll tax, GST, FBT, stamp duty, etc);
Replace all taxes with 2% Expenditure Tax.
- ✓ **Australian political freedom:**
End COVID mandates & prosecute breachers of the Nuremberg Code including the media;
Protect freedom of speech and association.
- ✓ **Australian self reliance:**
Restore food, water and energy security;
Increase services and resources for home schooling and parent-led school education.
- ✓ **Australian sovereignty:**
Withdraw from international agreements and organisations not in Australia's interest;
Remove foreign interference in government;
Restore water rights to the people in accordance with Section 100 of the Australian Constitution 1901.
- ✓ **Australian social cohesion.**
- ✓ **Establish national child protection laws.**
- ✓ **Lift all VIP paedophile suppression orders.**



www.australiaoneparty.com

Authorised by AustraliaOne Party Incorporated ARBN 653 445 188, Level 5, Nexus Building, 4 Columbia Court, Baulkham Hills, NSW 2153 Australia

It's not about Left or Right

It's about Right and Wrong

Licensed to Tamper with your Tow

We have the best job ever!

Our customers are fun loving explorers. Adventurous, passionate about their vans, their travels, their stories - and so are we.

- Customer Focus
- Quality Parts
- Fair Pricing
- Expert Advice
- Professional Guarantee



CARAVAN SERVICE & REPAIR

www.campatampa.com (08) 9768 0674 Bunbury WA



WELCOME TO RESULTS-DRIVEN NATURAL SUPPLEMENTS

I'm a Nutrition Coach and a natural health advocate. I have curated an on-line range of natural supplements to boost your energy, heal your gut, strengthen your immune system, give your skin a radiant glow and improve your mood. I want you to enjoy spectacular health! Use code 'WELL10' at the check out for a 10% discount on full priced items.

Sage Wellbeing SAGEWELLBEING.COM.AU



You're Not Alone

Great things are already happening!



Community/Action Groups

- AStandinthePark.org
- Australiaexitsthewho.com
- Worldwidedfreedomrally.com
- MyPlaceAustralia.org

Alternative Healthcare

- Peoples Health Alliance pha-australia.org
- Australian Medical Practitioners Society amps.redunion.com.au
- WorldCouncilforHealth.org
- FLCCC, Covid19CriticalCare.com

Information Sources

- TNTRadio.live
- TheLightAustralia.com
- RebekahBarnett.substack.com
- TheAussieWire.com

Proudly Sponsored by RDAActive Warwick WA Community Group Rdawarwick@proton.me



IT'S TAX TIME!!!

- ▲ Reliable
- ▲ Old Fashion
- ▲ Honest Service

A committed and dedicated Accountant for Individuals, Families and Small Business' with over 40 years experience.

Our family helping yours.



☎ 07 55590445 📞 0408 463801 ✉ theaccountants@ozemail.com.au


COMMITMENT

EXPERIENCE

RESULTS

A REAL SECURITY THAT MONEY ALONE CAN'T BUY

SUN VILLAGES



Did you know that you can use your superannuation, and secure your spare cash, in land-banking?

Are you concerned about **corporations taking more and more control of your life**, and that of your family?

Are you a **freedom loving being** interested in empowering, grounded, and profitable solutions to our housing issues?

Did you know that people can act like a bank and collect the profit?

So don't be squeezed by the middleman, rather you squeeze all the goodness and benefits out of your hard-earned dollars. **The SUN Villages model** (a Synergistically United Network of Villages) uses peer to

peer funding that allows us to combine our resources to **build state-of-the-art ecovillages** that serve investors with a secure strong return, while **greatly reducing the cost of living for owner-residents!**

GO TO www.sunvillagesco-op.au FOR MORE INFO TEXT OR PHONE 0428 268 935

Gather a few friends and book a Zoom call or a live presentation with us.

Ashley Graetz

Cognitive Dissonance 101

99% OF BELIEF IS CORRECT 1% OF THE TIME

COG DIS 101 poems and explanations.

ROADHOUSE MESSIAH

Long walk and travel in a time of lockdown

Ashley M Graetz

saxxoncreative.com



SING TO THRIVE

Heal and restore emotional and physical well-being and reclaim the joy in your life?

- Feel happy instantly
- Boost energy levels
- Improve blood health
- Fortify immune system
- Alleviate anxiety
- Build a positive mindset
- Sing with power and joy
- Heal past trauma

Transformational Online Music, Singing and Healing Programs
Personal support with 35+ years experience with Holistic Health and Voice Coach - Julia Williamson

Mob: 0412 201 736 Email: hello@singtothrive.com
www.singtothrive.com



GARAGE FLOOR COATINGS



EPOXY • POLYURETHANE • POLYUREA • NON SLIP FLOORS
Servicing All Melbourne suburbs.
Call 03 9483 7033 for a free quote.
www.auscraftergroup.com.au



Do you expect **TRUTH** from your doctors, medical professionals – and others who have the power to make decisions about your life? Please join with us at...

THE CAPE BYRON LIGHTHOUSE DECLARATION

... and **let's ALL say NO** to censorship, silencing and suppression of information.

<https://lighthousedeclaration.org>

Telling the stories of PEOPLE & PLACES in WA via RADIO & PODCAST

Explore our website to discover the hidden treasures in WA. We'll connect you to an Independent Holiday Network providing the independent traveller with links to unique attractions and businesses around Western Australia. Support local wherever you can. Listen via radio or online.




Western Tourist Radio 87.6 FM
W | touristradio.com.au
E | barry@touristradio.com.au
M | 0428 317 006

East Perth | Bunbury | Busselton | Dunsborough | Cowaramup | Augusta



The Australian Vaccination-risks Network was founded in 1994 and advocates for informed consent and freedom of choice.

The AVN has always supported Australian parents in making their own well informed decisions regarding the health of their children.

In this time of censorship sharing factual information is more vital than ever.

Please consider supporting our important work by becoming a member and subscribing to our regular newsletter at avn.org.au

avn.org.au