

THE LIGHT

PEOPLE-FUNDED NEWSPAPER AUSTRALIA • FREE TO READ • FREE TO SHARE •

ISSUE 23 | *The Uncensored Truth* | thelightaustralia.com

The Quiet Coup

How Globalism Succeeded Where Communism Failed

By JACK DELANEY

Awake for over 10 years, I have spent the last 5 focused on Australian history and discovering the tentacles of our ever-increasing trajectory to full subjugation under a global world order that we never voted for.



There is a pervasive feeling in modern Australia, a quiet anxiety that hums beneath the surface of daily life. It is the sense that the nation is being fundamentally rewritten without the consent of its people. We watch as our cities transform, our economy shifts, and our social fabric stretches, all according to a blueprint that feels alien, imposed, and utterly disconnected from the will of the



ordinary citizen. This feeling is often dismissed as mere nostalgia or reactionary fear. But history provides a startlingly clear lens through which to view our current dilemma, revealing that what we are witnessing is not new; it is merely the latest, and most sophisticated, iteration of a long-standing assault on national sovereignty.

In the 1940s, Australia faced a similar ideological confrontation. In the shadow of the Great Depression and the Second World War, the Australian Labor Party, under John Curtin and later Ben Chifley, was heavily influenced by the Communist International, or *Continued on page 2.*

Is there a climate crisis?

<p>1 EARTH'S TEMPERATURE </p> <p>It is rising too quickly and we're all going to burn/melt/boil/drown</p>	<p>2 THE CAUSE IS CO₂ </p> <p>Carbon dioxide and other dangerous 'greenhouse gases'</p>	<p>3 SO WE MUST ALL CHANGE </p> <p>We must cut our energy usage and have far less freedom</p>
---	--	---

SEE PAGES 8 & 9 FOR WHAT THE SCIENCE SAYS

THE LIGHT AUSTRALIA

APRIL 2026

Reporting honest, independent news and information corporate media ignores.

Original content is © 2026

thelightingpaper.co.uk and thelightingaustralia.com

For all volunteers and distribution enquiries

- www.thelightingaustralia.com/distribute

For advertising enquiries

- www.thelightingaustralia.com/advertise

If you'd like to order the paper

- www.thelightingaustralia.com/order-the-paperKeeping our paper FREE, is not free. Please help by donating on our website at www.thelightingaustralia.com/donate

Stay up to date with release dates and general information on our official social channels

FACEBOOK - <https://www.facebook.com/The-Light-Paper-Australia-110054685166772>TELEGRAM - <https://t.me/TheLightPaperAustralia>TWITTER/X - <https://twitter.com/thelightingaustralia>

This newspaper is proudly brought to you by a dedicated group of hardworking volunteers. A core group is reimbursed some costs.

Special thanks to:

- The Light Paper UK for their generous support in allowing us to bring the newspaper down under and use of their articles.
- All the graphic designers, relay drivers, distribution hubs, online orders and volunteers who have worked tirelessly to bring you the uncensored truth.

**TOTAL NUMBER OF NEWSPAPERS
PRINTED SINCE INCEPTION – 3,313,000!**

ATTENTION
READERS

The Light Australia never allows inserts, attachments or leaflets to be inserted into our newspaper. If you find these, please disregard them.

**Changing the way you use social media?
Don't miss out—keep updated on the newspaper via email.
Join our mailing list here: thelightingaustralia.com**

Continued from page 1.

Comintern. This was the era of the famed “post-war reconstruction,” a plan that aimed to radically centralise economic and social power in the hands of the state. The parallels to modern “reset” or “build back better” agendas are uncanny. Yet there was one monumental difference: democratic accountability.

In 1944, the Chifley government put its ambitious, centralising vision to the Australian people in a referendum. The government sought to grant itself sweeping, permanent powers over employment, marketing, and corporate regulation. The proposal was openly debated, and the Australian people, in their wisdom, delivered their verdict: No. They rejected this expansion of state power by a margin of 54% to 46%. Democracy, however imperfect, had functioned. The public was asked, and the public answered.

Contrast this with today. The transformation of Australia over the past three decades has been more radical than anything proposed in 1944, yet it has been enacted with scarcely a whisper of public consultation. The most profound change, being the demographic revolution through mass immigration, was never put to a referendum. There was no national conversation, no ballot question asking: “Shall we radically alter the ethnic and cultural composition of the nation?” It was simply implemented by successive governments, both Labor and Liberal, as an unspoken, bipartisan policy. The result is the Australia of today: a nation where, by the numbers, a third of the population is foreign-born and another significant portion are first-generation, creating an electoral landscape where the historic core of the nation is increasingly diluted and its concerns marginalised.

This demographic shift is not an accident; it is the core mechanism of the new ideology. The old Comintern spoke the language

of class warfare. Its modern successor, embodied by institutions like the World Economic Forum (WEF), the United Nations, and the International Monetary Fund, speak the language of global citizenship, stakeholder capitalism, and the “Great Reset.” The vocabulary has changed, but the objective remains the erosion of the nation-state and the concentration of power in the hands of an unaccountable, transnational elite.

Where the communists sought to overthrow national governments, the globalists simply bypass them. Policies on climate, immigration, health, and finance are not developed through robust debate in the Australian parliament. They are drafted in conference rooms in Davos, Geneva, and New York, and then handed down to a compliant political class for implementation. Our current government did not invent its agenda; it is merely executing a script written by global institutions. The ALP, portraying itself as the party of unity, has become the perfect vehicle for this agenda, achieving a super-majority not through a passionate public mandate for this globalist vision, but through a preferential voting system and a politically homeless electorate.

The Liberal Party's current disarray is a direct result of this new political reality. For decades, it offered a slightly slower, more “fiscally responsible” version of the same globalist program, leaving voters with no meaningful alternative. Now, it is torn apart by an internal civil war. On one side are the “Teal-lites”, effectively the polished, local franchise of the WEF, representing the interests of affluent, rootless globalists as “conservative but progressive”. On the other are those few voices straining to rediscover the party's legacy of national sovereignty. This split is the defining political battle of our age: Globalism versus Nationalism.

This top-down imposition of policy has created a severe democratic deficit. The constant refrain, “we were never asked,” speaks to a deep and justified sense of political dispossession. Our

constitution and laws, designed to protect self-determination, have been rendered secondary to international treaties and “soft law” frameworks. Ministerial discretion and regulatory compliance have replaced open parliamentary debate. The nation has been led into a post-democratic era where the illusion of choice masks a grim reality of managed decline. But many now recognise the “uniparty”.

The ultimate destination of this path is no longer theoretical; it is on display in South Africa. There, we see the end-stage of demographic displacement and state failure: gated communities, private security forces, and the effective secession of the productive class from a collapsing state. This is not a future we must accept, but it is the future we will get if current trends continue unabated. It is the logical conclusion of prioritising a new, imported electorate over the well-being of the historic nation.

The communists of the 1940s failed because the Australian people, united by an ingrained strong national sentiment, rejected their overt power grab at the ballot box. The globalists of today are succeeding because they learned that lesson well. They avoid the ballot box altogether. They operate through stealth, using demographic change, social engineering of the native population, and bureaucratic capture and welfare to achieve what their predecessors could not.

The question now is whether a new nationalist politics can emerge in time to reassert democratic control. It requires a movement brave enough to name the problem, to reject the false choices of the current system, and to offer a compelling vision of a sovereign, coherent, and confident Australia. The battle is no longer just about left versus right. It is about whether Australia will remain a nation governed by its people or become merely a geographic territory administered by global managers, as the 1973 Royal Style & Titles Act constitutional bypass enabled. They may not have asked us, but it is not too late to demand an answer or be it.

Photo by ROMAN ODINTSOV

*Happy Easter
from the team at The Light Australia.
May your Easter be a time of
renewal, clarity, and quiet strength as
we continue to stand together for open
inquiry and independent voices.*

Image courtesy of Bob Moran - <https://bobmoran.co.uk>

A Political Earthquake Is Rumbling Beneath Our Feet

Editorial Note: While The Light Australia maintains neutrality and does not endorse political parties, the rapid ascent of a fourth major force in Australian politics is a notable cultural and historical moment. Its impact deserves careful attention.

By **DR ALAN MORAN PhD**

■ *Dr Alan Moran PhD is a noted economist, previous Director of the Deregulation Unit at the Institute of Public Affairs 1996-2014, senior official in Australia's Productivity Commission and Director of the Commonwealth's Office of Regulation Review.*

Anyone who rules out the possibility of a seismic change in the political scene in Australia is not paying attention. The rise in popularity of One Nation is unparalleled in Australian history and there will be many career politicians extrapolating a trend that we have seen in less than 12 months. Simplistically, we've seen One Nation's share of the vote market rise from 6.4 per cent in May 2025 to 17 per cent by the end of the year and now to 25 per cent. And this is not based on a few outlier polls; it seems pretty consistent across all pollsters.

Fanciful though extrapolation can be, even with some inevitable deceleration, it cannot be discounted

that One Nation's support will crest at 40 per cent. And the ALP political machine is far too sophisticated to be fooled by previous preference allocations that would see it retaining a 'two party preferred' majority.


The causes of this cataclysmic/euphoric political shift are clear. They are dominated by concerns about excessive immigration (especially from those, lauded by the tireless protesting class, who do not share 'Australian values'), and rising costs.

The latter are due to government forcing commercial energy out of the system and replacing it with subsidised renewables; excessive spending that has resulted in four out of five new jobs being in the public-funded sector, preventing productive new investment while facilitating wasteful capital expenditure; and an utter inability to facilitate increased home building.

The problem for the government is that it has economic policy incompetents—Albanese, Chalmers, and Gallagher—in political control and has populated its bureaucracy with like-minded individuals. And, lacking self-awareness, their hubristic claim was that they will create a better capitalism.

The consequent policy delinquencies are now being magnified by a Reserve Bank that has finally recognised that we cannot have endless wish-lists

One Nation: Polling Trends, percent support



Fanciful though extrapolation can be, even with some inevitable deceleration, it cannot be discounted that One Nation's support will crest at 40 per cent. And the ALP political machine is far too sophisticated to be fooled by previous preference allocations that would see it retaining a 'two party preferred' majority.

of new, ideologically motivated spending without this cratering productivity and causing economy-crippling inflation.

So now we have a compounding effect of interest rate rises. The most immediate outcome of this week's 0.25 per cent rise is a \$1,200–\$2,400 per year housing cost hit for the average family and there is a fat chance that this will be the only

increase. Moreover, increases in interest rates will have a further dampening effect on investment and, hence, on national productivity.

The 'protest party' can now claim that the Coalition, on 19 per cent, is cannibalising the conservative vote and even Peta Credlin, the custodian of the Liberal Party flame, is moved to warn, 'If it's not careful, it could be down and out.'

Her hope that a John Howard may arise and recapture the centre right is less likely than a Farage-type bleeding of talent to One Nation, followed by some form of electoral alliance with those parts of the Liberal Rump who do not secede to the Teals. For its part, the ALP, recognising a decline in Green support, can only see slim pickings to tap from the left.

The establishment parties' claim that One Nation is bereft of policies is inaccurate. That said, they need resources to flesh out the topics they have covered and to address others.

The importance of this was underscored by the first Trump Administration, which had policies but no government machinery, and thus achieved very little. The difficulties are further illustrated by Trump 2.0, which had detailed plans including personnel lined up to implement them but, notwithstanding Elon Musk's DOGE, has made little headway in balancing the budget because of long-tailed policy commitments of the previous administration. Especially with programs like the Capacity Investment Scheme and the Future Made in Australia, the present government has inserted many poison pill commitments that it hopes will prove difficult for a successor administration to unwind.

■ *This article was published in the Spectator Australia, 6 February 2026.*

Housing Affordability Has Collapsed

Mortgage sizes up 47%. Repayments up 125%. Money supply up 38%. The outcome was inevitable.

By **MICHAEL ARBON**

Australian housing ranks among the least affordable in the world. The pain for new and recent entrants is real. According to the

latest ABS Lending Indicators, the average new owner-occupier mortgage is now \$736,000, up \$235,000 (47%) in just five years. In the same period, home loan interest rates have almost tripled.

Minimum home loan repayments on the average new owner-occupier mortgage have increased by \$2,348/mth (125%). M3 money supply has increased by \$930 billion (38.4%) in the same 5-year

period. Rapid monetary expansion driven by government spending, reckless decisions by the Reserve Bank of Australia, a proliferation of taxpayer funded stimuli, and excessive 'catch-up' immigration

have brought us to this point. No one knows how long it will last, but the landing is unlikely to be soft.

■ *Follow Michael Arbon on X at <https://x.com/arbsmichael>*

The Inflationary Consequences of Big Government

IPA media release from **DR KEVIN YOU**

Date: 24 February 2026

■ *Dr Kevin You is a Senior Fellow at the Institute of Public Affairs.*

"The dramatic growth of government is crushing Australian living standards with inflation once again rising, increasing the likelihood of interest rate hikes that mainstream Australians cannot afford," said Dr Kevin You, Senior Fellow at the Institute of Public Affairs.

New IPA research released today found that since the year 2000, the public sector has been replacing the private sector in the Australian economy. The research shows;

■ **In the first quarter of the year 2000, government spending constituted roughly 21 per cent of Australia's gross domestic product (GDP). Today, it has risen to about 29 per cent.**

■ **In the first quarter of the year 2000, private investment and**

net exports constituted roughly 28 per cent of GDP. Today, it has fallen to about 20 per cent.


■ **Since the year 2000, government spending has increased by 165 per cent in real, inflation adjusted dollar terms, while private investment and net exports have only increased by 40 per cent.**

"The role of government in the economy has been expanding in both real and comparative terms for the past quarter century – especially over the most recent decade from 2015," Dr You said.

"Inflation is one of the top economic risks facing Australians today, and government spending is a major contributing factor by pumping more money into a low-productivity, high-migration economy, which is pushing prices up," Dr You said.

The IPA's research shows, as a percentage of total spending, household consumption has

Indexed Expenditure Components of GDP



remained steady at around 50 per cent over the last 25 years. But there was a dramatic increase in government spending and a collapse in private sector

investment and net exports in relative terms.

"Value creation must be driven by the private sector operating in a free and fair market. When the market is

crowded by government activities, the money still flows but value creation stalls. Inflation and higher prices are the result," Dr You said.

The latest Australian Bureau of Statistics' Consumer Price Index data show that inflation is again out-of-control in Australia with headline inflation rising to 3.8 per cent per annum, outside the RBA's target band of 2 to 3 per cent per annum.

"Only the private sector can drive meaningful and sustained economic growth. It functions best when government gets out of its way and does not try to deliver what it cannot deliver efficiently," Dr You said.

"As Australians struggle with increased mortgage costs and the rising costs of other goods and services, the long-term expansion in government spending is keeping interest rates high and fuelling the cost-of-living crisis."

■ *Visit <https://ipa.org.au/>*

Rural Communities Ignored on Renewable Energy Zones

By **STEVEN TRIPP**

■ *Steven Tripp is a political commentator who has been internationally published, most notably in **The Spectator**.*

“Enough. For goodness’ sake, we’ve had enough.” This was the answer from Mike, a farmer north-west of Wellington in New South Wales, when I asked him what message he would send to people in the city who support renewable energy.

“We’re getting absolutely smashed by noise and construction, and the damage to the environment alone is just massive”, he went on to say, as I interviewed him on his property which overlooks the Bodangora Wind project.

Mike’s family has lived on his farm for generations. His home, built in 1902, is a beautiful relic of the time and has been kept in immaculate condition. Unfortunately, since the wind project began operating in 2019, the walls within his home have begun to crack, with some walls showing multiple cracks from the floor to the ceiling.

Worse still, Mike has not slept since the project began operating due to the noise of the turbines.

“We’re just getting smashed”, he continued. *“We have turbines behind me. I got turbines to the south of me. To the west of me I’ve got a solar farm and to the east of me I’ve got another project that’s slated to come in almost right on my boundary. Enough. Give us a break.”*

Mike’s property falls within the Central West Orana Renewable Energy Zone (CWOREZ).

There are five renewable energy zones (REZ) planned for, or are underway, in New South Wales, which the NSW Government describe as ‘the equivalent of modern-day power stations’.

Astonishingly, Energy Co’s website admits that the CWOREZ ‘is approximately 20,000 square kilometres centred by Dubbo and Dunedoo’. To put that into perspective, that is similar to the size of Wales or Slovenia.

Both State and Federal Governments across Australia are embracing renewable energy, with Climate Change and Energy Minister, Chris Bowen, leading the charge.

At a press conference for the ‘Cheaper Home Batteries Program’ in Wetherill Park on March 1, 2026, Mr Bowen said, *“Australians are all in for these sorts of programs. And for those who deny and delay, and want to stop these programs, they might*



Above: Mary who is facing her rural property surrounded by solar panels stated, “The entire Central West, this renewable energy zone, is just about to look like a total wasteland”. Below: Steven Tripp (left) talking to Pete (right) about the Boree Solar Project. Pete stated, “We weren’t engaged. We weren’t consulted with and that’s why I’m angry about it.”



want to reflect they are not on the side of ordinary Australians who are living this transition every single day”.

I certainly did not meet any ordinary Australians who supported renewable projects when I toured the CWOREZ at the end of February. In fact, I found the opposite.

In Geurie, I met with Mary, who is facing 270 degrees of solar panels around her property from the Boree Solar project.

“The entire Central West,

this renewable energy zone, is just about to look like a total wasteland”, she began.

“This is what people don’t comprehend. This small project here (laughs) is over 3,000 acres with more than 660,000 panels, plus battery energy storage systems.”

“We know there’s heavy metals. We know there’s toxicity. We know there’s chemical runoff. We know there’s fire hazard. Wellington Farm has already twice caught on fire this year. It’s left chemical

residue. There’s been no due diligence done by government or by any of the proponents of these projects. The government has not done any work to check cumulative effects on communities.”

The Boree Solar project dwarfs the towns of Geurie, Wongarbon and the surrounding area. Another local, Pete, is also facing the project on three borders of his property. I asked Pete to describe the land on which the solar project is to be built.

“We’ve got green country. It’s pristine farming country. And we’ve also got native habitats all the way through here”.

For Pete, the lack of community engagement is his biggest concern, *“We weren’t engaged. We weren’t consulted with and that’s why I’m angry about it”.*

“We got a letter in the mail that said basically, this is a done deal.”

In Gilgal, I spoke with community campaigner and former Federal candidate for Parkes, Sal Edwards, who echoed Pete’s

concern, *“the communities are kept in the dark. The truth is hidden from them. There’s not one place still today where our communities can access information on the whole of the REZ project. It is only accessible project by project”.*

Speaking passionately, Sal went on to say, *“those that oppose it are often touted as the loud minority. But unfortunately, we’re the ones that get the phone calls, or the people in the street, or our friends and neighbours in tears around the dining table. And not everyone can actually have the time or the capacity to step into the fight. But for every one of us, there’s another 10 or 15 people not sleeping at night because of this. We are not a loud minority. We’re just concerned community members”.*

My dominant emotion when touring the CWOREZ was anger. Anger that our beautiful rural landscapes and the community that lived there were being torn apart by the mad rush to Net Zero.

I also felt completely helpless when speaking with the ordinary Australians who are having their lives ruined by renewable projects. Many I spoke to felt isolated and ignored when trying to advocate their opposition to these projects when up against the marketing machines and endless resources of Government and the multinational corporations profiting from them.

My feelings were shared with all that joined the tour, including the President of Let’s Rethink Renewables, Katy McCallum, who said, *“what I’m worried about is that the people in the city are not understanding the truth of what’s happening out here”.*

“The wildlife habitats, the strong communities, the Australian way of life—once those things are gone, they’re gone for good”, Ms McCallum added. *“You can’t fix this land back here once you’ve contaminated it with concrete, steel, toxic heavy metals leaching off panels, BPA shearing off the blades of turbines.”*

“It’s the industrialisation of rural Australia. We just need every single Aussie to understand what’s going on.”

■ *The full footage of the CWOREZ tour can be found on the **Commanding the Narrative YouTube page**, or at www.commandingthenarrative.com.*

“We’re just getting smashed”, he continued. “We have turbines behind me. I got turbines to the south of me. To the west of me I’ve got a solar farm and to the east of me I’ve got another project that’s slated to come in almost right on my boundary. Enough. Give us a break.”

8 Dead from AstraZeneca in Australia with Allegations of Cover-Ups in US and UK

By **SCOTT JOHNSON**

■ *Scott Johnson is an independent Australian journalist who has written for The Washington Times, The Epoch Times, The West Australian, The Light Australia, Soldier of Fortune magazine and numerous other publications.*

The tragic aftermath of the Covid chaos implemented throughout 2020–2022 continues with more bad news emerging in February 2026 with Australian authorities confirming that eight people died from taking the AstraZeneca vaccine. The Therapeutic Goods Administration confirmed a total of 14 vaccine-related deaths, but this figure raises serious questions as journalist Alison Bevege reported in 2025 that “35 people dropped dead the day they got the shot” and “over 300 died within a week of receiving a COVID-19 injection”. While Australia discontinued AstraZeneca use in 2023, this unsafe drug had been on the market for over a year, before being revoked, and over a dozen teenagers were mistakenly given this drug in 2021 despite it not being approved for minors. It was also confirmed that an NSW woman named Geneve Norris ‘likely’ died from taking AstraZeneca in 2021.

Table 6: Time to onset, treatment and outcomes for TTS cases*

Vaccine dose	Median time to onset/ diagnosis	Treated in ICU at any point	Currently in ICU	Outcome*		
				Discharged	In hospital	Fatal
First	13 days (1-94)	47	0	137	1	8
Second	12 days (2-69)	2	0	23	0	0

* Data is based on the most recent medical information available to the TGA for first and second dose TTS cases. * The TGA reported 8 fatalities caused by AstraZeneca vaccine. Source: Department of Health, Disability and Ageing, Therapeutic Goods Administration

The Therapeutic Goods Administration confirmed a total of 14 vaccine-related deaths, but this figure raises serious questions as journalist Alison Bevege reported in 2025 that “35 people dropped dead the day they got the shot” and “over 300 died within a week of receiving a COVID-19 injection”.

While there were other COVID-19 vaccines (Pfizer and Moderna) mandated to the Australian public, the question arises—were these jabs ‘safe and effective’ as authorities claimed? Senator Alex Antic pointed out during Senate Estimates in 2023 that two Australian children (aged 7 and 9) “died of heart attacks

after receiving Covid vaccines”. To many, it seems unfathomable that dangerous drugs could be mandated to the public, but the controversy isn’t confined to Australia.

In the UK on 21 January 2026 GB News reported that AstraZeneca had remained in use despite thousands of heart conditions having been reported

earlier to the UK’s drug regulator. Freedom of Information documents exposed that in 2021 alone, the UK drug regulatory authority had received 48,472 reports of heart disorders linked to the vaccine. It is also noted that BBC presenter Lisa Shaw died in May 2021 of direct complications of taking AstraZeneca.

In February 2026 Children’s Health Defense (a US-based nonprofit) called for a *Federal criminal investigation* into US authorities for covering up vaccine injuries. The allegations stem from a whistleblower who exposed how the US Occupational Safety and Health Administration issued a directive on 28 June 2021 suggesting healthcare employers ‘not report’ adverse reactions from workers who took COVID-19 vaccines. The reasoning stated was “so as not to discourage vaccination.”

The US Food and Drug Administration stated in a leaked memo in November 2025 that at least 10 children “died after and because of receiving COVID-19 covid vaccination”. The author, Dr. Vinay Prasad (Director of the FDA’s Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research) also indicated a profit motive for pushing unsafe vaccines on the public stating, “There are unique financial incentives for vaccine

makers. COVID-19 vaccines earned 100 billion dollars globally.”

At the World Economic Forum in January 2026, CEO of Pfizer Albert Bourla called US Secretary of Health and Human Services Robert F. Kennedy Jr.’s (RFK Jr.) views on vaccine reform “anti-science”. Much of the criticism of Big Pharma stems from the 1986 US Federal law protecting vaccine manufacturers from lawsuits by establishing a no-fault liability scheme for vaccine injuries. Under RFK Jr., the US has already reduced its childhood vaccination schedule from 17 to 11 types and removed some financial incentives for doctors pushing vaccinations. A December 2025 report from Children’s Health Defense reported that “Depending on which vaccines they received, vaccinated children were between 29%–74% more likely to die than unvaccinated children” and “The highest infant mortality rates were seen when children received all six of the recommended vaccines in one visit.”

A coalition of 40 groups in the US has backed a bill introduced by Senator Rand Paul in February 2026 to end Big Pharma’s liability shield for vaccine injuries and with vaccine rates dropping worldwide, including Australia—the change of blind acceptance for taking vaccines may be a positive reform.

Australia’s Retail Surveillance Creep

By **COURAGE IS THE CURE**

■ *Courage Is The Cure is an independent Western Australian content creator.*

Coles has partnered with Palantir, a US data analytics company best known for its work with defence, intelligence and law enforcement agencies. The arrangement hands Palantir access to vast streams of supermarket data—purchasing patterns,

loyalty card activity, store level behaviour—all to be modelled with the same military grade tools once reserved for counterterrorism. Retailers have always used data to manage stock, but this is a different league entirely, raising questions about how deeply consumer behaviour may now be profiled. Critics say shoppers have little visibility into how their information is processed, who sees it, or how far behavioural modelling could extend once

outsourced to a contractor built for surveillance.

And while Coles hands over your shopping habits, Bunnings has just won approval to resume facial recognition after the Administrative Review Tribunal overturned the 2024 privacy breach ruling. Buying a hose now comes with biometric capture, wrapped in the usual “security” language—corporate shorthand for cataloguing your face like a spare bolt in Aisle 12. Australians

didn’t ask for this, but retailers with a data appetite rarely wait for permission.

Together, these moves sketch a familiar pattern: everyday errands quietly becoming entry points into a more powerful and opaque analytics ecosystem. Your groceries, your hardware run, your face—all increasingly treated as data streams to be harvested, analysed and stored. Lowest prices, widest range, and the lowest expectations of privacy.



ASIO Bill Imposes a Permanent Secret Police Apparatus on Australians

By **ROBERT BARWICK**

■ *Robert Barwick, National Chairman, Australian Citizens Party.*

The Australian Security Intelligence Organisation Amendment Bill No. 2 2025, which passed the House of Representatives on 12 February and was introduced into the Senate on 3 March, expands the scope of ASIO’s interrogation powers and makes them permanent.

Before 2001, ASIO was solely a secret intelligence gathering organisation; following the 9/11 attack, however, the Howard

government legislated to give ASIO policing powers to detain and interrogate anybody, including non-suspects and children as young as 14, without a warrant from a judge, and without the right to silence. These powers turned ASIO into a secret police—a feature of totalitarian regimes, not democracies.

Most shocking is how uncontroversial the current ASIO bill is in Parliament. Contrast the insipid, half-hour debate on the bill in the House on 12 February with the uproar in Parliament back when the powers were first legislated in 2002. Under intense lobbying from

everyday citizens mobilised by the Australian Citizens Party (ACP), the Opposition Labor Party under Simon Crean blocked the bill in the Senate, triggering 15 months of intense, acrimonious debate. Howard insisted the powers were absolutely necessary to thwart imminent, mass-casualty terrorist attacks, but the government’s own committee report on the bill acknowledged it would “undermine key legal rights and erode the civil liberties that make Australia a leading democracy”. Crean accused Howard of creating a “police state”; a younger Anthony Albanese likened the powers to the

Nazis. Labor eventually relented and passed the bill in 2003, but only after Howard agreed to add a three-year sunset clause to ensure the powers were temporary.

They weren’t temporary. The sunset clause was renewed twice, in 2006 for ten years and again in 2016. In 2014 Tony Abbott legislated to jail journalists for up to ten years for reporting on an ASIO operation. And in 2020 Scott Morrison expanded the scope of the powers beyond the initial justification of needing to thwart mass-casualty terrorist attacks, to include espionage and foreign interference.

The current bill further expands the scope of the interrogation powers to include everything ASIO does and repeals the sunset clause permanently. Secret police interrogations without legal protections on anything ASIO wants will be the norm, forever. Yet despite its sweeping powers, ASIO failed to stop the Bondi attack by perpetrators known to the agency. ASIO must be held accountable, not given permanent secret police powers.

■ *ACP Petition: Repeal, don’t expand, ASIO’s secret police powers <https://info.citizensparty.org.au/repeal-asio-powers>*

KGB Playbook Is Destroying the West

These are the 20 most chilling insights from Yuri Bezmenov.

By **DOUG ROSS**

YURI Aleksandrovich Bezmenov (1939–1993), also known as Tomas David Schuman, was a Soviet journalist and KGB operative specialising in propaganda and ideological subversion.

Ideological subversion is the process of bending a society's perception of reality so completely that it destroys itself.

In the Cold War era, few voices pierced the veil of secrecy as profoundly as that of Yuri Bezmenov, a KGB defector whose chilling exposés on ideological subversion still resonate today. His warnings, drawn from firsthand experience in Soviet active measures, offer blueprints for destroying free societies—not through bombs, invasions or disease, but through the poisons of manipulated ideas and cultural decay.

Bezmenov's insights resonate today for obvious reasons from our fractured educational institutions, corrupted sciences to zero trust in 'experts'.

Here are 20 of Bezmenov's key insights:

1. Ideological subversion is a long-term process, spanning 15–60 years, designed to change the perception of reality in a target nation without a need for military force.
2. Only about 15 per cent of KGB efforts focused on traditional espionage; the majority targeted psychological warfare and ideological manipulation.
3. The goal is to demoralise a society by undermining its moral, educational, and cultural foundations, making people unable to recognise or defend against threats.
4. Demoralisation takes 15–20 years, the time needed to educate one generation with subversive ideas.
5. Educational systems at all levels are key targets, turning schools into indoctrination



The 1954 decree establishing the KGB.

6. Media infiltration sows confusion by amplifying divisive narratives and discrediting objective truth.
7. Religion is attacked by portraying it as outdated or oppressive, eroding spiritual anchors and replacing them with state loyalty or nihilism.
8. Family structures are weakened through promotion of individualism, divorce, and alternative lifestyles that fragment social cohesion.
9. Moral relativism blurs right and wrong, leading to apathy and inability to unite against a society's true enemies.
10. History is rewritten to vilify national heroes and traditions, fostering self-doubt and guilt in the populace.
11. Following demoralisation, destabilisation lasts 2–5 years, targeting the economy, foreign relations and defence to create internal chaos.
12. Economic sabotage widens class divides, shrinks the middle class, and breeds resentment through inflation, shortages, or inequality.
13. Foreign policy is manipulated to isolate the nation, straining alliances and emboldening adversaries.
14. Defence readiness erodes through budget cuts, internal divisions, or anti-military propaganda.
15. The crisis stage erupts in violence or upheaval, where a demoralised and destabilised society demands radical solutions.
16. During the crisis, people willingly surrender freedoms for promised security, paving the way for authoritarian control.
17. Normalisation is the final phase, where subversive changes

become the 'new normal', institutionalised and irreversible.

18. Opposition is silenced through censorship, marginalisation, or elimination in the normalisation stage.
19. The process relies on 'useful idiots'—well-meaning Western intellectuals, elites, activists, and leaders who unwittingly advance societal suicide.
20. Once subversion succeeds, even exposure of the truth won't reverse it, as the population rejects facts that contradict their reprogrammed worldview.

Bezmenov's insights resonate today for obvious reasons from our fractured educational institutions, corrupted sciences to zero trust in 'experts'.

We must heed Yuri's prescient warning: reclaim critical thinking, fortify culture, and reject divisive ideologies before the stages of subversion culminate in irreversible 'normalisation'. Because at that point, our country is dead.

■ zerohedge.com: These are 20 most chilling insights - Yuri Bezmenov: <https://tinyurl.com/4fua354n>


Helicopters Over Schools: Education Or Enforcement?

By **PAUL CONLON**

■ *Paul G Conlon is an engineer, former army officer, and published author. He writes about family authority, technology, and education at paulconlon.com, where he also offers limited private advisory sessions for families navigating these issues.*

When I was in school, the Channel Seven news helicopter landing on the oval was exciting and educational. Classes stopped. Students gathered as the crew explained their equipment, described their jobs, and answered questions from minds curious about future careers. Today a different experience is descending from the heavens.

According to Education Queensland's website, new Rapid Support Teams can "fly in to



all Queensland state schools to provide swift action and targeted intervention," delivering "on-the-ground support directly to schools managing high-impact and high-intensity bullying incidents." Radio ads simultaneously boast these teams will "make sure no kid feels alone." The language is confronting both in its mixed targeting of bullying and loneliness and in its resemblance to military terminology.

I first encountered Quick Reaction Forces in the Army. QRFs are highly mobile, armed units—often airborne—ready to respond within minutes to emergencies. That concept makes sense in war. Its appearance in schools should give us pause.

The policy claims to support teachers, many of whom genuinely need help. Yet the introduction of airborne crisis intervention raises a deeper question. What kind of

system requires such force to function?

Schools were once home to relationships. One teacher I fondly recall didn't need punishments or raised voices. When he spoke, we listened. We feared the opposite of force—his quiet disapproval. That dynamic grows over time through patience and mutual respect. It cannot be deployed from a central office. When those foundations weaken, institutions compensate. More policies. More punishments.

Eventually behaviour is no longer shaped by admiration earned in the classroom, but power imposed by the state. And is that not the quiet lesson rapid intervention models teach: that might makes right?

We risk changing the classroom's currency from education to compliance. But

compliance with what? Definitions have arguably never been more elastic. Words like *harm*, *safety*, and *bullying* can now stretch far beyond physical intimidation. Disagreements, social conflicts, casual remarks, and even grade resentment may now fall under the same umbrella.

Exactly which scenarios justify these deployments? When are parents involved? What protections exist for accused students? Any system capable of rapid intervention must also exhibit careful restraint.

If schools can't foster belonging without airlifting crisis squads, what's their role anymore?

Parents are right to question whether helicopters now land at schools to inspire—or impose—and whether classrooms still deliver what they promise.

ORDER THE PAPER!



If you want to help spread The Uncensored Truth, why not order some copies online for your group, town or community?

- 50 copies for \$23
 - 100 copies for \$37
 - 200 copies for \$72
 - 500 copies for \$115
 - 1000 copies for \$220
 - 1500 copies for \$330
 - 2000 copies for \$435
- Prices including P&H.

Visit our website at www.thelightaustralia.com/order-the-paper

Legal Setback for Australia's E-Karen

By **SCOTT JOHNSON**

■ *Scott Johnson is an independent Australian journalist who has written for The Washington Times, The Epoch Times, The West Australian, The Light Australia, Soldier of Fortune magazine and numerous other publications.*

On 18 February 2026, the Full Federal Court of Australia unanimously dismissed an appeal by Australia's eSafety Commissioner Julie Inman Grant, delivering a victory for free speech activist Celine Baumgarten. Baumgarten had been censored for questioning a Melbourne school operating a "queer club" for years 3–6 with her social media post being 'geoblocked' on X. The Court ruled the removal was an improper takedown marking a loss for the eSafety Commissioner's powers to censor the internet. The case reportedly cost taxpayers \$250,000 in legal fees and marks a number of setbacks for the eSafety



Elon Musk on X (above) referring to Julie Inman Grant as "Censorship Commissar".

Commissioner.

In 2024, Inman Grant had sought to censor X and Meta about the terrorist stabbing of Christian Bishop Mar Mari Emmanuel by a

16-year-old Muslim. Elon Musk refused to remove it and in June 2024 Inman Grant dropped the case in the Australian courts. Currently Inman Grant faces

The Australian Labor government cites the ban as a measure to protect children by banning under-16s from social media while critics such as Australian Senator Alex Antic says it's "not a win for anyone."



Elon Musk (pictured)

further High Court challenges to Australia's under-16 social media ban, one by social media platform Reddit and another by two Australian 15-year-olds (Noah Jones and Macy Neyland).

Julie Inman Grant is Australia's senior public servant responsible for enforcing the under-16 social

media ban and notably she is a dual US–Australian citizen – a fact not lost on US lawmakers. In November 2025 US House Judiciary Committee Chairman Rep. Jim Jordan summoned Inman Grant to testify before US Congress. Rep. Jordan, an advocate for free speech has described Inman Grant as a "zealot for global takedowns" and is concerned over Australia's censorship plans extending to Americans. The Australian Labor government cites the ban as a measure to protect children by banning under-16s from social media while critics such as Australian Senator Alex Antic says it's "not a win for anyone." As of early March 2026, there have been no reports of Inman Grant complying with Rep. Jordan's request, and this battle over free speech is likely to remain an ongoing point of controversy.

Congressman Jim Jordan Warns EU Censorship Threatens Free Speech Beyond Europe

By **JAVIER VILLAMOR**

■ *Javier Villamor is a Spanish journalist and analyst. Based in Brussels, he covers NATO and EU affairs at europeanconservative.com. Javier has over 17 years of experience in international politics, defense, and security. He also works as a consultant providing strategic insights into global affairs and geopolitical dynamics.*

Republican chairman of the U.S. House Judiciary Committee Jim Jordan, on February 5th issued one of the strongest warnings to date against what he describes as an expanding European censorship regime with global consequences.

Speaking in response to the committee's report on EU content regulation to a select group of media outlets, including *europeanconservative.com*, in an online press conference, Jordan framed Brussels' policies not as an internal European matter, but as a direct challenge to freedom of expression, democratic accountability, and the constitutional rights of American citizens and companies.

At the center of the controversy is the EU's Digital Services Act (DSA), a regulatory framework that, while formally European, operates in practice as a global moderation standard due to the dominance of U.S.-based technology companies in the digital public square.



Above: Jordan was blunt. In his view, these mechanisms reveal the core irony of modern censorship: those most eager to suppress speech are political authorities themselves. He singled out COVID policy as the clearest example, arguing that much of what was aggressively censored later proved false.

According to Jordan, the DSA affects the United States precisely because it imposes speech rules on platforms headquartered in America but operating worldwide. "This is not just about Europe," he stressed. "It is about how a global moderation policy ends up shaping what Americans can say, read, or publish online."

The Judiciary Committee report concludes that EU regulators, including authorities in Brussels and Ireland, interfered in Irish electoral processes in 2024 and

2025 by pressuring platforms to restrict political content.

When asked whether Washington had contacted the Irish government, Jordan confirmed that congressional delegations travelled to Brussels, London, and Dublin in the summer of 2024, echoing concerns previously raised by the Trump administration about the DSA's impact on free speech and U.S. businesses.

Letters of concern were sent to senior EU figures, including then-Internal Market Commissioner Thierry Breton, shortly after his controversial letter to Elon Musk in 2024. Jordan described that intervention as "ridiculous" and outside the EU's legitimate authority—adding pointedly that Breton's subsequent departure from office spoke for itself.

Parallels with U.S. domestic censorship

Jordan repeatedly drew parallels between European pressure and what the committee has documented at home. A key reference was a 2024 message from Mark Zuckerberg, confirming that the Biden administration had pressured platforms to censor content related to COVID-19, immigration, and gender ideology.

For Jordan, the pattern is unmistakable: governments using informal pressure and regulatory threats to achieve censorship they cannot lawfully impose.

He recalled the now-defunct "misinformation board" proposed within the U.S. Department of Homeland Security as emblematic of this mindset. "It made no sense then, and it makes no sense now," he said.

Questions were also raised about redactions in the committee's published exhibits. While names of company representatives and some diplomats were withheld, EU Commission officials were not. Jordan defended the approach as a basic matter of privacy and proportionality. "We worked with the tech companies to obtain this information, and the minimum obligation is to protect personal data," he explained.

As for next steps, Jordan signalled that the committee will now focus on consequences: what EU officials' actions mean for American companies, and how policies adopted in Brussels can lawfully bind citizens who have no democratic representation there. "They have no authority over Americans," he said. "Yet their policies impact Americans globally. That cannot be allowed."

Democracy Shield or censorship shield?

Asked whether the EU has responded and how he views initiatives such as the so-called "Democracy Shield," Jordan was blunt. In his view, these mechanisms reveal the core irony

of modern censorship: those most eager to suppress speech are political authorities themselves. He singled out COVID policy as the clearest example, arguing that much of what was aggressively censored later proved false.



Jordan also expressed particular concern about the role of Commission Vice-President Věra Jourová, whose portfolio included values and transparency. "Throughout history, it is always the bad actors who want to censor," he said. "That lesson has not changed."

Jordan closed by grounding the dispute in first principles. Without freedom of speech, he argued, there can be no free press, and without a free press, democracy itself collapses. For the House Judiciary Committee, the EU's censorship apparatus is not merely a regulatory disagreement but a constitutional red line.


As Brussels continues to export its regulatory model, Washington is making clear that the debate over digital governance is no longer about moderation standards—it is about who gets to decide the limits of speech in the modern world.

■ *This article was published 6 February 2026 in The European Conservative.*

CLIMATE CRISIS?



1 EARTH IS BOILING



They say:

Earth's temperature is rising too quickly, and this will cause irreversible damage and multiple catastrophes.

Science says:

Since 1850, there has been a gentle one degree rise in average temperature as we exit the mini ice age. Compared to Earth's entire history, we are in a relatively cool period (see graph above).

2 BECAUSE OF CO₂



They say:

The main driver of this 'unprecedented' change are greenhouse gases, mostly from transport and power using oil and gas.

Science says:

CO₂ is rising *because* temperatures are rising, not the other way round. Most carbon dioxide is stored in the oceans and lags temperature changes by 200+ years. The sun is the vital factor in Earth's climate.

3 SO LIFE MUST CHANGE



They say:

We need to slow or halt warming by de-industrialising and restricting many 'carbon-emitting' purchases such as energy, meat and cars.

Science says:

Australia produces just over 1% of global CO₂ emissions, despite having only 0.3% of the world's population. Even if Australia stopped all domestic flights, car travel, farming, electricity generation and industry tomorrow, the effect on global temperatures would be statistically negligible, because over 90% of global emissions come from other nations.

Where did climate alarmism originate?

The Club of Rome's book *Limits to Growth* sold millions in 1972, and crystallised the idea of 'sustainable development' and a war on carbon.

How have they convinced so many?

They have used every institution and organ of state, spent billions of dollars and repeated the same lies over and over again, whether to schoolchildren, university students or the general public via the media. That's not science, it's propaganda.

They have censored, smeared and ruined every scientist or expert who questioned the official narrative. That's not science, it's totalitarianism. We never hear the debate - we only hear that the other side are 'deniers', a pejorative term so that people think there is a consensus when there isn't - far from it; see <https://clintel.org>

Science is never settled, or it is not genuine science, it's ideology.

Why is the west so focused on 'net zero', but not China or India, the world's biggest industrial nations and the biggest contributors to CO₂ emissions?

Renewable, clean energy?

Wind turbines and solar panels are made abroad with coal and oil energy, using rare earth minerals, shipped and installed using petroleum products, have short lives and are hard to recycle.

In the end, we're industrialising and destroying much of the countryside in the name of saving the environment. That is not conservation.

Why does it matter?

They are going to lock us down - small businesses will be told to close; people will be allowed out for short times and much of life will be restricted, all in the name of 'saving the Earth'. It is widely known that government policy during Covid did far more harm than anything else - isolating hundreds of thousands, preventing many from regular treatment, who then died at home or from end-of-life protocols in hospitals and care homes.

Are you going to comply this time?

How Involved Was Epstein in Pandemic Strategies?

What the Emails Do – and Don't – Tell Us

Newly released emails show Jeffrey Epstein was included in discussions about financing global health and pandemic preparedness years before COVID-19. The documents don't prove he shaped policy. But they confirm that he had access to conversations about pandemic risk as a financial strategy.

By **TrialSite News**

■ *This article was published by The Defender Children's Health Defense's News & Views Website. This article was published by The Defender on February 19, 2026.*

■ *TrialSite News is the only digital media dedicated 100% to transparent and open coverage of medical research and developments from around the globe.*

What if the most disturbing part of the Epstein files isn't what they prove — but what they reveal about proximity? For years, Jeffrey Epstein was described as a financier, a predator, a manipulator of elite networks. But buried inside thousands of pages of newly released correspondence, thanks to the law passed by Congress, is something less sensational and arguably more unsettling: Epstein positioned himself at the crossroads of global health philanthropy, financial engineering and pandemic preparedness years before COVID-19.

Was he simply inserting himself into powerful conversations? Or was he orbiting something much larger — a structural transformation in how public health crises would be financed, insured and governed? The documents don't give us a smoking gun. But they do give us a map.

The 2017 email that sparked the storm

One of the most widely circulated exhibits is a May 24, 2017, email from Boris Nikolic — a science advisor with ties to Bill Gates — addressed to both Epstein and Gates. In it, Nikolic writes that a donor-advised fund strategy “might be a great path forward for some key areas such as Energy, pandemic, etc.” That single word — pandemic — has ignited speculation.



Epstein (pictured)

Epstein was in the room. What he truly understood — or intended — remains unanswered.

The email confirms something narrow but real: Epstein was copied into conversations involving Gates-linked philanthropy where pandemic risk was explicitly discussed as a funding domain.

It does not describe disease planning. It does not outline an operational response. It reads like a philanthropic portfolio strategy. But it shows Epstein was not merely a social acquaintance — he was inside conversations where global health priorities were being structured financially. That proximity alone raises questions.

Project molecule: Building the financial plumbing

Even more revealing is a 2011 JPMorgan draft proposal titled “Project Molecule.” The document outlines a proposed Gates-JPMorgan charitable giving platform — a donor-advised fund structure designed to aggregate global capital, offer donor anonymity and create what the deck calls an “institutional bridge” for large-scale philanthropic deployment.

Embedded in the presentation are global health examples: vaccine

purchasing, disease surveillance infrastructure and cross-border health initiatives. The structure included:

- U.S. donor-advised accounts
- International “tax-neutral” components
- Institutional investment management overlays

To critics, this looks like the financialization of public health — a world where philanthropy, capital markets and disease response are woven together in institutional frameworks. And of course, TrialSite News reported during the pandemic how Gates at one point was generating a 10x return on his investment in BioNTech (the German company that partnered with Pfizer to generate one of the COVID-19 mRNA vaccines). To defenders, it looks like large-scale philanthropy operating at scale.

Either way, the architecture is clear: elite financial infrastructure was being designed to channel massive capital into global health long before COVID-19 emerged.

The 2015 ‘preparing for pandemics’ email

Then there is the March 2015 email chain referencing a meeting on “preparing for pandemics.” The message discusses involving the World Health Organization (WHO) and the International Committee of the Red Cross for “co-branding” and closes with, “I hope we can pull this off!”

The language is ambiguous. It suggests coordination, positioning, and institutional alignment. It does not describe pathogen engineering or outbreak planning. But it confirms that pandemic preparedness was circulating within Epstein's network years before COVID-19.

To be clear: pandemic preparedness was already mainstream policy discourse at the time. Global frameworks, including WHO- and World Bank-linked preparedness initiatives, were active well before 2020.

In 2018, the Global Preparedness Monitoring Board was convened. In 2019, its report “A World at Risk” warned of catastrophic pandemic vulnerability. Preparedness discussions were not secret.

But Epstein's appearance in those email chains adds a layer of discomfort to an already controversial figure.

Pandemic as a financial instrument

A separate 2017 iMessage thread references “pandemic simulation” expertise and discusses designing pandemic-linked products with Swiss Re using “parametric triggers.”

Parametric triggers are common in catastrophe bonds and reinsurance — payouts tied to measurable events such as earthquake magnitude or hurricane wind speed. In other words, pandemic risk was being treated as a quantifiable financial variable.

This is perhaps the most provocative theme in the released material: pandemic risk wasn't merely a humanitarian concern. It was increasingly something that could be modeled, insured, and structured into financial products.

That does not imply orchestration. But it does demonstrate that by the mid-2010s, pandemic events were already embedded in financial innovation discussions.

The COVID question

This is where speculation accelerates — and where evidence thins. There is no document in the reviewed Epstein materials that:

- Demonstrates coordination of COVID-19.
- Shows operational influence over WHO pandemic declarations.
- Links Epstein directly to vaccine platform development.
- Connects him to Arcturus' self-amplifying mRNA influenza programs or BARDA funding mechanisms.

Public records show Arcturus' H5N1 work and BARDA-backed programs advancing through conventional regulatory and funding channels, largely post-COVID-19. The documentary bridge from Epstein to COVID-19 vaccine engineering simply is not there — at least not in this initial search. But absence of evidence is not the same as absence of influence — and that gray space is precisely where suspicion thrives.

What the files actually reveal
Strip away viral headlines, and three conclusions remain:

1. Epstein actively inserted himself into elite philanthropic finance design.
2. Pandemic preparedness and simulation were explicit topics in that orbit years before COVID-19.
3. Pandemic risk was being discussed not only as a public health threat, but as a structured financial category.

That convergence — finance, philanthropy, governance and disease — is real. What is not supported by the documents is a coordinated “pandemic-for-profit” conspiracy. The architecture exists. The orchestration does not.

The deeper question

Perhaps the more uncomfortable question isn't whether Epstein engineered COVID-19. It's whether modern public health response has become inseparable from financial architecture — donor-advised funds, capital aggregation vehicles, reinsurance triggers and global governance frameworks — and whether Epstein simply positioned himself near that switchboard. The files show he wanted to be there. They do not show that he controlled it.

But they reveal something that cannot be unseen: before COVID-19 reshaped the world, pandemic risk was already being structured, modeled, branded and financed at the highest levels of power. Epstein was in the room. What he truly understood — or intended — remains unanswered.

■ *The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of Children's Health Defense.*

■ *Originally published by TrialSite News.*

■ *This article was published by The Defender Children's Health Defense's News & Views Website under Creative Commons license CC BY-NC-ND 4.0. Please consider subscribing to The Defender or donating to Children's Health Defense. This article was published by The Defender on Feb 19, 2026.*

**ADVERTISE
HERE!**



> Competitive pricing
> 1/8 and 1/4 page ad options
> Support The Light Australia (tax-deductible)
For more information go to
www.thelightaustralia.com/advertise
or email advertise.thelightaus@protonmail.com

The Silent Capture of Agriculture

By **BRONWYN HOLM**

■ *Bronwyn Holm, founder of Earthfood, works alongside farmers, gardeners and land stewards to restore living soil through biology, not chemistry.*

For thirty years, global agriculture has been consolidating in plain sight. The mergers are not rumours; they're on the public record. Bayer absorbed Monsanto in a US\$63 billion deal. ChemChina took over Syngenta. Dow and DuPont merged, then spun out Corteva as a new agricultural giant. Four companies now dominate much of the world's commercial seed genetics and crop protection chemistry. When inputs consolidate, choice narrows and leverage concentrates.

Downstream, the pattern repeats. The ACCC estimates Woolworths controls about 38% of Australia's supermarket grocery sales, Coles around 29%, and ALDI roughly 9%. That is not a competitive free for all. The ACCC has repeatedly described a bargaining power imbalance between major supermarkets and suppliers. Farmers speak of loads rejected on cosmetic grounds—



Photo by pexels-greta-hoffman

perfectly edible produce, but not symmetrical enough for a shelf.

When producers sit between consolidated input suppliers and consolidated retail buyers, they are squeezed from both ends. That pressure shapes the entire system.

Meanwhile, new molecular tools are entering agriculture. In December 2023, the US Environmental Protection Agency registered Ledprona, an RNA interference (RNAi) biopesticide that works by silencing a gene in the Colorado potato beetle. It doesn't insert foreign DNA in the

old GM sense; it switches genes off temporarily and in a targeted way. Because RNAi fits into evolving regulatory categories, it moves differently through oversight frameworks.

Australia is already preparing for this future. In 2019, gene technology regulations were amended so that certain gene editing techniques—specifically those leaving no foreign DNA—are exempt from GMO regulation. The public conversation has not kept pace with the regulatory shift.

At the same time, Australia

Most people see food on a shelf, not the mergers, regulatory amendments, molecular tools or carbon markets shaping what ends up there. The quiet future currency is not carbon alone—it's the soil biome. You either build it, or you rent it from someone who did.

is building RNA capability. The federal government released Australia's RNA Blueprint, and South Australia hosts BioCina's mRNA Centre of Excellence, with capacity for plasmid DNA fermentation and formulated mRNA-lipid nanoparticle complexes. Today, this is framed around therapeutics, but capability never stays in a single silo. Agriculture in the United States is already deploying RNAi tools. Trajectory matters.

Now add carbon. Soil carbon can now be measured, verified and traded under approved methodologies. Under Australia's Emissions Reduction Fund, landholders can generate credits for measurable increases in soil carbon. Once something

becomes quantifiable, it becomes monetisable. Carbon becomes an asset class. Land becomes financial infrastructure.

Decades of high input farming have simplified soil biology in many systems. When the biological engine weakens, dependency on external inputs increases. Dependency is profitable.

Most people see food on a shelf, not the mergers, regulatory amendments, molecular tools or carbon markets shaping what ends up there. The quiet future currency is not carbon alone—it's the soil biome. You either build it, or you rent it from someone who did.

■ Visit www.youearthfood.com

PFAS Forever Chemicals Applied to Crops

By **DON WANT, PhD**

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)—known as “forever chemicals” because they cannot break down—are linked to cancer, immune suppression, liver toxicity, reproductive harm, and developmental delays in children.

Nearly 98% of people tested in Australia and the US have PFAS in their blood, yet these chemicals are now intentionally added to agricultural pesticides, creating a direct and largely unmonitored pathway into our food supply.

In the US, the EPA has approved PFAS-containing pesticides, including isocycloseram and cyclobutrifuram, for use on a wide range of crops, from leafy greens and brassicas to oranges, almonds, and soybeans. The Trump Administration accelerated approvals, planning to approve five such pesticides compared to just one under the Biden Administration. Critics argue the EPA uses a deliberately narrow definition of PFAS—requiring two or more fluorinated carbons



Photo by pexels-20hertz

rather than the OECD standard of one or more—to sidestep regulatory obligations. Notably, isocycloseram breaks down into

40 smaller PFAS chemicals, some of which are more persistent than the original compound, and is highly toxic to bees at up to 1,500

Nearly 98% of people tested in Australia and the US have PFAS in their blood, yet these chemicals are now intentionally added to agricultural pesticides, creating a direct and largely unmonitored pathway into our food supply.

times the lethal dose. Despite known risks including reduced fertility and liver toxicity, the EPA declined to set child-specific safety buffers. Conflicts of interest are compounded by current EPA leadership, which includes former lobbyists for the chemical and pesticide industries.

In Australia, the problem centres on pesticides contaminated with PFAS during manufacturing and packaging. A 2022 global study discovered PFAS in 70% of pesticides released between 2015 and 2020—many of which are registered in Australia. The Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA) has faced serious allegations of industry capture, partly because it is fully funded by the industries it regulates, leading to an inherent conflict of interest.

Critically, neither country is adequately testing food for PFAS residues. Existing monitoring programs test for hundreds of pesticide types but exclude PFAS entirely. Without source-

specific testing, it is impossible to determine whether pesticide applications leave residues in food, rendering regulatory assurances of safety essentially unverifiable.

The health risks are significant. PFAS have been found in placentas as early as seven weeks of pregnancy, interfering with hormone production and placental growth. A major Swedish study connected high maternal PFAS exposure to nearly triple the likelihood of nervous system defects in newborns.

Regulatory independence is urgently needed, along with adopting the OECD PFAS definition, mandatory food testing, and an outright ban on PFAS pesticides—following Europe's precautionary approach. Without urgent reform, future generations will inherit irreversible contamination we have chosen not to measure.

■ To read the full article, visit environmentalhealtheffects.substack.com

PLEASE DONATE!

If you like what you see in this paper, please donate at our website www.thelightradio.com/donate

'Baby killers' Media Claim Over Unvaccinated Pertussis Cases Prompts Press Council Complaints

By ALISON BEVEGE

Alison Bevege is an independent Australian journalist who previously wrote for News Ltd, Reuters and Daily Mail.

Multiple complaints have been made over the Sydney Morning Herald's portrayal of unvaccinated adults as "baby killers" who spread whooping cough, putting public health at risk.

Whooping cough is spread by the *Bordetella pertussis* bacteria, which colonise the mucosa. Australia's acellular pertussis vaccines, made by GSK and Sanofi, can reduce the symptoms of whooping cough but cannot stop the spread of the bacteria that cause it. This is well-known to science.

A 2018 conference organised by the World Association for Infectious Disease and Immunological Disorders (WAIDID) found the leaky vaccines did not just fail to stop the spread but were partly to blame for the worldwide resurgence in whooping cough.

"Finally, aPV pertussis vaccines do not prevent colonisation. Consequently, they do not reduce the circulation of B. pertussis and do not exert any herd immunity effect. These findings at least partly explain the resurgence of pertussis,"

the conference reported in the journal *Frontiers in Immunology*.

The paper, "Pertussis Prevention: Reasons for Resurgence, and Differences in the Current Acellular Pertussis Vaccines" was signed by more than 15 mainstream scientists, WAIDID and the Vaccine Study Group of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Even Australia's Health Department does not explicitly state that the products stop pertussis transmission, because they can't.

Despite this, the Sydney Morning Herald published a news story on January 31 and an editorial on February 2 that used the death of baby Riley Hughes to blame unvaccinated people for spreading the disease.

"It told the heart-wrenching story of a three-week-old boy whose needless death resulted from meeting somebody who neglected to be vaccinated against whooping cough," the editorial said.

The Herald did not disclose that Catherine Hughes, the bereaved mother of Riley who featured in the story, is an activist whose foundation receives money from drug-makers GSK and Sanofi.

Ms Hughes blamed unvaccinated people for spreading whooping cough to her son and channelled her grief into activism



Above: Bridget Green feeling frustrated at Bondi, 4 February 2026. Photo courtesy of Alison Bevege.

by starting the Immunisation Foundation of Australia.

The foundation received \$270,000 from GSK and Sanofi since 2023, according to drug company disclosure reports published by lobby group Medicines Australia, and the GSK website.

Three other experts quoted by the Herald also came from organisations tied to GSK and Sanofi money: two from the Murdoch Children's Research Institute, and one from the Royal Australasian College of Physicians, which has a foundation partnered with GSK.

Letters From Australia made a

complaint to the Australian Press Council and exposed the problem on Substack after the newspaper ignored emailed requests for comment and correction. The Australian Medical Professionals Society also made a complaint to the Herald.

Letters From Australia understands that in response, the Herald quoted ambiguous Health Department communication which the editorial department misunderstood - confusing "disease", which means severity of symptoms, with "transmission", which means spreading bacteria to others.

Misrepresenting what these products can do is a threat to public health because vaccinated people with mild symptoms who falsely believe they can't have whooping cough, can spread it to vulnerable newborns like Riley Hughes. It also demonises innocent people.

Bondi resident Bridget Green,

67, a new grandmother, felt gutted on reading the Herald's story as she knew her family would believe the newspaper, even though it was wrong. "I thought I wouldn't be allowed to see my granddaughter or hold her," she said. Bridget is no more of a risk to her granddaughter than anyone else. But good publicity is big dollars for UK-based GSK and Sanofi, based in France.

Pertussis vaccines earned GSK more than £1.1 billion (A\$2.1 billion) globally in 2023, according to its full-year earnings report, and for Sanofi the products earned €2,741 million (A\$4.6 billion) in net sales for the full-year, driven by booster demand to revaccinate adults.

For more on this story, see Letters From Australia on Substack at lettersfromaustralia.substack.com

Bondi resident Bridget Green, 67, a new grandmother, felt gutted on reading the Herald's story as she knew her family would believe the newspaper, even though it was wrong. "I thought I wouldn't be allowed to see my granddaughter or hold her," she said.

Labor's 900-Kilometre Fishing Ban

By SCOTT JOHNSON

Scott Johnson is an independent Australian journalist who has written for The Washington Times, The Epoch Times, The West Australian, The Light Newspaper, Soldier of Fortune magazine and numerous other publications.

On 26 February 2026, approximately 150 fishers, commercial operators, recreational anglers, and supporters gathered for a protest at Parliament House in Perth, Western Australia for the "Save WA Fishing Rally" or "Stop Labor's War on the Weekend". The demonstration also included members from the

"WA Alliance Against Offshore Wind" in a protest against the state Labor government's controversial demersal fishing ban. Enacted in December 2025, the ban purports to address depleted "demersal fish" (bottom-dwelling species such as snapper and dhufish) stocks but has been criticised as heavy-handed, poorly consulted, and economically

devastating to communities along the 900-kilometre coastline of Western Australia. Protesters waved placards as speakers and parliamentarians demanded a full parliamentary inquiry into the ban's scientific basis including the tabling of a petition of over 27,000 signatures from the organisers. The event highlighted deep

divisions over conservation goals, government overreach and fishing industry concerns. The Shadow Minister for Fisheries, Kirilee Warr MLA stated, "despite repeated calls and Freedom of Information requests, the Government has still not produced a shred of evidence to confirm that fish stocks are under threat."



"Save WA Fishing Rally" protesters at Parliament House, Western Australia. Photo courtesy of The Nationals WA.



Hon. Basil Zempilas MLA, Leader of the Liberal Party in WA, called the ban, "one of the most unfair, sledgehammer bans this state has ever seen". Photo courtesy of Scorpion Media Group.



Geoff Rowe, a recreational angler, wearing a shirt satirically depicting Labor Premier Roger Cook eating KFC, is pictured with Sky News reporter Ciara Hain. Photo courtesy of Scorpion Media Group.



Hon. Rod Caddies MLC, State Leader of One Nation, is pictured shaking hands with Geoff Rowe, a recreational angler at the protest. Mr Caddies had just been in Parliament speaking against Labor's fishing ban. Photo courtesy of Scorpion Media Group.

Want to get involved distributing The Light Australia?

Form a group of 5 friends, order 500 newspapers, and have them delivered to your door Australia-wide, for only \$115. (That's only \$23 per person per issue... OR less than \$3 per week). You will be helping us to share the Uncensored Truth in your area. www.thelightaustralia.com/order-the-paper



Global Snapshot

An Overview of International News

AUSTRALIA: WHO'S GONNA WIPE YOUR....

In mid-February 2026, Labor Premier of South Australia Peter Malinauskas sparked controversy by arguing for increased immigration to Australia in opposition to One Nation's anti-immigration stance. At a formal luncheon during a Q&A, he publicly asked, "my message, uh, ...to One Nation voters is, 'Who's going to feed you and bathe you and wipe your bum when you're 90?'"—implying that without sufficient immigration, there won't be enough workers for aged care and related roles. This simple and crude phrasing in describing the future of Australia's immigration policy drew backlash across the political spectrum.

AUSTRALIAN EX-SPY SENTENCED TO 7 YEARS IN US FEDERAL PRISON

Peter Williams is a 39-year-old Australian man and former intelligence official with the Australian Signals Directorate who was sentenced in February 2026 to over seven years in a U.S. federal prison for selling trade secrets to Russia. He worked as general manager for Trenchant, the cyber division of U.S. defence contractor L3Harris, where he stole at least eight sensitive cyber-exploit components—hacking tools meant for U.S. government and allied use only. Between 2022 and 2025, he sold these hacking tools to a Russian cyber-tools broker in exchange for cryptocurrency payments. Williams pleaded guilty to two counts of theft—of trade secrets—in October 2025 and was ordered to forfeit US\$1.3 million in assets.

CANADA: NOT SO POLITE (ANYMORE)—DYSTOPIAN DEATHS PROJECTED TO HIT 100,000

Canada's Medical assistance in dying (MAID) death rate has reached 76,475 Canadians as of 31 December 2024, and Canadian activist Kelsi Sheren states that figure is due to hit 100,000 in 2026. MAID is Canada's legal term for 'medically assisted dying' (euthanasia/assisted suicide), where a doctor or medical practitioner helps an eligible person end their life at their request. Recently in December 2025, a 26-year-old Ontario man named Kiano Vafaeian died by MAID in British Columbia. His family strongly criticised the decision to allow him to die, claiming it failed to protect a vulnerable young person with treatable or chronic conditions and mental health issues. He was

denied MAID multiple times in Ontario, and his mother stating the decision was "disgusting" and that he doctor-shopped until he found approval in another jurisdiction. The case has sparked further debate about government-sponsored suicide.

US OFFERS ASYLUM FOR UK'S FREE SPEECH VICTIMS

In February 2026, Sarah Rogers, Undersecretary of State for Public Diplomacy (in the Trump administration), stated in an interview that "Anyone persecuted by their government for peacefully expressing their views, including British citizens, may present themselves at a U.S. embassy or consulate to seek information about applying for refugee protection." She emphasised that the U.S. "takes free expression seriously" and views violations as a priority. The UK Parliament has previously reported that 30 people are arrested every day for posting on social media.

FRANCE: MURDER BY ANTIFA

A 23-year-old activist and mathematics student, Quentin Deranque died in Lyon after being severely beaten during a street clash on February 12. He suffered fatal head injuries and died on February 14. The attack occurred at a street protest linked to a conference by far-left La France Insoumise (LFI) MEP Rima Hassan. French authorities, including Interior Minister Laurent Nuñez and Justice Minister Gérald Darmanin, attributed the killing to far-left/"ultra-left" militants, specifically describing it as a mob attack by "antifa" groups. The case was reclassified as murder, and police arrested 11 people in connection with the death, including aides to an LFI lawmaker and members linked to the banned antifascist group Jeune Garde. Italy's Prime Minister Giorgia Meloni called Deranque's death "a wound for all of Europe."

TRUMP'S TARIFFS

On 20 February 2026, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled 6–3 that U.S. President Donald Trump exceeded his authority by imposing sweeping global tariffs under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA), a 1977 law meant for national emergencies. The Court held that IEEPA does not authorise the president to impose tariffs, as such powers belong to Congress. This ruling concerns imports from nearly every country, potentially affecting billions in collected revenue, although the Court did not rule on refunds. President Trump

responded by announcing a new 10% global tariff under a different law (Section 122), and would be later raised to 15%. Trump administration officials emphasised the U.S. tariff policy remains largely unchanged, and they appear to be pivoting to other tools to continue their trade policy.

DROPPING LIKE FLIES: EPSTEIN FALLOUT

In late January 2026, the U.S. Department of Justice released another tranche of Epstein Files—over 3 million additional pages of documents, plus thousands of videos and images, under the Epstein Files Transparency Act. The fallout includes Andrew Mountbatten-Windsor (the former British royal, Prince Andrew) being arrested in February 2026 for allegedly sharing confidential documents with Epstein. Former UK diplomat, Labour adviser and U.S. ambassador Peter Mandelson was also arrested over allegations of sharing confidential information with the convicted sex offender and resigned from the House of Lords and the Labour Party (he had been sacked as UK's Ambassador to the U.S. in September 2025). In Norway, former Norwegian PM and Council of Europe secretary-general Thorbjørn Jagland was charged with aggravated corruption. Multiple CEOs, executives, academics, and government officials resigned, including former U.S. Treasury Secretary Larry Summers resigning from Harvard and Kathy Ruemmler resigning from Goldman Sachs... (stay tuned, as the fallout shows no signs of winding up).

IRAN WAR: OPERATION EPIC FURY

The 2026 Iran War (Operation Epic Fury) began on 28 February 2026 when the United States and Israel launched large-scale surprise airstrikes across Iran. These initially targeted nuclear facilities, ballistic missile sites, air defences, military bases, naval assets, and leadership, killing Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei early on, along with numerous senior officials. The stated goals include destroying Iran's nuclear and missile programs, eliminating key military capabilities and according to some U.S. statements, inducing regime change in Iran. Iran retaliated with missile and drone attacks on Israel, U.S. bases in the region, and some Gulf states, while activating parts of its "Axis of Resistance" (Iran's network of allied militias, including Hezbollah (Lebanon), Hamas (Gaza) and Houthis (Yemen)). The conflict has

involved ongoing air campaigns, Iranian missile barrages (some using cluster munitions) and disruptions in the Strait of Hormuz affecting global oil shipping supplies. As of mid-March 2026, the war remains active, with U.S. and Israeli strikes continuing and Iran vowing to fight "as far as necessary." Global oil markets and shipping face major disruptions, with President Trump urging allies to help secure the region. The geopolitical situation is fast-moving and carries risks of wider global escalation.

SPAIN: IMMIGRATION (INMIGRACIÓN)

Spain's left-wing government, led by Prime Minister Pedro Sánchez of the Socialist Workers' Party, approved a decree in January 2026 to legalise the status of approximately 500,000 illegal immigrants and asylum seekers, in what some view as immigrants replacing the Spanish population. MEP Irene Montero stated at a rally soon after, "Of course I want there to be replacement: replacement of fascists, replacement of racists, replacement of parasite..." Elon Musk responded on X by calling her a "genocidal maniac".

ROUND TWO OF THE GREAT RESET?

As the Iran War threatens shipping routes with coincides with global fuel shortages, Australian Senator Alex Antic draws parallels with previous Covid-era government overreach. In a 24 March 2026 video (Covid Déjà Vu) on his YouTube channel, Senator Antic stated, "The question has to be raised: is this coincidence, or is it a convergence of issues?" He went on, "And would it be such a huge surprise if, in the next coming months, we started to see, I don't know, work-from-home mandates, travel restrictions, purchase limits? We're almost expecting we're going to see fuel rations, and would it be such a surprise if all of a sudden, I don't know, this was monitored by QR codes and government mandates and apps...?" Senator Antic also warned us with a rather prophetic joke, "the old joke used to be what's the difference between a conspiracy theory and reality? Six months. So, I think it's about two now." At time of writing, the Middle East conflict remains 'front page'—so stay tuned.

Letters to the Editor



ISSUE 22 FEBRUARY 2026

Dear Editor,

Artificial light was meant to make nights safer, not turn them into a permanent daylight simulation. Blue-rich LEDs, streetlights and glowing screens push melatonin aside, telling the body to stay awake long after it should shut down. Poorly designed lighting bleeds into bedrooms, disrupts sleep and adds to a growing body of evidence that light pollution is a genuine environmental stressor.

Wildlife pays an even steeper price. Insects are pulled into artificial light and die in huge numbers, destabilising the food webs built on them. Bats abandon lit areas, losing access to feeding grounds. Birds become disoriented, drawn off migration paths.

The science on these impacts is clear, even if policy response isn't. Cities continue installing brighter, bluer, always-on lighting as if darkness were a defect. The result is a world that sleeps less, functions worse and steadily erodes the ecosystems that keep it alive.

Regards, Sam. S VIC

Letters to the Editor Policy

The Light Australia (TLA) welcomes letters to the editor. Letters should be concise, no longer than 100 words, and must include the writer's name and phone number for verification (only the name and state will be published). All submissions are subject to editing at the discretion of the editorial staff. The opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect those of TLA. Please email letters to letters@thelightraustralia.com




Phil and Jodie Jardine have owned & operated Topolinis Caffe for 25+ years.
Open 7 nights a week, Friday and Sunday lunch
 ☎ 08 9447 7118
 Located in the foyer of Hoyts - Warwick, WA

Monday: Any regular Pasta/Pizza \$19.50
Tuesday: 2 x Barramundi \$56
Wednesday: Chicken Parmigiana \$24
Thursday: Steak night starting from \$29
Friday & Saturday: 2 course meal \$42
Sunday: All day regular pizzas \$20

Purchase a VIP card \$165 for 1 year. Buy 1 get 1 free*

At TRI - VORTEX, we have been working with the scientific and healing properties of light, sound and geometry since 1989



Geo Fusion Green Laser with Tri-Vortex Technology

The Tri-Vortex treated Geo Fusion Green Laser beam transfers subtle energy created by our embedded resonance technology. Many people have reported fast relief from discomfort, soreness, swelling, blood cleansing and pain.



Our Laser is used very successfully for cleansing blood of photo luminescent particles known as graphene, metals and nanotech. Our technology is also being successfully used in making dental anesthetics safe for use.

Visit our website - www.trivortex.com.au

Geo Fusion Laser • Water Discs • Wearables • EMF Protection

DOWNLOAD OUR FREE APP!

INTRODUCING...



THE EDGE RADIO AUSTRALIA APP!

AVAILABLE NOW!



“Our whole family have been using these products for 20+ years.

I have never found anything else brand-wise that comes close to these products for pain relief. I use them all year round but **be relieved** is by far my favourite.

It's so good for a myriad of things and I really love the smell, so immediately comforting.

Highly recommended.”

P. Morris



balanced essentials
 pain and inflammation relief
 visit www.aroma.com.au for products and information

be relieved
 all natural
 anti-inflammatory | antispasmodic | analgesic | antimicrobial

be sport
 all natural
 for pain and inflammation



JAHN GROUP'S SMSF SERVICES

OUR PASSION IS YOUR FINANCIAL FREEDOM

OUR SMSF ACCOUNTING SERVICES

- GOLD
- FARMS
- CRYPTO
- SILVER
- PROPERTY
- SHARES



Please contact us for a **free initial consultation** with **Chris Jahn** 08 6477 9964

✉ reception@jahngroup.com.au 🌐 www.jahngroup.com.au

HOW IS YOUR FAMILY WEALTH PROTECTION?

Did you know you can

- **Directly control your super** via an SMSF & invest in gold, silver, crypto, property & more?
- **Shield your wealth** from creditors, legal threats, financial predators?
- **Lock in your legacy** with a watertight Will and smart estate planning?
- **Safeguard your loved ones' future** — especially the most vulnerable?

We can help



FREEDOM FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS FFS

Cass Smith & Mary-Jane Liddicoat can help you protect your wealth for generations to come. Donating profits to the



FUTURE SOLUTIONS FOUNDATION

REGISTERED AUSTRALIAN CHARITY

www.freedomffs.com.au - 1300 333 121

It's **Tax Time!!!**

THE ACCOUNTING PRACTICE

0408 463 801

"The Tradies Choice"

Email: tax@theaccountants.net.au

Reliable Old Fashion Honest Service

AURAGUARD

EMF SHIELDING PRODUCTS

99.9% Shielding (250MHz-40GHz)

Local Family-Owned FREE Shipping Orders Over \$150 Easy Returns

Need a Blood Detox?

Pure Nattokinase - Tested & Proven

- Degrade Inflammatory Spike Protein
- Break down Blood, Micro & White clots
- Counteract Vaccine Injuries
- Protect against Viral Shedding



Learn more via my free Blood Detox™ PDF on the website

detoxaphase.au

DETOX^a PHASE™

Naturally Healthy

A cosmic deception subverts a distant race. Their invasive madness forced us to counter their pathogenic curse. We prepared our next gen Volatiles. Made sure they staid that way. Before they broke our psychic cordon.

This decrypted intercept can now be sourced at: <https://www.novacoma.au>

Science Fiction and Artwork: L.Barz. (C) Copyright 2026. All Rights Reserved.

ADVERTISE HERE!

- > Competitive pricing
- > 1/8 and 1/4 page ad options
- > Support The Light Australia (tax-deductible)

For more information go to www.thelightaustralia.com/advertise or email advertise.thelightaus@protonmail.com

**YOUR
DEVICES
ARE
WATCHING
YOU**



Anxious about Big Tech giants using your data without your consent?

Choose Freedom Technology & Services:

- DeGoogled cell phones customised to your needs.
- Privacy-enhanced Faraday bags to take your tech anywhere.
- Open-source Linux computers without spyware on laptops & PCs.

We offer premium anti-spying solutions that investigative reporters, government agents, and long-time privacy advocates celebrate.

Claim Your Digital Privacy Now!

Need help? Feel free to call Tony for a chat, he's your personal privacy expert! (seriously, a phone call is better sometimes!)

W <https://freedomtech.com.au/>
E tony@freedomtech.com.au
M +61 404 667 855



Licensed to Tamper with your Tow

We have the best job ever!

Our customers are fun loving explorers. Adventurous, passionate about their vans, their travels, their stories - and so are we.

- Customer Focus
- Quality Parts
- Fair Pricing
- Expert Advice
- Professional Guarantee



CARAVAN SERVICE & REPAIR



www.campatampa.com (08) 9768 0674 Bunbury WA

Be Free To SING

Want to know how to sing beautifully?

Get **online lessons for adults** with a friendly, patient teacher who has over 20 years' singing experience.

- Adults with or without singing or music background.
- One-on-one or small groups.
- Absolute beginners and seniors welcome.



Contact Yvonne via website for a free consultation.

befreetosing.com.au

Perth's Experienced Local Electrician

Chris and his team offer top quality workmanship along with good old-fashioned service in Perth WA. No job is too big or too small and they will always leave your home clean and tidy.

Proficient in all aspects of electrical, air-conditioning, communications and off-grid backup power, across the domestic, commercial and even industrial settings.



Call Chris on
1800 126 663

- Electrical**
- Air-Conditioning**
- Internet**
- TV / TV Antennas**
- Data / Networking**
- Generator Backup**



EC13414 & LI150727

Trust Bedford Removals for all your local, country and interstate furniture removals

- Over 40 years in the industry
- Fully independent since 2002
- Specialising in Antiques
- Experienced staff

Phone or SMS on 0428 716 221

or send an email and they'll reply ASAP.

Email: interstaterelocations@live.com



*GIVING THE RESPECT
TAKING THE CARE*

www.bedfordremovals.com.au



SCAN ME!



Incineration Toilet

Alternative To Flushing, Septic or Composting

- Eco-Friendly
- Approved for Australia
- Ideal for Off Grid Living
- No Smell, No Water Connection

**No Waste,
Only Ash**



Anette Hellenen +61 417 143 055