

HEALTH

Finding mRNA in breast milk typifies how Covid-19 vaccine safety was oversold



PAGE 3

NEWS

Amnesty? Before forgiveness comes repentance



PAGE 7

INTERNATIONAL


Assange verdict: British courts and media GUILTY!



PAGE 10

BOOK REVIEW

Trump and the timing of Covid-19



PAGE 13

THE LIGHT



AUSTRALIA

PEOPLE-FUNDED
NEWSPAPER




ISSUE 3

The Uncensored Truth

thelightraustralia.com

FREE

Safe and Effective
A Second Opinion



ORACLE

How can the media ignore this?

Watch **FREE** online now - unmissable documentary

Still under the influence

'It's a big club, and you ain't in it' - George Carlin



THE
TRILATERAL
COMMISSION

CHATHAM
HOUSE

The Royal Institute of
International Affairs



House of Rothschild



CITY
OF
LONDON



Knights Of Malta



COUNCIL on
FOREIGN
RELATIONS

THE
TAVISTOCK
INSTITUTE®



WORLD
ECONOMIC
FORUM



UNITED NATIONS



FABIAN SOCIETY



BANK FOR
INTERNATIONAL
SETTLEMENTS



If these institutions run the world
what does that mean for democracy?

> > > > > See Pages 4, 5 and 12 < < < < <

THE LIGHT AUSTRALIA
FEBRUARY 2023

Reporting honest independent news and information mainstream media ignores.

Original content is © 2023
thelightpaper.co.uk and thelightaustralia.com

For all volunteers and distribution enquiries, please go to our website
www.thelightaustralia.com/distribute

For advertising enquiries, please go to our website
www.thelightaustralia.com/advertise

If you'd like this paper in your business, please go to our website
www.thelightaustralia.com/contact advising your details for contact

If you'd like to order the paper, please go to our website
www.thelightaustralia.com/order-the-paper


To keep our paper FREE, is not free. Please help by donating on our website
www.thelightaustralia.com/donate

This newspaper is proudly brought to you by a dedicated group of hardworking volunteers.


Special thanks to:

- The Light Paper UK for their generous support in allowing us to bring the newspaper down under and use of their articles.
- All the graphic designers, relay drivers, distribution hubs and volunteers who have worked tirelessly to bring you the uncensored truth.

"Truth is like the sun. You can shut it out for a time but it ain't goin' away."
 Elvis Presley (1935-1977)



'Amnesty'



© THE DEMOCRACY FUND

Above images courtesy of Bob Moran – <https://bobmoran.co.uk>

Bob '22

AUSTRALIAN NET DEBT
CLOCK UPDATE

Figures collated on the 5th January 2023

Total Debt

\$988,305,309,653.05

Will reach \$1,000,000,000,000.00 on 5 February 2023

Federal	State
\$715,524,438,995.03	\$272,780,870,658.02

As a percentage of GDP 44%

Will reach 50% of GDP on 24 January 2024

Will reach 100% of GDP on 31 March 2032

Federal	State
32%	12%

Debt per Person

\$38,107.40

Will reach \$40,000.00 of GDP on 15 May 2023

Federal	State
\$27,589.42	\$10,517.98

Source – The Australian Taxpayers' Alliance – Debt Clock
 (<https://www.taxpayers.org.au/debt-clock>)

Finding mRNA in breast milk typifies how Covid-19 vaccine safety was oversold

By **DR MARYANNE DEMASI, PhD**

On the 24th September 2021, when CDC director Rochelle Walensky was asked if it was safe to receive a Covid-19 vaccine while breastfeeding, her reply was unwavering:

“THERE is no bad time to get vaccinated,” said Walensky.

“Get vaccinated while you’re thinking about having a baby, before you’re thinking about having a baby, while you’re pregnant with your baby or after you’ve delivered your baby.”

But Walensky’s advice was not based on science. The safety studies had not been done.

It has been over a year since her comment, and a study published in JAMA found trace amounts of mRNA in the breast milk of mothers who’d received the Pfizer or Moderna Covid-19 vaccine.

The researchers speculated that lipid nanoparticles containing mRNA, once injected into the arm, are transported via the lymphatic system to the mammary glands and expressed into breast milk.

Yes, it was a small study, and the mRNA was only detected in expressed breast milk for up to two

days, but the authors stated:

Caution is warranted about breastfeeding children younger than 6 months in the first 48 hours after maternal vaccination **until more safety studies are conducted.**

Caution is warranted?

Aaron Kheriaty, psychiatrist and director of the Bioethics and American Democracy Program at the Ethics and Public Policy, Washington DC, has been critical of the “jab first, ask questions later” approach.

He says Walensky’s insistence about the safety of mRNA vaccines in breastfeeding women was “completely reckless” in the absence of adequate safety data.

“We don’t have evidence that it’s harmful, but we also don’t have sufficient evidence that it is safe for your baby, so that’s the first thing that needs to be said when there’s an absence of evidence,” says Kheriaty.

There are still many unknowns. Oral ingestion of mRNA bound to lipid nanoparticles has no demonstrated safety, and the pegylated product (a design of the mRNA vaccines) when ingested, can be rapidly absorbed through the gut lining.

“The safety studies should’ve been done right out of the gate. Until you actually do the studies, you cannot, at the same time, come out and say, don’t worry, this is safe. We have to inform people of the state of the science, we should tell them that the evidence is not clear,” he adds.

Public health authorities argued that pregnant women and their babies would face a greater risk of harm from Covid-19 than from the vaccine, but Kheriaty says it was guesswork.

“We didn’t know any of that. It

was a theoretical risk. Childbearing women were excluded from the clinical trials, so we did not have that data.”

Childbearing women were coerced

Adam Urato, a maternal-foetal medicine specialist at MetroWest Medical Centre, Massachusetts, says vaccines have an important role to play in medicine, but admits that many of his patients have legitimate concerns about the unknown impact of Covid-19 vaccines on pregnancy and breastfeeding.

“These women make good points. They should be listened to, and their judgement and decisions respected,” says Urato.

“After all, these vaccines are synthetic chemical structures. They are made in chemical manufacturing facilities. They aren’t ‘all natural’ substances. And, honestly, we just don’t know what all of the effects are going to be from using these vaccines during pregnancy and during breastfeeding,” he adds.

Urato rejects the media narrative that childbearing women are “victims of misinformation” if they have concerns about Covid-19 vaccine safety.

“My patients are intelligent, they have good instincts and I think their concerns are valid. The idea that all of these women are misled, and uninformed ‘victims of misinformation’ is an insult to them,” he says.

When vaccine mandates were imposed across the globe, many pregnant and breastfeeding women were forced to get vaccinated under penalty of losing their jobs and those who declined, were accused of being anti-vaxxers.

“Pregnant women should be allowed to make personal health choices and decide what gets injected into their body, and the decision should be free from coercion,” says Urato.

Instead, doctors are being coached on ways to handle vaccine hesitancy. In Canada, for example, the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario encouraged doctors to prescribe medication to manage anxieties about the vaccine or to recommend psychotherapy.

The precautionary principle

A recent article by British sociologist Robert Dingwall reminds us of the underlying principle of clinicians *primum non nocere*; the first duty of a doctor is to do no harm.


Dingwall writes that safety cannot be “assumed” but must be demonstrated. He says, “doing stuff just in case” or because “it might help,” is not sufficient.

“Emergency conditions do not justify the abandonment of the precautionary principle. If action is urgent, but benefits and harms are uncertain, then the actions or innovations must be temporary, provisional, and closely monitored with a view to withdrawing or halting them if their benefits are not proportionate to their harms.

Pandemic policies would have looked very different if the precautionary principle had been applied correctly.”

Urato agrees. He says that we will look back with regret at how public health authorities treated pregnant women.

“Vaccine mandates were a really cruel, uncompassionate, and inhumane way to treat pregnant women. The community needs to



really learn from this awful episode and make sure nothing like this happens again.”

■ <https://www.whattoexpect.com/news/pregnancy/rochelle-walensky-covid-interview>

■ <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamapediatrics/fullarticle/2796427>

■ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23495754/>

■ <https://twitter.com/NadineGNess/status/1577802724162273281>

■ <https://trusttheevidence.substack.com/p/the-precautionary-principle>

■ *Dr Maryanne Demasi is an investigative medical reporter with a PhD in rheumatology, who writes for online media and top tiered medical journals. For over a decade, she produced TV documentaries for the Australian Broadcasting Corporation (ABC) and has worked as a speechwriter and political advisor for the South Australian Science Minister. Original article published on Substack - [maryannedemasi.substack.com](https://www.substack.com/p/the-precautionary-principle).*

Italian nurses and doctors who refused Covid-19 jab reinstated

By **PAUL BENNETT**

Government U-Turn on health strategy

THOUSANDS of unvaccinated healthcare staff in Italy who courageously stood firm against government over-reach have had their jobs rightfully restored.

New Health Minister, Orazio Schillaci, announced that, due

to a shortage in healthcare staff, suspended staff can now return to healthcare settings. He said: “A measure is being finalised that will allow the reintegration into service of health staff subject to suspension proceedings for non-compliance with compulsory vaccination, before the expiry date of the suspension.”

The Italian Health Ministry added in a statement: “Six months after the suspension of the state of emergency and given the level of Covid-19 contagion, health minister Orazio Schillaci considers it necessary to initiate a progressive return to normality in activity and behaviour, based on criteria of

responsibility and respect for the law in force.”

New Italian Prime Minister, Giorgia Meloni, accused her predecessors Mario Draghi and Giuseppe Conte of taking an ‘ideological’ approach to handling Covid-19. She said: “The previous governments took a host of measures that had no scientific evidence.”

According to an *Epoch Times* report, under previous Prime Minister, Mario Draghi, whose government imploded in the midst of an energy crisis and a worsening economic outlook, all public and private sector workers had to get a Covid-19 ‘green pass’ before they

could go to work.

The report continued: ‘Italians who ignore the order and go to work without proof of vaccination or a negative test or recovery from the virus are suspended on no pay and fined up to 1,500 euros.’

Earlier this year, former Italian health minister, Roberto Speranza, is said to have told reporters: “We are making these choices in order to restrict the unvaccinated as much as possible, as this is what is causing the burden on our hospital system.”

On October 25, in her first speech at parliament, new Italian leader Meloni said: “Something clearly didn’t work there. That’s

why I want to say that in no way will we imitate this model.”

Reinstating their jobs is a good first step, but does not go far enough. Compensation and grovelling apologies are also required, and questions need to be answered by authorities that promoted medical apartheid.

■ https://www.theepochtimes.com/italy-plans-to-allow-unvaccinated-health-care-workers-to-return-to-work_4827661.html

Come together to fight those pulling the strings

By **DARREN SMITH**

It's the trillionaires we need to worry about

"IT'S a big club, and you ain't in it!" exclaimed comedian **George Carlin** during his brilliant 2005 monologue on how **"the politicians are put there to give you the illusion of choice when you have none - you have owners; they own you!"**

These owners have control of all the important land, the banks, the politicians, the judges and all the news and information you get to hear. And they have moved on much further in the last two decades with the explosive growth of the internet and their control over the majority of that, too.


Think about it - you vote every three or four years for one or another major party with pretty much the same manifestos, none of which are legally binding, nor contain details of what the government is actually going to do. Who voted for war with Iraq and Syria, mass surveillance, internet censorship or locking down the whole country? That is the extent of the 'people ruled' democracy we truly have in this, and most other, so-called democratic nations.

The truth is, we have no say in what goes on or what is being done, other than voting for either of two sides of the same coin, but they are brilliant at making us believe we do.

We could have much more choice but we have been led to believe that we don't need to get involved with what governments, local councils and giant corporations/Non-Government Organisations are up to, even though this is where the levers of power are and decisions are made on where vast sums of money will get spent - our money.

Meanwhile they are passing laws to rob you of your freedoms, censoring what you say. The Online Safety Bill 2021 is vague and open to interpretation. Australia's Climate Change Bill 2022 further diminishes Australia's energy security.

Queensland Government's Health Practitioner Regulation National Law and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2022 erodes the doctor-patient



It is hard for people to accept that those who rule see the rest of us as cattle to be herded from birth to slaughterhouse, all as carefully monitored and controlled as in Aldous Huxley's *Brave New World*

relationship and gives unlimited power to the government. In Western Australia the Emergency Management Bill 2022 gives the state the capacity to respond to the Covid-19 pandemic and similar events in the future. Notice the vagueness in the bill.

The majority of people are happy to be distracted with high-definition, supersized, technicolour daydreams of a shiny world they neither need nor will ever have, aided by drugs and stimulants of various types to inoculate them from reality.

Choices will get fewer and fewer as prices go higher and higher, because of the owners' fundamental control of the money system, which means control of the entire economy. Because the largest corporations are traded on the world's stock markets, the money-power can purchase the largest stakes in them, creating a situation where one entity owns the entire means of production and distribution and can then politicise them.

We are forced to swallow the propaganda wherever we go; to mould our behaviour and to accept 'global causes', not because of their actual danger, but because their constant repetition

from every orifice of the media machine, means people are simply worn down and browbeaten into accepting nonsense and falsehood.

Monopolies and cartels abound in mining, manufacturing, retail, transport, banking, media, education, health, food, energy, the internet and every other digital and real-world sector, and at the summit of ownership lies the mysterious mega-fund Wall Street firms such as Vanguard and BlackRock.

The facts - that they all own stakes in each other and that no one can go beyond this to see who the ultimate owners are - leads some to believe it is the old money-power which has been in place down the centuries, namely the House of Rothschild.

Whilst some might laughingly claim it is antisemitic to do so, shining a light on who controls the giant financial web - covering London, New York, Frankfurt, Hong Kong, Shanghai, Tokyo and the world - helps us focus our efforts in stopping those in control from achieving their diabolical goals.

Another tactic to try and lead people off track is to use the conspiracy theory trope, as if we haven't watched the huge

corporations and banks mushroom over the decades, while small businesses got crushed.

Have we not seen the gradual removal of our basic rights under the guise of keeping us safe, plus the piecemeal nudging to live online, where everything can be easily monitored and controlled?

They have everything needed to transform the world into a giant prison, with control of what every individual is and is not allowed to do, including be alive.

Regardless of who is running things and what the relationships are between BlackRock, Vanguard, the Rothschilds, the Vatican, the secret societies and international bodies like Chatham House and the United Nations, the fact that there are plans afoot to enslave and reduce the world's population is such an open secret now, that only the most hopelessly asleep can fail to see it any longer.

What we do about it is the next question, and it is one where too many think we have no hope, and there is no choice but the one these banksters are deciding for us.

But we do have a choice; we do have power, but only if we use it. Even in a faux democracy, which tyrannies often are, the people can organise in numbers to pressure their 'representatives'. But only if they actually do so will this power mean anything. They are not afraid to do this in China, but somehow in Australia we have become numb and compliant and accepting of more and more state control.

We have a constitution that protects human rights and freedoms based on common law inherited from the Magna Carta of 1215. The idea that we should no longer abide by the founding principles of our constitution because of the broken promises (treason) of the rulers is one wholly emanating from those in power - and should be treated with the suspicion and contempt it deserves.

However, such is the power of the education, news and media systems that most people do not even know what's happening - how their rights have been trampled on and what their future holds if they don't wise up quickly.

People know politics is corrupt and that there are megalomaniac billionaires but they find it hard to accept that there are trillionaires running the entire show through a fraudulent banking system.

These trillionaires have supporting billionaires and their organisations and companies, whose collective aim is total control of earth, including mankind and all resources. This is being made possible through people's acceptance of the digitisation of their lives and the world around them.

It is hard for people to accept that those who rule see the rest of us as cattle to be herded from birth to the slaughterhouse, all as carefully monitored and controlled as in Aldous Huxley's *Brave New World*. Perhaps this is why many of the dystopian books and films are created - to get people used to the idea that this is the natural order of things.

We need to break out of that helpless mentality and take back responsibility for our lives, come together and stand as one against these utterly insane psychopaths who have hidden their intentions very well. Now that it is almost coming to fruition, however, it is inevitable that everything will be out in the open.

The only question is, what will we do to stop it?

■ <https://free-trade.org>

The truth is, we have no say in what goes on or what is being done, other than voting for either of two sides of the same coin, but they are brilliant at making us believe we do.

Make public aware of how toxic great reset is

By **MATT GUBBA**

Democracy under World Economic Forum an illusion

IN western society, we believe in democracy. We trust our elected leaders to ultimately make the decisions that best guide the direction of our nation and impact our lives.

But what happens when our elected leaders are under the influence of another person or organisation? What if the policies they implement are not theirs, but those of their influencers? Do we still live in a democracy?

In 2019, the United Nations and the World Economic Forum (WEF) agreed a partnership to further develop the sustainable development goals of Agenda 2030.

Recently, many people have expressed concerns about these organisations, particularly the WEF's undue influence over world governments.

As a non-government organisation (NGO), the WEF doesn't hold direct

power over government decisions, but that does not mean they are not able to influence them. How can an organisation like the WEF affect the decisions world superpowers make on behalf of their citizens?

It's pretty simple. The WEF's membership comprises super rich individuals, investment funds, and multinational corporations. Essentially, the same organisations that finance the major political parties and the election campaigns of individual politicians. So, the funding of many politicians comes from the same people and organisations that are members of the WEF. If that isn't enough, it gets worse.

Over the past several decades, the WEF has carefully curated a cohort of 'Young Global Leaders', and manoeuvred them into influential positions in world governments. You may have heard of some of them before: Tony Blair, Justin Trudeau, Jacinda Arden, Emmanuel Macron, and Angela Merkel, to name a few.

The combination of this financial leverage and the placement of indoctrinated leaders, puts the WEF and its members in a unique position of influence over the policies of numerous governments.



The WEF's insidious nature is what makes it so dangerous. It operates in plain sight, claiming to be working for the good of humanity. But the reality is, the WEF exists to represent and further its own interests. Altruism doesn't come into it.

It has one objective only - to build a future controlled by its members, in which they can sustain their control indefinitely. The extreme ideologies and propaganda they push all lead back to this. They call it The Great Reset.

The WEF's vision of the future is one in which it presides over, and includes a significantly reduced human population of under one billion people, all strictly controlled and monitored using new

technologies like digital IDs and programmable currencies.

National democracies would cease to exist, replaced with a single technocratic world government. What they refer to as 'useless eaters' will be eliminated from society and replaced with machines that carry out manual labour and blue-collar work.

But why do they want this? The WEF is so focused on net zero targets and renewable energy, not because it wants to make the world a better place for you to live in, but because it wants to preserve the Earth's natural resources for itself, while removing us from the equation.

Humanity is at a pivotal point. We are living in a time of unprecedented technological advancement, unfolding at an ever-accelerating pace. The WEF is aware this kind of technological change could quickly reshape the landscape of global power. Just look at how the meteoric rise of big tech has changed the playing field over the past decade. The prospect of something like that happening again before the WEF members can roll out their 2030 agenda terrifies them.

You cannot stop technological progress. But you can try to control it and use it to your advantage. And

herein lies the WEF's obsession with global governance and the fourth industrial revolution.

So what can we do to stop them from enacting the Great Reset? The future the WEF has planned is by no means fixed. It is far from a done deal. For it to be able to achieve its goals, it requires apathy and compliance from the public. It needs people to continue voting for its compromised politicians, and its members to keep funding them. And that is where we can hit them.

We need to make the idea of being associated with the WEF so politically toxic that their influence and funding disappear. We achieve this by highlighting what is happening, revealing compromised politicians and companies and rallying the public against them.

Recently, I founded the International Liberty Forum, a non-profit organisation focused on achieving exactly this. I firmly believe that if we stand united and wake up enough people to the true intentions of the WEF, we can and will stop the Great Reset.

■ <https://thelibertyforum.org>

Intolerance In The Age Of Tolerance

By **MICHAEL O'NEILL**

AUSTRALIA in the twentieth century saw great bounds in tolerance that made life easier for groups, ideologies and races that had previously been victims of hate, disadvantage and segregation. The civil rights movement, the attitudes to gay members of society, acceptance of immigrants from different cultures, land and other rights for indigenous Australians have all seen Australia evolve into a country that slowly became inclusive and tolerant.

What does it mean to be tolerant? *'the ability or willingness to tolerate the existence of opinions or behaviour that one dislikes or disagrees with.'* Oxford Dictionary

Unfortunately, tolerance has gradually disintegrated in the twenty first century. It has been marked by alarming signs of a significant deterioration in tolerance. While as a society

we applaud ourselves on past accomplishments of inclusion, we are now seeing high levels of intolerance and persecution of sections of our society that hold a different view.

Australians who have a different opinion than mainstream thought on medication, vaccination and health choices, are subject to extreme ridicule, marginalisation and loss of rights that would have been thought impossible in the late twentieth century.

We see people marching in our streets celebrating their acceptance into mainstream society. They condemn their past oppression, and freely display their differences with hope for the future. It all appears so inclusive and tolerant.

But what of the new oppressed. What is their life like in a country that has immersed itself in a 'must medicate everyone' ideology that is propped up by untold millions in propaganda. What is it like to lose your right to work; to be educated; to access medical and hospital services. How do these people feel when they are ridiculed by their



elected members of government and the media and are portrayed as a threat to the good order of Australia? Does anybody care?

Australia is no longer a tolerant society. It has lost that mantle and is now an oppressor of minorities and does so nationally with a zeal that would make the Nazi Minister of Propaganda, Joseph Goebbels, jealous.

It is easy to feel sorry for the mistakes of your forefathers. It is easy to condemn bad actions by a society that you were not part of, but the true test of an enlightened and tolerant society is how they deal with minorities amongst them today. Australia has abysmally failed and continues to fail this test.

We are living though a time of shame that will be revealed in the future. Then, no doubt, people who did not participate in the persecution will show horror at the stupidity of the previous generations that succumbed to the mob rule against their neighbours and former friends.

Currently there is very little political will to stand up for the rights of all Australians. This has to change so that all Australians have representation, and that their views are protected by law. As well as their view being represented, there needs to be safeguards in law that never allow Australians to be persecuted, segregated and penalised for holding an opinion, or philosophy that may differ from the majority thought.

Unless arrested, the current direction will not have a good end for Australia and its citizens. We need Rights enshrined in law. We need those rights already in place in our Constitution adhered to. We have become a heartless society, that prides itself in being tolerant, but the reality is that we have allowed

evil forces to create fear, resulting in families and friends turning us against each other, and our natural affection towards each other as Australians negated. We became a divided nation for no logical reason, and have legislation in place that will perpetuate further division.

A house divided cannot stand. The idea that the government, or companies that profit from a supposed remedy, should be allowed to dictate what we do to our bodies is a crime against all Australians.

Let our mantra be, 'Never Again'. We were sold a lie, and the evidence is in. A return to tolerance is vital for Australia to prosper, whether that be medical, religious, racial or social tolerance.

Mark those politicians that betrayed their responsibility in the last 3 years and do not ever vote for them again. We need brave and fearless political representatives. It's time to move ahead and once again become an inclusive country that values the diversity that every citizen brings, and respects their life choices.

Pfizer knew their vaccine would kill

By **JANINE GRIFFITHS**

Own data show shocking number of fatalities and side effects now officially associated with Covid-19 shots

THE documents were first leaked in a cyber attack on the European Medicines Agency website. More than 40 megabytes of classified information from the agency's review were published on the dark web, and several journalists including those at the British Medical Journal were sent copies of the leak.

In the U.S., the Food and Drug Administration had previously agreed to withhold the documents and their jaw-dropping revelations from the public for 75 years, until Texas District Judge Mark Pittman ordered their release within eight months, stating it was 'of paramount public importance'.

Most alarmingly of all, the documents show that in the trials there were at least 1,223 deaths reported in the first 28 days after injection.

The NHS, media and the government continually state that the vaccines are 'safe and effective' while those that report vaccine-related injuries via the Yellow Card scheme are often accused of making false correlations or imagining their symptoms.

However, the Pfizer documents paint a very different picture, listing thousands of side effects that occurred at an alarming rate, which were as a direct result of taking the experimental genetic injection.

According to their report, Pfizer hired 600 extra staff to handle the sheer number of adverse reactions from its Covid-19 shot, and said it had planned to hire 1,800 in total.

Serious side effects included, but were not limited to: auto-immune disorders; blindness; diabetes; herpes; heart problems such as myocarditis; thyroid disorders; neurological conditions such as multiple sclerosis; seizures; epilepsy; narcolepsy and Guillain-Barré Syndrome.

Non-fatal conditions such as eczema, blisters, asthma, fertility problems, inflammatory bowel disease, deafness and even tongue biting are also listed among the side effects by Pfizer.

While it has been approved for use in pregnant women, it is also known to cause pregnancy complications, including many spontaneous abortions. One of the many issues it causes is anaphylactoid syndrome of pregnancy or ASP for short.

ASP is a fatal disease for mothers and is among the leading causes of maternal mortality. Symptoms include severe bleeding, confusion, shortness of breath and anxiety. There is therefore a high risk for pregnant women taking the Covid-19 'vaccine'.

The Pfizer document also lists various blood disorders, Crohn's disease and liver failure as side effects. Blood clotting was another issue reported from the trials.

One of the most telling side effects listed is...Covid-19. Proponents often



argue that despite the possible side effects associated with some of the Covid-19 shots, they at least prevent people from dying from Covid-19.

The problem is that the 'vaccine' actually causes people to develop the disease, and so it is contributing to the number of cases, listing Covid-19-associated pneumonia as a side effect.

Some may argue that these problems are only associated with the Pfizer shot, but death and serious injuries have been present and publicly acknowledged with all of the manufacturers' injections.

Research developed by Edinburgh University showed that almost 350 Britons have been struck down with a rare clotting disorder after getting the AstraZeneca vaccine.

These blood clots cause minor bruising around the body and can leave some with a purple-dotted rash.

The Moderna vaccine has been associated with heart problems such as myocarditis and pericarditis. Their list of adverse reactions also

Table 10. COVID-19 cases by vaccination status between week 5 2022 and week 8 2022

Please note that corresponding rates by vaccination status can be found in Table 13.

Cases reported by specimen date between week 5 2022 (w/e 6 February 2022) and week 8 2022 (w/e 27 February 2022)	Total	Unlinked*	Not vaccinated	Received one dose (1 to 20 days before specimen date)	Received one dose, ≥21 days before specimen date	Second dose ≥14 days before specimen date ¹	Third dose ≥14 days before specimen date ¹
[This data should be interpreted with caution. See information below in footnote about the correct interpretation of these figures]							
Under 16	244,403	11,642	169,482	2,588	40,627	18,961	1,103
18 to 29	197,577	15,845	27,313	816	10,460	54,092	89,051
30 to 39	210,906	12,215	24,469	420	6,525	44,802	122,675
40 to 49	187,850	8,738	13,228	197	3,261	25,954	136,472
50 to 59	144,909	6,562	5,871	81	1,417	12,030	118,948
60 to 69	86,258	3,890	2,263	36	617	4,051	75,401
70 to 79	50,250	2,188	932	19	302	1,501	45,308
80 or over	32,706	2,850	755	7	296	1,807	26,991

* Individuals whose NHS numbers were unavailable to link to the NIMS.

includes inflammation, fainting and breathing difficulties.

Data from the UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) in the table has also revealed that both Covid-19 deaths and cases were worse in vaccinated people, particularly those over the age of 18. The official data is clear: the chances of developing Covid-19 increases significantly following subsequent 'booster' jabs.

This is broadly in line with the information contained in the Pfizer document, which states that the shots cause Covid-19 and respiratory illnesses.

Coupled with the fact that ONS data recently revealed that Covid-19 deaths were much lower than previously thought, the risks of taking the vaccine seem to greatly outweigh the risks of not doing so.

■ [https://phmpt.org/pfizers-documents-\(Postmarketing-Experience-5.3.6\)](https://phmpt.org/pfizers-documents-(Postmarketing-Experience-5.3.6))

■ <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/cyberattack-ema-update-5>

■ <https://www.bmj.com/content/372/bmj.n627>

■ <https://www.reuters.com/legal/government/>

paramount-importance-judge-orders-fda-hasten-release-pfizer-vaccine-docs-2022-01-07/

■ https://www.ed.ac.uk/files/atoms/files/scotland_firstvaccinatedata_preprint.pdf

■ <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/freedom-of-information-responses-from-the-mhra-week-commencing-13-september-2021/freedom-of-information-request-on-blood-clotting-following-astrazeneca-covid-19-vaccine-foi-21937>

■ <https://www.marketwatch.com/story/blood-clots-as-prevalent-with-pfizer-and-moderna-vaccine-as-with-astrazenecas-report-2021-04-15>

■ <https://www.health.gov.au/initiatives-and-programs/covid-19-vaccine-claims-scheme>

■ https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1058464/Vaccine-surveillance-report-week-9.pdf

■ *Janine Griffiths is founder and editor of akashictimes.co.uk*

G20 commit to vaccine passports and Agenda 2030 in Bali declaration

By **DARREN SMITH**

REPRESENTATIVES of the Group of Twenty (G20) countries met in Bali on 15-16 November 2022, and issued a declaration that again exposes collective intent to control all the world's people, at the expense of our health, autonomy, and fundamental freedoms.

These are not empty words; these are the leaders of the world's major countries, and they are going to act upon their statements. Paragraph 5 of the declaration reads: 'It is essential that the G20 undertakes tangible, precise, swift and necessary actions, using all available policy tools, to address common challenges, including through international

macro policy cooperation and concrete collaborations.'

It is alarming by itself, of course, but these intended policies are an exact match to the stated agenda of the World Economic Forum, a private body no one has elected to govern.

When the people find out their freedoms are being removed, they will not want it, which is why corporate media have been complicitly silent about this declaration.

The G20 are still committed to the fake war on weather, de-industrialisation and creating energy crises: paragraph 17 reiterates the intention to implement COP26 commitments, the Paris Agreement and the push for 'net zero' by any means available, phasing out traditional fuels.

Perhaps the most alarming is the commitment to a world

health dictatorship and towards an international pandemic treaty, as stated in paragraph 19.

Ignoring vaccine damage and continuing to make sure they are pushed to everyone, paragraph 22 declares: 'Covid-19 immunisation is a global public good and we will advance our effort to ensure timely, equitable and universal access to safe, affordable, quality and effective vaccines, therapeutics and diagnostics (VTDs). We remain committed to enhancing global surveillance, including genomic surveillance, in order to detect pathogens and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) that may threaten human health.'

World Council of Health's Tess Lawrie, wrote in response: 'Mounting evidence of regulatory body corruption, and real-world data of harms associated with the Covid-19 injection program do not

support this statement. The World Council for Health invites members of the G20 to take responsibility for the serious failings of the Covid-19 injection program and stand accountable for the extensive harm wreaked on men, women, and children during the Covid-19 crisis.'

Vaccine passports are also back on the menu, despite being soundly rejected by even the vaccinated in most countries. The Health Minister of Indonesia, Budi Gunadi Sadikin, advocated for them, saying, "Let's have a digital health certificate acknowledged by WHO. If you have been vaccinated or tested properly, then you can move around."

This is reflected in paragraph 23: 'recognising digital solutions and non-digital solutions, including proof of vaccinations.'

Continuing to move life online where it can be completely controlled

and censored, the G20 want 'a resilient, safe and secure online environment to enhance confidence and trust in the digital economy. We acknowledge the importance to counter disinformation campaigns, cyber threats, online abuse, and ensuring security in connectivity infrastructure.'

Serious questions as to the nature of the problems they are trying to solve should arise from this G20 declaration, especially as it has been unreported in the world's media.

■ <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2022/11/16/g20-bali-leaders-declaration>

■ <https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/resources/covid-19-vaccine-pharmacovigilance-report/>

Amnesty? Before forgiveness comes repentance

By **RICHARD HOUSE**

Call for Covid-19 amnesty met with astonishment

THERE have been many responses to the recent proposal by Professor of Economics Emily Oster for an ‘amnesty’ and a moving-on from all the schisms that have typified the Covid-19 era.

One of the most devastating ripostes came from the host of the HighWire media platform, journalist Del Bigtree. This is a summary of the case that Bigtree made against Oster’s amnesty proposal - in his own words, from episode 292 of The HighWire.

“Let us be the ones that forgive so that we can go back. In some ways what is being asked of us is to forgive. I think forgiveness is a good thing, but in order to forgive, there’s something that first has to happen.

“To all those people who were opposed to what I’ve been saying, and who were censoring The HighWire - could you please articulate for me all those things that we are supposed to forgive? Because I don’t know. I don’t know what you know, and what you don’t know. I think that’s important in this place of forgiveness. So what are we supposed to forgive? Are we supposed to forgive that you locked down and took away our jobs, our dreams of having a future? In that process, you destroyed our economy, not just in America but across the world.

“Are we supposed to forgive all the sanitising and all the spraying and the destruction of our nasal passages, as you tested us with the product which itself couldn’t even test properly? And how about all those who died and weren’t treated correctly in hospitals? And we couldn’t even get to our loved ones to say goodbye to them - the elderly, our aunts and uncles, our mothers, our fathers.

“Instead, we were left outside, and they were left to die alone. We couldn’t even go to a funeral. And how about all the suicides that took place, and the child abuse and domestic abuse and drug abuse? Or turning our children into hypochondriacs?

“How about denying people transplants because they didn’t want an experimental ‘vaccine’? And what about the police brutality, attacking those people who were standing in the truth; who wanted to watch their child playing in a sports event without wearing a mask - because

“And how many athletes have been crashing to the floor unable to play again, or maybe dead? All the performers who can’t get through a concert or can’t even perform any longer? Is this brand-new ‘normal’ what we are supposed to forgive and forget, and have amnesty for, while



We didn’t get lucky: On the contrary, we were reading the science while you were quoting experts and listening to doctors that ended up being liars

they knew, as we know now, that masks don’t work.

“Should we forgive the President who said he wouldn’t foist the ‘vaccine’ upon us and then took away jobs from the military, from police officers, and from frontline doctors and firefighters, leaving them with nowhere to go?

“And then there are the injuries: all sorts of people suffering from seizures that are unexplained, and the lies from the pharmaceutical industry saying that these injuries never happened. What about the ‘vaccines’ that are not only hurting people, but are still being mandated on our [US] children? Do we forgive while we are still, even now, giving this product to our innocent children who are not at risk?

it’s still happening right now?

“And what about the doctors who still have their licensing under attack? And laws that just got passed in California and are being written in other US States? If you said any of the things that have now proved to be true, like this vaccine doesn’t work or [Covid-19] has a very low death rate, or maybe you should try ivermectin or hydroxychloroquine - you can lose your licence.

“Or in the case of the most renowned heart doctor in the world, Dr Peter McCullough, he has now had his licence, which made him a world-renowned cardiologist, taken away.

“Why? Because he’s been quoting the science from around the world on blood clots, on

thrombocytopenia, on myocarditis (the swelling of the heart) - something he knew about more than anyone else. So in the very moment when Dr McCullough’s licence is being taken away and he’s under attack, we’re supposed to give amnesty right now?

“And what is it I’m supposed to forgive you for? Because there’s a word that goes along with forgiveness, if we’re to look at the biblical spiritual nature of this. That word is ‘repent’. You see, in order to deserve to be forgiven, you must articulate what you were being forgiven for. That’s called ‘repenting’. So please explain to me what you think you did wrong here.

“Because at the Nuremberg Trials, which is what maybe is about to happen in the USA, the doctors did not repent; they did not say they made a mistake. What they said was, ‘We didn’t know better - we were just following orders. We were just doing what we were told, and there was no way to know better.’

“I’m all about forgiveness, but I’m not even ready for that

conversation till I hear those that made that mistake, those that continue to censor, those that continue to attack, and those who will not admit that these are not sudden adult syndrome deaths; we’re not randomly seeing excess mortality deaths around the world because maybe we locked down for the wrong reasons.

“No, when you stop being mystified by this rise in deaths and get to the reality that you gave an unproven, untested pharmaceutical product that was killing every animal in every animal trial prior to giving it to human beings; when you admit that, just maybe it is the ‘vaccines’ that are killing us around the world, dying and collapsing on fields and collapsing in cages.

“When you’re ready to repent, I will forgive. And then, and only then, will we consider amnesty.”

■ <https://independentviewpoints.com/tinyurl.com/antbpju9>

■ thehighwire.com

■ icandecide.org

Smart Newcastle

By **JULIANNE ROMANELLO**

THE city of Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia has partnered with Cisco Systems to make the area a Smart City.

And - in the actual words of a Cisco advertorial - they want to ‘build a city-wide Wi-Fi network which will spread like a vast web connecting people, their devices and increasingly large numbers of digital sensors’.

These sensors would ‘track, monitor and collect data on everything from street lights to roads, trains and buses, parking and waste management’.

The people of Newcastle are about to take part in one of the biggest trials in the world for the Internet of Things (IoT).

Urban sociologist Dr Nathaniel Bavinton, the Smart City Coordinator at the City of Newcastle, said: “IoT is an enormously exciting and incredible thing for the city to be moving into.”

Newcastle is a perfect example of how universities partner with government and business in order to control the economic and social conditions of a city or region.

The name of the theory that

established the ‘best practices’ for this kind of partnership is Anchor Institution Theory, and it is used all over the world.

Anchor institutions such as hospitals or universities are (or were) generally trusted by the public, so the thought was they could sponsor and implement Fourth Industrial Revolution programs that would otherwise incur public scrutiny if initiated by government or business.

Hubs and special opportunity zones spring up around these anchors, often after a city has declared the surrounding neighbourhood to be in blight.

This results in the real estate being grabbed from residents in order to bestow it upon the developers.

All in all, the pattern in Newcastle looks to be fairly standard. Or rather, its pattern is setting the standard - claims Cisco in its advertorial. I doubt the people of Newcastle had any idea that they were being enrolled in such a trial.

■ <https://www.newcastle.edu.au/newsroom/featured/university-of-newcastle-named-the-leading-university-partner-for-a-better-world>

NMENTS

MILITARY

BANKING

FOOD



PLUTO

FULL SPECTRUM DC

While the rest of us are experiencing the worst drop in living standards since the 1970s, the v King Solomon, at the same time as acquiring each other, leaving us with fewer and fewer cho almost all available to be bought and sold on the world's stock markets, and a few huge invest companies, acting in tandem with the state and using their economic muscle to nudge and co us to take, should alarm everyone across the spectrum of political viewpoints - aside from aut



CRACY

DOMINANCE EDITION

World's huge corporations, media empires and investment banks have become richer than ever, and leaving them with more control than ever. The world's biggest companies are owned by investment funds hold the largest shares in almost all of them. The recent politicisation of the world has forced people into accepting whatever irrational and divisive action and viewpoint they want. Now what if they went all religious?

Assange verdict: British courts and media **GUILTY!**

By **BRETT REDMAYNE-TITLEY**

THE months-long extradition hearings of Julian Assange, a trial that will forever define modern journalism, have been shamelessly whitewashed by British media. Too few news agencies reported on the utter abrogation of judicial due process and the rule of law exhibited in a British courtroom against an innocent fellow journalist.

This sham trial exposed a fundamental British truth: that the UK courts and its press are really America's concubines, willing to promote any US interest on command.

With the number of courtroom seats set at only sixteen, the few in attendance at Westminster Magistrates' Court provided the only reporting from the trial to the world. Former UK ambassador to Uzbekistan, Craig Murray, reported daily on the courtroom torture of Julian Assange. However, the world's media quashed the story!

Murray wrote in outrage: "I simply cannot believe the blatant abuse of process that is unfolding before my eyes in this courtroom... A complicit mainstream media has ensured those of us who know what is happening are very few."

Extradition effectively began after Assange was dragged from the Ecuadorian Embassy on April 11, 2019, and sentenced on May 1 to fifty weeks in jail for jumping bail for the safety offered by then-president Rafael Correa. The bell tolled when incoming president Lenin Moreno rescinded his asylum due to U.S. pressure.

The judge for the extradition was Vanessa Baraitser, who was selected by Chief Magistrate, Lady Arbuthnot. It has been claimed her husband, Lord James Arbuthnot, had substantial interests with UK and U.S. defence and security contractors and had condemned Assange publicly. (<https://www.dailymaverick.co.za/article/2019-11-14-julian-assanges-judge-and-her-husbands-links-to-the-british-military-establishment-exposed-by-wikileaks/>)

Baraitser denied bail, and ordered Assange to be placed each day in a plexiglass box, with one bailiff



on each side of him at the back of the courtroom, where he could not communicate with his attorneys. Baraitser's order brought defence councils QC Edward Fitzgerald and QC Mark Summers together with prosecutor QC James Lewis in stipulating to allow Assange to sit, as normal, with his council. Baraitser denied the request.

Assange was punished before and during the hearings. Always handcuffed, he was moved between five isolation cells on his way to his plastic box. In Belmarsh prison, he has not been allowed proper medical attention, denied his books, writing supplies, and access to his court documents, while being kept in solitary confinement.

When Trump became U.S. president his Attorney General Jeff Sessions stated that it was suddenly "a priority for the Justice Department" to arrest Julian Assange. Later, William Barr, as AG, issued a new superseding indictment in May 2019 - sealed and ignored since 2013 - that added seventeen counts related to the 1917 Espionage Act. This had been an abandoned attempt by Obama's AG, Eric Holder, who refused to indict Assange using the Espionage Act. Yet the allegations were the same in 2018 as they had been in 2013.

Assange's fate may have been sealed, however, when in the last weeks of the Trump/Clinton presidential campaign, Wikileaks provided to the American voter documentary emails that showed that the Democratic National Committee (DNC), led by Donna Brazile, John Podesta, and Amy Wasserman Schultz,

were not promoting democracy at all, but instead a two-year conspiracy to elect only Hillary Clinton, regardless of rising national support for Bernie Sanders. Days later, Hillary lost.

Abuse of process by the prosecution could have given many reasons for an impartial judge to summarily dismiss

extradition treaty provided a specific exemption for political prisoners. A separate UK treaty, not specific to the U.S./UK treaty however, did not contain this prohibition. Baraitser ultimately favoured the prosecution.

The defence produced experts with working knowledge of Alexandria

After many weeks in court, Judge Baraitser ignored virtually the entire defence and ruled that the U.S./UK treaty prohibition did not apply

the extradition request. But the court ignored the wiretap of Assange's embassy office, and of his privileged conversations with his attorneys, the U.S. discussion of his assassination by poison, and that much of the evidence produced by the prosecution was false.

QC Lewis spoke for the American interests while a team of four unknown Americans sat behind him each day passing him notes. The prosecution's case hung on four main points: Assange was not a journalist nor protected by free speech or political prisoner statutes; Assange had committed crimes when he allegedly helped Chelsea Manning obtain a password for her leaked classified material; that Wikileaks put lives at risk by failing to redact important names when publishing the 2010 leak; and that Assange would certainly receive fair treatment in a U.S. gulag after being found guilty.

For testimony, the prosecution relied substantially on the written affidavit of U.S. Assistant Attorney Gordon Kromberg, who was the man who crafted the 2018 U.S. superseding indictment against Assange. Kromberg provided many assurances of Assange's guilt.

For the defence, QC Fitzgerald and QC Summers countered every allegation using expert witnesses with impeccable credentials. The court is said to have restricted the defence by not allowing more than thirty minutes to introduce each expert and their statements to the court.

The very legality of the U.S. extradition request was first in question because the 2004 U.S./UK

City Jail, and the Florence, Colorado SuperMax prison - Assange's fate. Twenty-three hours a day in isolation with one hour of exercise while shackled, two phone calls and one family visit a month, and restricted access to his attorneys. Gordon Kromberg, by affidavit, 'assured' the court that Assange would not likely suffer these conditions.

With the hearing already under way, on June 20, 2020, the prosecution suddenly announced that it was introducing a brand new subsequent indictment. Evidence showed that the U.S. prosecution had planned this move for months despite the defence's preparations. No matter. Baraitser gave the defence six weeks to prepare to challenge the new allegations that the U.S. had kept secret from the court and the defence for months.

Regarding the allegations of Assange helping Manning gain a password for access to classified information, the defence and its witnesses showed that no password was required to gain access; that a journalist aiding a source is constitutionally protected; that Assange had gone to great lengths to redact sensitive information, and that Wikileaks had worked directly with U.S. officials to do so.

As to the Manning leak becoming public, the defence claimed it was two journalists from The Guardian newspaper, Luke Harding and David Leigh, who published the security code in their tell-all book, Wikileaks: Inside Julian Assange's war on secrecy. The code created by Wikileaks was to keep the Manning tranche safe while redacting. The prosecution claimed

that when Assange got word of the situation he alerted U.S. officials. Leigh is said to have dismissed these allegations to the Associated Press, according to a report from CBS News in 2011 (<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/wikileaks-breach-made-all-cables-public/>).

On June 26, 2021, the man the FBI used as its main informant in Iceland regarding Assange helping Manning break the non-existent password, Sigurdur Ingi Thordarson, recanted his testimony, saying it was coerced. His claim is bolstered by Iceland's expulsion of the FBI, because it was fostering Thordarson to frame Assange.

After many weeks, the court ruled that the U.S./UK treaty prohibition did not apply, Assange was not a journalist, nor a political refugee; he did help Manning access a code that did not exist, and he had not redacted national security information.

Unfathomably, Baraitser next ruled that Assange could not be extradited, since incarceration American-style would likely force his eventual suicide.

Any celebration was momentary. Baraitser remanded Assange back into custody, pending a U.S. appeal. In appeals, the UK High Court on Dec 10, 2021, ruled that renewed American "assurances" regarding Assange's treatment in U.S. prison could legally negate Baraitser's inconvenient decision.

Assange next appealed to the UK Supreme Court, but they refused him standing, leaving Home Secretary Priti Patel to twist the knife and approve Assange's extradition on June 16.

The extradition, a kangaroo court twelve years in the making, will now return before the High Court in a last desperate appeal for abuse of process. But first, the Union Jack will be dutifully lowered again from sight... in favour of the Stars and Stripes.

■ **Call the High Court now.**
+44 (0) 20 7947 6010.
"Free Julian Assange!"

■ **Brett Redmayne-Titley documents the 'Sorrows of Empire.'** An archive of articles can be found at watchingromeburn.uk. He can be contacted at live-on-scene@gmx.com

ORDER THE PAPER!



If you want to help spread The Uncensored Truth, why not order some copies online for your group, town or community?
Visit our website at www.thelightaustralia.com/order-the-paper

Hollywood woke agenda costs at the box office

By LUKE COLLIN

Films used to be fun, entertaining and captivating but now they are hollow, dissatisfying and... political



Woke films are bombing at the box office. People go to movies to be entertained, not indoctrinated, and it's turning audiences off.

DO you remember watching movies that had interesting protagonists and antagonists - characters who had some depth? Films with a novel, interesting and compelling story-line?

These days, you will find movies that feature a strong female lead with no flaws, no room for character development within the story, and who are supported by weak, clumsy, idiotic men that are laughable caricatures. A perfect example of this is the first of the recent *Star Wars* trilogy, *The Force Awakens*.

There are so many problems with these movies, and the political agenda is screaming at the audience as soon as the opening title and credits role. The strong male lead, Luke Skywalker, is gone, and the First Order - or the Patriarchy of white men - are a rising threat.

The audience meet the main protagonist, Rey, who has been living in a poor settlement on the desert planet of Jakku, where she scavenges ship parts in order to exchange them for food to survive. Within the next forty minutes, we soon learn that not only is Rey an excellent scavenger, but she is also a martial artist, Olympic sprinter and a skilful fighter pilot that knows more about the Millennium Falcon than Han Solo does. We also see that Rey makes a former highly trained stormtrooper, Finn, the support protagonist, look incredibly clumsy and weak.

She is in God mode by the time we are halfway through the first film - something that Luke Skywalker had to wait three movies for, so we could see his character development.

Kylo Ren could have developed into an interesting villain, but he just didn't. As soon as he takes off his mask, we see that he is an emotional wreck who has outbursts

of rage when he doesn't get his own way. What a toxic male!

This excuse of a villain is the son of Han Solo, who appears to be a deadbeat dad, but, with no further story arc, he is killed off by his emotionally vulnerable son. It is one unbelievably tragic scene after another. Not only do they destroy Han Solo's character, Luke is made into a shell of a man, who has given up on the force, and wants to live in exile and spill milk down his beard. The actor who played Luke Skywalker, Mark Hamill, was left outraged and upset at the way Disney dismantled his character, and *Star Wars* as a whole.

The agenda of making men weak and women strong is not only visible on the big screen, but also occurs in Disney's TV shows, such as their recent show, *Kenobi*. Although Ewan McGregor was meant to be the main protagonist, he instead spends most of the show being bossed around by young Leia, who is ten years old. She is also just like Rey, and can suddenly do everything.

The show plays heavily on the nostalgia for good times long gone, in order to generate income to fund their new and upcoming homosexual, social justice propaganda shows for children on Disney Plus. Talisa Garcia has become the first trans actress to be cast by Disney.

Disney's subsidiary company, Marvel, has done the same as the *Star Wars* sequel trilogy. Marvel's recent instalment, *Thor: Love and Thunder* is a mess at best. Thor was sidelined in his own film for Natalie Portman's forgettable character. He was portrayed as weak, silly, and stripped naked in front of everyone to see. If it was one of the female characters stripped naked, we really wouldn't hear the end of it from the feminists. The other protagonist of this film is Valkyrie, played

by Tessa Thompson. Her name is changed in this film to King Valkyrie, and this is just one big mockery of men.

Marvel's terrible film before this, *Doctor Strange and the Multiverse of Madness*, was filled with woke identity politics, and contained a same-sex couple.

Moving away from Disney, Amazon's recent series, *The Rings of Power*, is on the nostalgia bandwagon, and contains similar

The Lord of the Rings trilogy, directed by Peter Jackson, is a unique fantasy series based on and faithful to Tolkien's novels, that many regard as a masterpiece of the genre.

Amazon's show, however, is undeniably woke, featuring identity politics and a vast, diverse cast, despite *Forbes* magazine's attempts to denying its wokeness.

Tolkien derived his ideas from the mythology of the Anglo-

secondary citizens, because they are attempting to destroy society, confuse and blur gender roles, eradicate traditionalism and fracture national identity.

But there is some good news: the woke films are bombing at the box office. People go to movies to be entertained, not indoctrinated, and it's turning audiences off.

As *Breitbart* reports: "Thanks to a slate of off-putting woketard movies, September's box office is the lowest since 1997. With just \$275 million grossed in North America to date, this will be the first September since 1997 with a monthly total of less than \$350 million." The far-left *The Wrap* reports: "To date, the running total for September 2022 is 20% behind last year's pace, and 52% behind the pace set this month in 2019."

■ <https://www.breitbart.com/entertainment/2022/09/28/nolte-woke-movies-drive-september-box-office-lowest-25-years-amp/>

Female characters are godlike, while men have been downgraded to clumsy fools

woke themes. In the first episode, we are introduced to one of the main protagonists, called Galadriel. As you may have guessed, she is an independent, all-empowered woman, with weak, incapable men following her.

Maybe these companies really do believe that women in today's society are so weak and misguided that they need strong, miserable female leads with no personalities in films and shows like these, to make them feel empowered.

Saxons, and even incorporated old English into his work. Obviously, black people were not present in Anglo-Saxon history and mythology, and it is highly likely that this is why they were not cast in the *Lord of the Rings* films. If we have learned anything about how this ploy unfolds, the fans are called racists for not going along with Amazon's casting.

This agenda is getting worse as time goes on. They want to portray men as weak and



If you like what you see in this paper, please donate at our website
www.thelightrussia.com/donate

Governments beholden to money power

By NIALL McCRAE and CLIVE MENZIES

Dark truths too upsetting for public to accept

IN a representative democracy, we are ruled by a government elected by the people. If we don't like the current administration, we have an opportunity to remove it at the polling booth.


The rhetoric may change, but in practice the differences between mainstream political parties are insignificant. Aside from electoral comings and goings, there is a long-term trend towards state interference in our daily lives. Despite any appearance of progress and prosperity, the people are more controlled than ever. But who is doing the controlling, and why?

Viewed from multiple perspectives, it becomes clear that governments are beholden to the global money system. Any national leaders who resist the globalist agenda are likely to be denounced as anti-democratic or 'far right' (e.g. Viktor Orban in Hungary and Jair Bolsonaro in Brazil); or they may be targeted for 'regime change' (after a long series of Middle-Eastern and Latin-American leaders, the pantomime ogre is now Vladimir Putin). Regime change operations in the 20th century included the destruction of Tsarist Russia by the Bolshevik Revolution, and of Imperial China under Mao Zedong.

The ravaging of Europe in two world wars spawned the supranational entities of the European Union and United Nations. The dismantling of Yugoslavia in the final decade of the millennium was a foretaste of a 'new world order'.

International bodies are conduits of financial power, some more obviously than others (e.g. the Bank of International Settlements, World Bank and International Monetary Fund). Similarly, non-governmental organisations are controlled by embedded money interests; for example, the World Wildlife Fund is backed by the Rockefeller Foundation, whose wealth derives from the hydrocarbon industry (i.e. oil).

Various Rockefeller-backed institutions are at the forefront of the fraudulent climate crisis narrative that vilifies the oil industry. This paradox requires a lot of unpacking, but, in short, the 'net zero' imperative is not about reducing



pollution, but reducing population.

Why is public perception of power structures so far from the reality? Organisations spend vast sums on public relations, which is really manipulation. Propaganda has existed for as long as institutional hierarchy. When the Roman Church was the dominant institution, congregations were told many lies to reinforce the wealth and power of priests and bishops. However, exploiting mass media took shape as a science in the early 20th century, coinciding with the publication of the book *Propaganda* by Edward Bernays (Sigmund Freud's nephew) in 1928.

Since then, the sophistication and ubiquity of psychological manipulation techniques to manage the attitudes and behaviour of the masses has evolved to a level that is awe-inspiring and all-encompassing.

Propaganda is everywhere to see, for those who care to look. But the majority of the people are malleable to messaging by the powers-that-

be, oblivious to the motive of the messenger. This reality has become clear to anyone who has explored how the world works, and whose discoveries are met with disbelief.

The question often asked is - why? To the average man and woman, the answer is simply not credible, because they cannot accept that something so diabolical can possibly exist outside the fiction of dystopian novels or films. If a lie is big enough, people will more easily believe it. Surely the demolition of the Twin Towers wasn't an inside job?

The output of Hollywood and mainstream media 'news' is controlled by the same network that controls all other levers of power. So while people watch Shakespeare or modern drama portraying the diabolical misdeeds of monarchs and cliché contemporary despots, most seem incapable of attributing the same characteristics to supposedly liberal leaders such as Justin Trudeau or Ursula von der Leyen.

A common flaw of lesser mortals

is a belief that such men and women have the same human cares and concerns as their own. They neither understand the psychopathy of power and the type of person drawn to it, nor do they relate the Machiavellian plots and manifestation of evil in the latest TV series or movies to real life. Truth is indeed stranger than fiction.

The internet is a double-edged sword. It is undoubtedly used as an instrument of control, but it also has exposed, to those sufficiently curious, the dark underbelly of power in the world. For now at least, researchers and analysts can obtain information and share their findings with others similarly motivated to understand power and its manifestations.

There is a growing awareness that in co-existence with a web of international policy shops, such as the Bilderberg Group and Trilateral Commission, are secret societies such as the Bavarian Illuminati, which have infiltrated Freemasonry

Why is public perception of power structures so far from reality? Organisations spend vast sums on public relations, which is really manipulation

and conduits of state, Church and corporate power. Thus, we find connections between many of these societies such as Skull & Bones, and the financing and leadership of revolutions and regime change agents. These secret societies indulge in bizarre practices that are so alien to most people that they dare not take them seriously, though the exposure of Jeffery Epstein and Ghislain Maxwell gives a glimpse into this world.

Tjeerd Andringa of the University of Groningen appears to have been silenced since going public in 2015 on his theory of how the kakistocracy (rule by the worst) uses child abuse to perpetuate their control, both over the victims of that abuse and its perpetrators. His interview with James Corbett of the Corbett Report is enlightening on how those in power are seduced into depravity, and why the majority refuse to believe it. Child abuse and other crimes aren't the source of power, but symptoms of the structure that has evolved over two-and-a-half thousand years.

However, even those at the apex should worry about losing control of the evolving 'machine'. The technology of artificial intelligence and digital control, taken to its logical conclusion, would result in the collective suicide of our species. More optimistically for humanity, the misapplied occult techniques and money matrix will culminate in the misanthropic structure's demise. Evil sows the seeds of its own destruction.

■ <https://www.corbettreport.com/interview-1024-tjeerd-andringa-exposes-the-kakistocracy/>

■ <https://outersite.org>

Trump and the timing of Covid-19

A book review by **JERRY ROBERTS**

IN *States of Emergency*, Dutch author Kees Van Der Pijl links the timing of the Covid-19 project to Establishment concerns that Donald Trump would win a second term as President of the United States, commencing in 2020. Trump was and remains a populist candidate with money, who cannot be controlled by the ruling oligarchs. The continued persecution of Trump to this day supports the author's conjecture.

Van Der Pijl's central thesis is that the Establishment – especially after the 2008 financial crash – feared a repeat of 1848, Europe's year of revolution, when Marx and Engels launched *The Communist Manifesto*.

How then to frighten a restless population into submission when terrorism has gone past its use-by date? A health scare looked a likely prospect to provide cover for the imposition of authoritarian governance. Here Van Der Pijl introduces a name that was new to me in the Covid-19 saga.

French social scientist Patrick Zylberman was a key figure paving the way for the radical policies such as lockdowns, taken by governments during Covid-19. His study of the social-psychological consequences of measures used during the SARS-1 epidemic of 2002-2003, were taken up by the Rockefeller machine to produce the blueprint for today's medical pseudo-scientific police state.

Zylberman worked as a visiting researcher at the University of Toronto, the city where SARS-1 had the greatest impact outside China. Van Der Pijl writes: "The measures taken in China and Toronto, such as quarantine for people without symptoms and the tracing of contact

histories, according to one WHO expert, were 'medieval' but, as it turned out, the large majority accepted them all." Zylberman was surprised to find that only 15 percent of the population resisted the measures. He calculated that 50 – 68 percent obeyed.

His work was incorporated in a joint report of the Rockefeller Foundation and the Global Business Network (GBN), a subsidiary of Deloitte. Van Der Pijl notes: "It is almost unbelievable to read in great detail in a document compiled 10 years ago, the scenario for what is happening now."

He quotes the *Rockefeller Foundation and Global Business Network Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development* report, that describes an imaginary influenza epidemic hitting China in 2012.

"Citizens were tolerant and even eager for top-down direction and oversight and the national leaders had often unexpected latitude to impose order in ways they saw fit. In developed countries, this heightened oversight took many forms: Biometric IDs for all citizens were among them."

The report predicted that people would not reject the authoritarian measures until 2025 – 13 years after the fictitious disaster year of 2012 – and then it would be too late.

In Perth, the Government of Western Australia is operating on the Zylberman/Rockefeller timetable, pushing through our insipid Parliament *The Emergency Management (Temporary Covid-19 Provisions) Bill 2022*, a diabolical piece of police state legislation, that would embarrass Adolph Hitler and bring a flush to the rosy cheeks of Heinrich Himmler.

In preparation for this dark day in our parliamentary history, the Government installed former Police Commissioner Chris Dawson in Government House on St Georges Terrace. Dawson commenced his


duties in July (2022) following the retirement of former Governor Kim Beazley. The appointment of a policeman to this high office is unprecedented in our history, even in convict times, yet among the complacent West Australian public this sinister chain of events has ruffled scarcely a feather.

States of Emergency is a big-picture companion to Bobby Kennedy's masterpiece of medical reporting, *The Real Anthony Fauci*, and Judy Wilyman's *Vaccination – Australia's Loss of Health Freedom*. The first Covid-19 book I read, preceding the vaccination campaign, was *The Great Hysteria and the Broken State* by Sanjeev Sabhlok, who was so outraged by the Andrews Government's measures, that he resigned from his position as a senior economist in the Department of Treasury and Finance Victoria.

Economist Paul Frijters teamed up with Gigi Foster and Michael Baker to write *The Great Covid Panic*. When he was a Professor of Economics in Brisbane, Paul Frijters, and Cameron Murray, wrote a book on Australian politics called *Game of Mates* that went to the heart of the matter. The technical term is regulatory capture. Agencies established to supervise key industries are invaded and taken over by those very same industries. There is no umpire on the football field. The fox is in charge of the chook yard. When this happens to banking, the results are disastrous. Now it has happened to medical practice and science and the consequences are fatal.

For people who do not like reading, there are thousands of hours of podcasts, interviews, panel discussions and lectures on the internet. There is room for more research on the ins and outs of the Covid-19 project but there is no excuse for ignorance.

Students of neoliberal ideology trace the road to today's horror



show from Switzerland's Mont Pelerin in 1947 to the abandonment of social democracy by political parties such as Australian Labor. Massive privatisations split politics away from the economy. Without Big Daddy Commonwealth looking on, the banks and big business, treat employees and customers with equal disdain. Small business trembles and anybody who isn't worried is not paying attention.

Van Der Pijl ends on a note of utopian hope, advising us to beat the bad guys by informing ourselves through independent media which, after all, is the reason for the existence of this newspaper.

■ *States of Emergency: Keeping the Global Population in Check – Published 31st January 2022, and available in paperback and e-book.*

■ *Jerry Roberts is a Western Australian journalist who has reported on politics, manufacturing and Aboriginal affairs. As a sports writer he covered the first test match held in Perth when the Australian attack could not get past the broad bat of English opener John Edrich and the result was a draw.*

UNESCO launches war on 'conspiracy theories'

By **ALEX NEWMAN**

UNESCO is training and recruiting teachers as a key part of its worldwide effort to combat what it considers problematic information and ideas

The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, better known by its acronym, UNESCO, is escalating its war on ideas and information it considers to be 'misinformation' and 'conspiracy theories'.

According to the Paris-based UN education agency, which released a major report on the subject for educators this summer, conspiracy theories cause 'significant harm' and form 'the backbone of many

populist movements'.

Among other concerns, conspiracy theories "foster and reinforce harmful thinking patterns and exclusive worldviews," the report said.

They also 'reduce trust in public institutions' and 'scientific institutions', which can drive people to violence or decrease their desire to 'reduce their carbon footprint', UN officials argued in the document.

While 'all conspiratorial thinking threatens human rights values', the document says without elaborating, some conspiracy theories are more dangerous than others.

In some cases, teachers are even encouraged to report their students to authorities.

Examples of conspiracy

theories cited in the report include everything from widely held and respectable beliefs such as climate change denial and manipulation of federal elections in the United States, to more far-fetched notions such as the earth is flat or Michelle Obama is actually a lizard'.

"There are plenty of crazy thoughts on the Internet, many of which are patently false," explained Citizens for Free Speech Director Patrick Wood. "The only thoughts being 'corrected' are those contrary to the globalist narrative. This proves that the focus is on protecting their own narratives and nothing else."

"UNESCO joins a censorship cartel that now includes the European Union, the U.S. government, the World Economic

Forum, social media giants like Facebook and Twitter, and notably, Google," Wood told *The Epoch Times*. "Anyone who does not parrot the globalist narrative is by default considered to be a conspiracy theorist."

At the heart of the worldwide program to combat these ideas and theories are teachers and schools, according to the UN agency. Also central is the battle online and in the media, UNESCO documents explain.

The latest strategy was unveiled at UNESCO's International Symposium on Addressing Conspiracy Theories through Education. Held in late June in Brussels, the summit brought together academia, governments, civil society, and the private sector

to promote joint action against conspiracy theories and those who believe or spread them.

The plan includes strategies to prevent people from believing in conspiracy theories in the first place, as well as tools for dealing with those who already believe them.

Several experts on propaganda and free speech, however, warned that the UN effort represents a dangerous escalation in what they portrayed as a war on free speech, free expression, questioning official narratives, and dissent more broadly.

■ https://www.theepochtimes.com/un-education-agency-launches-war-on-conspiracy-theories_4711793.html

David Icke's one simple word to stop tyranny: No!

By **DARREN SMITH**

What happened with the Amsterdam protest? The Dutch authorities banned you from speaking?

The authorities are so myopic and arrogant that the old ways of demonising and lying about people are no longer working, and the Dutch authorities are finding this out.

When people talk about Nazi Germany and the Holocaust, it's incredibly emotionally charged, and that's where they want you - this is how the manipulators of perception work. They don't want you thinking, they want you reacting emotionally all the time, leading to very different behaviour. So if they can tag someone and demonise them in the public mind with the anti-Semitism/Holocaust denier label, that highly charged negative emotion will then be connected to the person they're trying to demonise.

But what's happened in the Netherlands is they haven't got the reaction they thought. I've been all over the Dutch media for the last seven days; they have completely demonised me, and not one of them has contacted me to respond. After a week of this, a newspaper started a poll on whether I should be allowed in - the last I heard, it was 84% in favour, so it was backfiring.

[Despite this popular vote in favour of freedom of speech, Icke has since been banned from the EU Schengen area for two years, citing prevention of public disturbance, and so the official protest in Amsterdam on November 6 was cancelled in response. We continue on censorship.]

What is dawning on people is that the world is controlled by a network, one I call the global cult. It's fiercely compartmentalised, so most who are in it only know their little part of it. They don't know what it's all about; but at the core, the spider at the centre of the web, they absolutely know what the game is.

The plan all along was to first create the internet, then bring the giants of the internet that dominate communication and retail. You need to control the perceptions of the population so they behave as you want them to, so you have to control communication. It's a simple process: information equals perception equals behaviour.

The censorship is to make sure that people don't hear anything that's

not approved by the authorities, so all they're getting is the official narrative and the official perception. The information we're putting out is challenging their narrative - and their narrative is so ludicrous, so full of holes - they know that if there was an open debate and a free flow of information, they would lose overwhelmingly. So they have to defend their lies by keeping them from being exposed.

I'd like to talk about some of the bigger questions: do you think you were fed the reptilian stuff early on to discredit the verifiable truths that you were revealing?

This is the difficulty: the idea of suppressing information is to suppress the sense of the possible, so people wave their hands and say 'that's ridiculous!' when you're actually articulating the truth.

When the internet began in the 1990s, I put in the search terms reptilian, extraterrestrial and so on, and 33 pages came up; you put it in now... so more and more people are opening their minds to these big concepts, not least because they look at the world, and they see it's an anti-human agenda. They are targeting every area that humans need to survive - the atmosphere, the human body, food, water, energy and many other areas; it's anti-human. Connecting the brain to Artificial Intelligence (AI) is an anti-human agenda.

So is it such a stretch that an anti-human agenda might be driven by a non-human force?

A lot of people have thought about these things, but obviously there's a lack of actual evidence?

You have read my books, right? There's no lack of evidence there.

Okay, but given what we know about governments' ability to manipulate information and perception, isn't it possible that it's just these bankster families doing the old Sun Tzu's Art of War, making themselves appear far more powerful and unassailable than they really are, by saying 'we're in league with Lucifer, aliens are in charge', etc.?

All you've got to do, as I have over the decades, is look at the ancient world, look at all the ancient cultures that have appeared over the years, no matter what continent, and their religions too; there is a common theme between all of them, and that

I keep going every day because I don't want my worst enemy to experience what is coming

is of a non-human force manipulating human society.

What happens if those ancient rulers were just pulling the same deception? 'Don't blame us, blame the devil, gods, aliens, the sun, etc.'

But hold on a second - I'm connecting all of them. I'm not saying that the people directly doing this stuff - the Gates, Schwabs, Rothschilds, Rockefellers et al - can be forgiven because some other force greater than them is making them do it.

All these different levels are expressed through the same force. There's the force that drives it - what I call the spider - and the force that plays it out, which is this global cult running human society.

If people want to believe something else, then they should believe something else. I've spent 32 years full-time researching this. I'm not a kamikaze pilot; I'm someone who is interested in the truth. When the evidence crosses my line of 'this is real', then I put it out there. I do have an extraordinary record of predicting what's coming for over 32 years. I'm not predicting the future, I'm predicting the plan.

There are many different levels to research and explore. If you're just looking at the level of the globalists; well okay, how long do they live? Maybe 90 or 100 years. So why have they spent their lives pushing to create a world which they're not going to be in when the endgame arrives? Why have they been doing it, not only in modern society, but way back? What's the common theme? Why did David Rockefeller spend his entire life trying to create something that he knew he wouldn't be around to see?

Well he certainly enjoyed his life while he was making it though, didn't he? It's not too shabby down



at Waddesdon Manor either, is it?

I can understand that they would be ruthless in business for their own benefit while they're alive, but that's not what they were working towards. They were working towards what we're seeing now, and what we're going to see unless the world gets its arse in gear. It's not just one or two of them - why would they all do it?

Because there's another force beyond what we see, that is the common denominator across the centuries; that has coordinated the move from a society in which tribal peoples decided what happened in the tribe, to now, where a few people at the centre of the web are dictating the lives of eight billion people. It's been a coordinated operation.

It's not just denying information - they're really good at making stuff up as well. I wanted to ask you about the moon landings...

Let's just focus on what's happening now, because that's what we need to sort out. Whether the moon landings were fake or not is not going to help people at the moment, when they're facing economic devastation, which is what's being played out against them. Let's keep it to what's happening now, why it's happening and who's behind it.

Okay, well what should we do about it? You're a big proponent of mass non-compliance, aren't you?

Yes - you said earlier about people making themselves sound more powerful than they are. I'm the one that's been saying that they're not powerful at all. This non-human force would have taken over a long time ago if it could. It has to do it by us complying with its plan, without realising there is a plan.

I said a long time ago, there was going to come a time when this has to break the surface and people can see it. Because if you're working under the radar to transform human society, at some point that transformation has to reach a level where people can see

it, or it's not a transformation. So it's a very dangerous time for them, as well as dangerous and challenging for the target human population.

If you take everyone involved, and take it away from eight billion people, you're still going to have 99.99%. That tells you we can't be controlled by a tiny number of people, unless we acquiesce.

You say 'no'! A classic example was the mandated NHS job. They'd done it with the care workers before. As the date got closer, they saw the numbers of NHS workers who were not injected and would have to be fired was huge, and reversed their policy.

People ask, 'what's the solution?' - it's staring us in the face. Get a calculator and work it out. There are three types of people - those that follow without question, those that don't want to follow but do so out of fear, and these two groups have been responsible for every tyranny in history. The third group has been responsible for ending every tyranny, because that group says 'I'm going to look at what you're telling me, see that you're lying for reasons of control, and I'm not complying - I'm not doing what you tell me to do. Because I have the self-respect not to be told by a moron how to live my life'. At that point, if enough people do it, the game is over.

This is a time for people to open their eyes and see what's happening around them. To stiffen the backbone, look this in the eye and then we'll realise at long last where the power really lies in this world; and it's not with the few, it's with the many. The fact that people have not had the guts to act upon that, is why the entirety of known human history is a story of the few controlling the many. We have the opportunity to break that cycle now, but only if people open their eyes and minds, and stiffen their backbone. If they do those things, then this house of cards will come down.

I'm 70 years of age. I could walk away from all this. The worst of it I'm not going to see, but I keep going every day because I do not want my worst enemy to experience what's coming, if we don't sort this out. And I certainly don't want my children and grandchildren to experience it - that's what drives me on.

■ *David Icke's latest book, **The Trap**, is out now at <https://shop.davidicke.com>*

**ADVERTISE
HERE!**



> Competitive pricing
> Different size ads available
> Increase your revenue
For more information go to
www.thelightaustralia.com/advertise
or email advertise.thelightaus@protonmail.com



OMG Coffee & Toasties
 10-14 Pier Street, Perth
 Text your order on
 0452 454 123

Personalised Bridal Wear

- * Satin floral robes
- * Satin sleep shirts
- * Satin pyjamas
- * Cotton robes
- * Glassware
- * Slippers

Personalised Groom items

- * Black satin robes
- * Socks & trunks
- * Handkerchiefs
- * Beer stubbies
- * Glassware
- * Keyrings
- * Flasks

- * T-Shirts
- * Baby Rompers
- * Mother's Day robes
- * I'm pregnant T's

GLITZY BITZ

Jeanette Casey #glitzybitzz
 0407 441 314 PM on FB: Jeanette Lyn Casey

10%
discount
if you
mention
this paper

Telling the stories of PEOPLE & PLACES in WA via RADIO & PODCAST

Explore our website to discover the hidden treasures in WA. We'll connect you to an Independent Holiday Network providing the independent

traveller with links to unique attractions and businesses around Western Australia. **Support local wherever you can. Listen via radio or online.**

TOURIST RADIO 87.6 FM
EAST PERTH BUNBURY
 BUSSELTON DUNSBOROUGH
 COWARAMUP AUGUSTA

Western Tourist Radio 87.6 FM
 W | touristradio.com.au
 E | barry@touristradio.com.au
 M | 0428 317 006

East Perth | Bunbury | Busselton | Dunsborough | Cowaramup | Augusta

Merri Bee Organic Farmacy

A permaculture developing since 1985

Certified GAPS coach

Seasonally available GAPS diet essentials
 inc. grass fed pork, lamb, beef, goose, duck and hen eggs, raw honey, GAPS dairy, nuts, fruit (fresh & dried), fermented veges and herbs.
 Organic standards are maintained

Nannup WA | 08 9756 1408
merribefarmacy@gmail.com | merribeeorganicfarm.net.au

Clean Slate your Immune System

Eliminate Toxins & Heavy Metals & Replenish with Bio Science from Gods Garden

www.therootbrands.com/wisdom 0414 964488

Licensed to Tamper with your Tow

We have the best job ever!

Our customers are fun loving explorers. Adventurous, passionate about their vans, their travels, their stories - and so are we.

- Customer Focus
- Quality Parts
- Fair Pricing
- Expert Advice
- Professional Guarantee

THE CAMPER TAMPERER

CARAVAN SERVICE & REPAIR
www.campatampa.com (08) 9768 0674 Bunbury WA

Your Wellness Time

BPA-free Float room • EWOT • Raindrop Technique • Energy Healing

Red Light Therapy

Colon Hydrotherapy

Ozone Sauna

ozone wellness

LOOKING FOR A WAY TO SUPPORT YOUR HEALTH IN THE MOST NATURAL WAY?

EXPERIENCE OUR UNIQUE APPROACH TO WELLNESS TO ACHIEVE LIFE-ENHANCING RESULTS.

WE'RE HERE TO SUPPORT YOUR JOURNEY INTO FULL VITALITY.

Book now

www.ozonewellness.com.au 08 9200 6039

Moore & Moore Café

46 Henry Street, Fremantle. Phone 9335 8825

Moore & Moore is open from 7.30am through to 3pm daily (kitchen closes at 2.30pm)



Phil and Jodie Jardine have owned and operated Topolinis Caffé for 23 years.
Open 7 nights a week, Friday and Sunday lunch

9447 7118
Located in the foyer of
Grand Cinema - Warwick

- Monday: Any regular Pasta/Pizza \$18.5
- Tuesday: 2 x Barramundi \$50
- Wednesday: Chicken Parmigiana \$20
- Thursday: Steak night starting from \$25
- Friday & Saturday: 2 course meal \$38
- Sunday: All day regular pizzas \$18.5

Purchase a
VIP card
\$150 for 1 year.
Buy 1 get 1
free*

How to buy WA's finest furniture at the lowest prices

Our furniture is custom-made in Perth especially for you!

One of The Australian Furniture Directory's recent creations is this Jarrah cabinet that was custom-made for the RAAF to display the Number 2 Flying Training School Governor-General's Banner.

The cabinet was specially designed to house the banner that is made of blue silk and features the Governor-General's pennant in the top left corner, wattle sprays in the other corners, and the Unit Badge surrounded by the Southern Cross in the centre. The banner is fringed and tasselled in blue and gold and is hung from a staff with an eagle aloft.

Historically, military units would have a Banner or 'Colours', which were taken into battle and commonly positioned at the centre of a battle line alongside a Unit Commander. Carried at the centre of the front rank of a formation, they could easily be seen and recognised, thus being used as a rallying point for troops. As the 'Colours' were invaluable to a unit, they are often assigned escorts to protect them. Still to this today, when Number 2 Flying Training School march in ANZAC Day parades, the banner is accompanied by an armed escort to protect it.



Tell us your requirements
Free Design Service



The Australian
FURNITURE DIRECTORY

furnituredirectory.com.au

Call us now on 9355 0821



PRIVACY PHONES

Do you wish your phone wasn't spying on you 24/7?

Reclaim your privacy with our high performance de-googled open-source android devices.

Our phones protect you with a clean open-source operating system and our suite of privacy-focused open source apps that **don't spy on you, with virtually no drawbacks.**

contact us directly: @FTSdm

Or check out our site: FreedomTechSolutions.net



O'Neill
KINESIOLOGY COLLEGE

You know a training centre is high quality, reputable and prioritises student support when it has successfully delivered it's world class programs for more than 20 years.

With student care as our most important value, Perth's renowned O'Neill Kinesiology College is Australia's premier institute for getting a Diploma or Advanced Diploma qualification in Kinesiology.

O'Neill College is a unique space that helps people create life changing careers. Even our two day Introductory Course will create lasting and positive change in your world. At O'Neill College you will feel surrounded by your tribe and supported like your are part of the family.

With flexible adult family friendly timetables and various payment plans, you can be confident you will be fully considered and cared for as you step into your purpose driven future when you choose to study at O'Neill Kinesiology College.

"I have been lucky enough to find my purpose and brave enough to follow it. I encourage everyone to pursue what makes them happy and push themselves towards achieving their goals."


- Nicolie O'Neill - Founder



Fully integrated, government accredited courses in Kinesiology:

- HLT52415 Diploma of Kinesiology
- 52861WA Advanced Diploma of Kinesiology

This offer is only valid for students who mention this advert at the time of course enrolment.
This offer is not available in conjunction with any other enrolment offer or promotion redeemed. RTO#51242



LEARN
KINESIOLOGY
AN INSPIRATIONAL JOURNEY

ENQUIRE TODAY

Ph: 9330 7443

Em: info@oneillcollege.com

Mention this advert and receive
\$50 off your course enrolment