By DR PHILLIP ALTMAN
BPharm (Hons), MSc, PhD

As the number of dead and seriously and/or permanently disabled caused by the Covid-19 injections, reaches heights beyond imagination, the question now is... who needs to be prosecuted? COVID-19 jabs are now known to have been falsely promoted to the public as ‘vaccines’, and the Department of Defence (US) that controlled the research on these products, states they are in fact classified as countermeasures or bioweapons – not pharmaceuticals. Due to their experimental nature, they are best described as experimental emergency medical countermeasures (EEMCs) and there was never any evidence to claim that they could prevent disease in healthy people or that they were safe in most people.

The question of who should be prosecuted over this medical fraud is an easy question to answer in the case of those who plotted, planned and benefited from this crime against humanity. Those who were knowingly involved in highly dangerous and risky gain-of-function viral research, would be obvious candidates, but there are likely to be many more who really knew the dangers, or worse still, purposely used SARS-CoV-2 as a convenient bioweapon to achieve their goals.

In particular, the WHO advisors who promoted this product to governments and Australian government advisors who recommended these injections as ‘vaccines that are safe and effective’, when no evidence existed to support these claims. These authorities should be charged for providing false and misleading health information that has led to the deaths and permanent disabilities of millions of people globally.

The Covid-19 injections have caused more death and serious injury than any pharmaceutical product in history. (Note: these genetically modified mRNA injections were not developed to the standards of conventional ‘pharmaceuticals’).

In order to decide who should be held accountable, the commonly used phrase “what did they know and when did they know it” springs to mind. This could be a useful demarcation line. Anyone working within the national adverse drug reporting systems now knows, or should know, the truth. In order to suppress the deadly toll of the Covid-19 injections, there must be active and purposeful cover-up or manipulation of data. In my view, the people doing this have criminal exposure that should be the first target for prosecution, as it is primarily this corrupted data that Big Pharma clings to in order to claim ‘safety’ for the ‘vaccines’ and keep this facade going.

The senior bureaucrat so-called ‘health experts’ who continue to make Covid-19 ‘vaccine’ policy and support mandatory vaccination, also have exposure. Ignorance is no longer an excuse. The red flags are everywhere. There is no good news about these ‘vaccines’ and the side effects keep this facade going.

There is no time to waste. This is the greatest man-made disaster in the history of mankind with no one to hold accountable. The people doing this are not the manufacturers of the ‘vaccines’, but the governments that endorsed and promoted these toxins and have put health over profit, resulting in the additional loss of life.

iii) Health regulators for mandating ‘vaccine’ facilities.

iv) Big Pharma corruption and infiltration of the healthcare bureaucracy with conflicts of interest.

7. Review of all laws and regulations which enabled our basic freedoms to be swept away and lockdowns implemented, in the name of keeping us safe with little justification or evidence.

8. Those responsible for the prevention of early treatment of Covid-19 and the obscuring of medical information regarding the dangers of the ‘vaccines’, to be prosecuted both in civil and criminal courts.

9. Completely overhaul theverse drug reaction reporting systems, to be capable of detecting causal links and eliminate bias. Get rid of conflicted individuals and ensure transparency. If this cannot be done, get rid of the Provisional Approval system in Australia and similar emergency use approvals in other countries.

That would be a good start… there is no time to waste. This is the greatest man-made disaster in history and those responsible must be held to account.
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3. Establish specialist medical units to treat the ‘vaccine’ injured.
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“Life is really simple but we insist on making it complicated”

Confucious

AUSTRALIAN NET DEBT
CLOCK UPDATE

Figures collated on the 19th February 2023

Total Debt
$1,005,301,118,531.17
Reached $1,000,000,000,000.00 on 5 February 2023

Federal $725,485,171,913.91
State $279,815,946,617.26

As a percentage of GDP 44%
Will reach 50% of GDP on 14 February 2024
Will reach 100% of GDP on 12 May 2032

Federal 32%
State 12%

Debt per Person
$38,739.09
Will reach $40,000.00 of GDP on 16 May 2023

Federal $27,956.45
State $10,782.66

Source – The Australian Taxpayers’ Alliance – Debt Clock

The truth will set us free

By DARREN SMITH

“The past was erased, the
erasure forgotten, the lie became
the truth” - George Orwell, 1984.

What a dystopian world we are
bequeathing our children - lies
have become the accepted truth,
while the truth is censored, vilified,
excoriated and above all, feared
and persecuted by the masses.

The Light Australia newspaper
will always endeavour to bring
readers a true and broad picture
of what is going on today, outside
of the captured corporate media
machine messages which many
can now see are nothing but well-
honored propaganda, capable of
inducing mass hypnosis and false
beliefs in great swathes of the
population.

Those who are still hopelessly
naive about both the rulers’
control over nearly every aspect of
their lives, and the thoughts they
have been trained to regurgitate
any time the subject of being
controlled comes up, would do
well to read and research our
articles with an open mind, and
ask themselves when the rulers
and their puppet politicians ever
actually made things better for us
and our loved ones.

Gaslighting is the psychological
technique of brazen, barefaced
lying, in order to have the target
question their own sanity, by
point-blank refusing the evidence
and turning it on the target.

Governments have been doing it
for centuries: you tell the people
things are getting better while you
rob them blind and mortgage the
great future they really could
have. The rulers see us as cattle,
until to run our own lives, and so
they must run the world for us.

In their religion, because we are
inferior, we should not be well-

educated, or trusted, and the insane
beliefs are reinforced by their
continuing debilitating acts. There
is neither humour nor humanity in
the future they have planned for
the world.

If people could see that they
are being ruled, caged and robbed,
while being lied to about it, they
would stop it in an instant, and
this is what the rulers are most
afraid of. This is why there is mass
censorship and tight control over
online discourse, along with the
psychological manipulation they
have been perpetrating for well over
a century.

Even on the surface, those in
charge are doing a terrible job:
War, disease, terrorism, extremism,
racism, accelerating inflation, a
decelerating economy, injustices,
political attacks, mass surveillance
online and on our roads, removal
of free speech, and expanded
powers for the state, particularly
the security services and the police.

Did anyone ever vote for any of
this? Well, yes...

Once seen, the next question is:
what can we do about it? The
answer remains, for the time being,
quite a lot - but we are racing
an ever-shrinking gap of light
before the cell door firmly shuts
and there is no way out for future
generations: once everything goes
digital, especially currency, the

The Light Australia newspaper
in many different situations to help ‘wake
people up’.

The information war should not
be underestimated; once enough
people know what’s going on
and can see through the lies and
decceptions being aimed at the
public through the mass media/
education propaganda machine,
whatever action they are told to
take will be refused. Tyrants can
give out orders, but if no one
obeys, their power is illusory.

Second, we can continue
to build new ex-corporate,
independent structures, businesses
and networks which offer a
cheaper, more direct or better
service or product to people.

We should encourage others to provide
local, independent, trusted and far
better value goods and services
which people actually need, by
buying from them rather than
supermarkets or online giants.

Small is beautiful.

And truth is vital. To get to it, a
variety of different/opposing views
is essential if we are to continue
to have any kind of free and
prosperous world.

Tyranies never prosper.

*This Is A Health Expert
This Is A Democrat
This Is A Woman
This Is The Truth
This Is A Conspiracy Theory
This Is A Coincidence

Above images courtesy of Bob Moran – https://bobmoran.co.uk
WHO Trusts the WHO?
Pandemic treaty madness!

By Dr JUDY WILYMAN

THE Australian government’s devotion to global health policies and the World Health Organisation (WHO) has remained strong even though there was a public backlash against the new amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR) just before Scott Morrison was voted out of office.

Australia is still working closely with WHO officials on the amendments to the IHR and the Pandemic Preparedness Treaty that will be approved for the 2023-24 period. This is extremely concerning because the negotiating body is demanding that new powers be given to the WHO that will enable the director to take complete control of Australia’s response whenever the WHO declares another public health emergency.

These are significant new powers that would enable unelected WHO officials to dictate a uniform public health emergency directive, to all WHO member countries. In effect, one world government that could mandate all the recent public health emergency directives, that were demonstrated to be flawed, without government veto.

Unfortunately, very few people know that the new amendments to the IHR or the Pandemic Treaty are back on the table, as the government and media have not publicised this fact widely. I wonder why? There is a lot at risk to the public should these powers be given to the WHO, including the removal of Australians fundamental right to bodily autonomy, freedom of movement and freedom of speech.

The powers under the current IHR only allow the WHO to ‘recommend’ directives to be implemented for each WHO member country. However, the fact that the WHO directives are often linked to significant financial incentives means that most member countries do implement them. It is the National Technical and Advisory Groups on Immunisation (NTAGI) that are set up in each WHO member country that make recommendations to the government on WHO global health policies. The reason these directives are only recommended, and not mandatory, is because uniform global health policies do not account for the specific differences in environmental and host characteristics in each country, that are significant determinants of health outcomes to infectious diseases.

Australia should never base its public health policy on data from other countries, yet this is what global health policies require countries to do. Further, since 1999 when the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunisation (GAVI) was formed by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the Global Health Policies have been dominated by advice on vaccination policies from this GAVI alliance – a body of private-public partnerships that exists outside of the WHO.

The GAVI alliance includes the Federation of Pharmaceutical companies, biotechnology companies, the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank and governments, all of whom can influence the design of WHO Global Health Policies. This is how public health has been tied to the economy of all WHO member countries and why all countries were in lockstep for the last alleged WHO pandemic in 2020.

The existence of the GAVI alliance and its influence in the design of global health policies, that the public is not informed about, is a breach of the WHO’s charter to use independent science in health promotion. This is because the pharmaceutical companies and biotechnology companies are directly profiting from their advice.

If the new amendments to the IHR are passed or the Pandemic Treaty, the Director-General of the WHO could dictate Australia’s federal and state health policy against the best interests of the people.

If the new amendments to the IHR are passed or the Pandemic Treaty, the Director-General of the WHO could dictate Australia’s federal and state health policy against the best interests of the people.

The treaty represents a removal of democracy in Australia that could lead to Australians being locked down, detained, and medicated without justification, and in breach of our civil liberties – as we have just experienced over the last three years under coercive WHO policies that included financial incentives for governments if they implemented them.

During the last WHO declared public health emergency nations were denied the opportunity to challenge or debate the WHO’s solution, even though it contradicted Australia’s own public health policies that have protected Australians for decades against all infectious diseases.

This lack of debate on infectious disease control has resulted in lockdowns and mandatory medication policies that have killed and harmed millions of healthy people globally.

Most people would presume that there are specific conditions and requirements that must be met before the IHR’s are triggered in the case of a public health emergency. But there are none. A pandemic can be declared on the whim of the WHO Director-General, paving the way for ‘climate lockdowns’ and ‘health pandemics’ with solutions that ignore the diversity of environments and the genetics of populations. At the same time the WHO can mandate a pharmaceutical solution that creates significant profits for industry and comes with a serious risk to many healthy people which also creates profits for the medical-industry.

Fortunately, the first version of the Pandemic Treaty was voted down last year by a group of 42-member African nations. However, they have now been offered money, technology and resources in exchange for their support in the next vote.

The only way to stop the new amendments to the IHR is through public pressure and awareness. Another meeting to approve the amendments will occur in May 2023. As a nation we need to maintain our sovereignty to ensure that policies are implemented in the best interests of Australians, and not those of corporations or other vested interests.

The new amendments to the IHR propose allowing health ‘stakeholders’ such as vaccine companies to sit as voting members of the WHO with the same weight as Australia. This would put Big Pharma in the position of directly ordering mandatory vaccinations which they would then sell under duress through the WHO.

Currently, this already happens as a ‘recommendation’ from the GAVI alliance, but now the pharmaceutical companies want direct voting rights through the WHO.

We now await the final amendments to the IHR that will be added in May 2023 and voted upon in May 2024. The IHR amendments include a provision that they will become binding on all Australians as soon as Australia’s WHO representative signs it.

australiaexitsthewho.com
Judy Wilyman PhD
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The treaty represents a removal of democracy in Australia that could lead to Australians being locked down, detained, and medicated without justification, and in breach of our civil liberties.
How to stay private and anonymous online

By JAMIE TUREK

EVERYONE, regardless of where they stand on social or ideological issues, is a target in a war against human freedom. Right now, if you use Microsoft, Google, or Apple operating systems on your devices, you are being spied on, in real time.

For a start, there is a common misconception that email is secure. Nothing could be further from the truth.

“Isn’t Protonmail secure?”

Sadly, it’s not. A climate activist in France found this out the hard way when the ‘Secure Email Based in Switzerland, that many of us rely on, revealed his IP address to Swiss authorities, resulting in his being arrested.

Email is not secure by default. Most email services are unencrypted when emails are sent. Even if you are using encrypted email, the people you communicate with might not be. Secure, blockchain-based messaging is the future. So, what should you use?

If your communication is sensitive, consider using end-to-end encrypted messaging apps like Session, which doesn’t require an email or number.

For Session on your mobile, it’s imperative to download direct from GetSession.org and use the APK setup, ensuring you’re not using a version relying on Google Play.

Telegram is great, but shouldn’t be considered fully secure. With Telegram, it’s best to use the Desktop app, and avoid AppStore versions, which contain censorship from Apple and Google.

The original Telegram from their website is all blue. App Store versions, which contain corruption from Apple and Apple OS’s are capable of from everywhere you go. We won’t go into all of the things that Microsoft and Apple OS’s are capable of from a surveillance perspective, but it doesn’t matter how much security software you install on them, your OS is spying on you.

The bottom line, you ask? You should stop using their products as soon as possible. It’s time to move to Linux.

There are many versions of Linux that you can use. We recommend going for Linux Mint as it’s very similar to Windows, therefore the easiest to migrate to.

Check out videos online comparing Linux Mint to Windows if you have doubts about whether you could take on this migration.

With technical skills, migrating a Windows machine to Linux Mint should be easy enough for you. Ensure your machine is compatible and that you are sure on taking the install. There are many great tutorials online, or get a Linux laptop at the Activists Toolbox store.

Smartphones gather your data, capturing in great detail who you are, your location, and what you’re doing 24/7.

The best way to combat this is to buy a De-Googled phone. This is an Android phone that has been stripped of its default OS, including all tracking and spyware, and replaced with a custom ROM such as LineageOS. These custom ROM’s function just like regular Android, but are free from any spying and bloatware. This also means the longevity of your battery and device improves too!

It’s then best to use a pay-as-you-go sim card that’s not in your name, and avoid apps that utilise tracking and spyware.

Fake likes and retweets are generated by a range of tools, ranging from some bolt-on Twitter features for regular users (flotuiters), to manufacturing completely fake accounts (Tweet Attacks Pro).

In the former case, a single user can operate multiple accounts to amplify what they are doing; this is something that political activists often do. In the latter case, it is possible to programme tens of thousands of fake accounts to follow specified Twitter users, and provide likes and retweets. A simple scroll through the ludicrous 35.6 million followers that Joe Biden has on Twitter will show thousands of bot followers hidden below a number of legitimate followers.

Generally, left-of-centre political messages receive a lot of fake assistance. Typical topics will include vaccine mandates and state intervention in the economy. Former ‘Dragon’, Deborah Meaden, is an example of an ardent EU Remain whose Twitter account is boosted by bots.

The purpose of falsifying the popularity of a particular message (or individual) is to create the false impression that there is popular support for it.

In the language of nudging, this is called establishing norms, singling the N in the MINDSPACE acronym which the government’s Behavioural Insights Team developed.

With this technique, the creation of norms is considered to be important because we are strongly influenced by what others do. Therefore, there is a behavioural science benefit to establishing something as normal and widely-supported.

In social media, there are more mundane business objectives, with a number of charlatans having their accounts boosted by bots to create a media presence. One classic case is James Melville, who spent a few months with Byline Times, yet his follower base on Twitter of 350,000 apparently dwarfs the readership of well-established journalists such as Peter Hitchens and Alison Pearson.

In addition to dishonestly certifying certain messages, the bots also put genuine content at a serious disadvantage, since in the absence of bot amplification, genuine traffic with relatively fewer likes can appear at the bottom of timelines, and will never feature in the trending section. This deliberate strategy was referred to in the Event 201 pandemic planning exercise carried out in October 2019 as ‘floodding the zone’. This ensures that only the official state position is heard, both in broadcast and on social media.

So the next time that you see a strange idea, or unlikely individual basking in social media adulation, don’t get confused, but do wonder instead whether this outcome was achieved using automated bot traffic.

For more information on this topic, please visit the social media section of our website, and watch our videos on the subject.

---

How bots are used to change your behaviour

By ALEX KRIEL

DO you sometimes turn on social media and wonder, how on earth can that message, or that individual be so incredibly popular?

As a case in point, Gary Lineker recently tweeted out the inane statement that ‘Scotland is very helpful’, and that pearl of wisdom received an astounding 27,000 likes on Twitter. Did twenty-seven thousand people have nothing better to do than like this fatuous statement? In fact, almost everything that Gary Lineker writes achieves this outlandish level of praise.

In our research work, we have found that in many cases where the level of popularity doesn’t seem credible, the answer is often because individuals and certain messages are amplified by bots.

There is a lot of debate over the size of the bot problem; Twitter only accepts that up to five per cent of its 229 million monetisable daily active users are spam accounts, but in data-science circles, many estimates are well over half (our own estimate is around 80 per cent of politics-related likes and retweets are automated or produced by activists).
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Generally, left-of-centre political messages receive a lot of fake assistance. Typical topics will include vaccine mandates and state intervention in the economy. Former ‘Dragon’, Deborah Meaden, is an example of an ardent EU Remain whose Twitter account is boosted by bots.
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With this technique, the creation of norms is considered to be important because we are strongly influenced by what others do. Therefore, there is a behavioural science benefit to establishing something as normal and widely-supported.

In social media, there are more mundane business objectives, with a number of charlatans having their accounts boosted by bots to create a media presence. One classic case is James Melville, who spent a few months with Byline Times, yet his follower base on Twitter of 350,000 apparently dwarfs the readership of well-established journalists such as Peter Hitchens and Alison Pearson.

In addition to dishonestly certifying certain messages, the bots also put genuine content at a serious disadvantage, since in the absence of bot amplification, genuine traffic with relatively fewer likes can appear at the bottom of timelines, and will never feature in the trending section. This deliberate strategy was referred to in the Event 201 pandemic planning exercise carried out in October 2019 as ‘floodding the zone’. This ensures that only the official state position is heard, both in broadcast and on social media.

So the next time that you see a strange idea, or unlikely individual basking in social media adulation, don’t get confused, but do wonder instead whether this outcome was achieved using automated bot traffic.

For more information on this topic, please visit the social media section of our website, and watch our videos on the subject.

---
Historical precedents for the New World Order

By JERRY ROBERTS

AS trade unions in essential services around the country campaign for pay and conditions, disenchanted workers ask why they failed to go on strike against the Covid mandates. Decisive action by essential services could have stopped the murderous tragedy of mass injections at the outset. Why did so many people fail to do their duty?

Writing in his Italian gaol cell 90 years ago, the political prisoner Antonio Gramsci answered this very question. “Between consent and force stand corruption/fraud (which is characteristic of certain situations when it is hard to exercise the hegemonic function, and when the use of force is too risky). This consists in procuring the demoralisation and paralysis of the antagonist (or antagonists) by sabotaging its ranks.”

As systemic chaos increases, the demand for ‘order’ – the old order, a new order, any order – tends to become more and more general among rulers, or among subjects, or both. Whichever State or group of States is in a position to satisfy this system-wide demand for order is thus presented with the opportunity of becoming world hegemonic.”

I Jerry Roberts is a Western Australian journalist who has reported on politics, manufacturing and Aboriginal affairs. As a sports writer he covered the first test match held in Perth when the Australian attack could not get past the broad bat of English opener John Edrich and the result was a draw.

Whatever happened to the future?

By NIALL McCRAE

IN the nineteenth century, Queen Victoria reigned in half of the world. It was said that the sun never sets on the British Empire, ubiquitously coloured pink on Mercator’s projection.

After the carnage of the First World War in Europe, the American century was established. But as blatantly shown by the administration of Joe Biden, the Washington establishment is abandoning American exceptionalism for a new world order in which no single nation shall dominate. We are well into the third century of globalism: a post-democratic, postliberal, post-traditional technocracy.

The British Empire was fired by coal, the PaxAmericana by oil, and the global technocracy by silicon. For all their faults, the British imperialists propagated their civic systems and institutions. The neocolonial Americans elevated popular culture above religion and ritual; although the USA was never really ‘the land of the free’, it was widely regarded as a land of opportunity. It seemed, particularly after the emancipatory movements of the 1960s, and later by the collapse of Soviet communism, that we were heading inexorably towards the sunlit uplands of liberated modernity.

The internet initially appeared to hasten this process. But it soon became a double-edged sword, as authoritarianism used it for surveillance. Now the early dreams have been dashed by an unholly alliance between governments and Big Tech to control the narrative by systematic censorship. The information superhighway is becoming a control grid.

Drawing patterns across the complexity of history is, unavoidably, a simplification. As well as the British ruling class and American ‘deep state’, globalisation has involved other important actors: industrial Germany (whose leading scientists under the Third Reich were brought to the USA by Operation Paperclip), the Jewish intelligentsia (mostly a force for good, but also including the cultural Marxists of the Frankfurt School and Hollywood moguls pushing propaganda), global bankers and corporations, wealthy dynasties such as the Rockefeller family (who monetised philanthropy), and of course transnational organisations such as the Bilderberg Group, Trilateral Commission and World Economic Forum that pursue one world government.

Since its evolution in 1949, China has been perceived by outsiders as an oppressive regime. Yet behind the scenes, globalists have seen the Chinese way as the future: a collectivist society brought under technocratic tutelage. Its electronic monitoring of citizens, known as the social credit system, may seem dystopian to ordinary people acclimatised to liberal democracy. However, as shown by Covid-19, our leaders have relinquished national autonomy to sign up to dictatorial globalism. The purported pandemic was one of a pair of Trojan Horses alongside the preposterous climate change crisis. China demonstrated not only a radical lockdown to the world, but also brutal policing methods (allegedly the violent Victoria state police under the authority of Covid-19 zealot Dan Andrews were trained by the Chinese). After the tyrannical rule of Mao Zedong, China was helped by the West to become an economic powerhouse. While facing outwards with rapacious capitalism, its communist system maintains internal discipline, exploiting technological advances to record every person’s movements. Under these conditions, China has been a testing ground for technocracy.

Western figures such as Justin Trudeau laud China because its totalitarianism allows society to be transformed to an extent that European and American globalists would like, but cannot really impose, to lingering traditions of faith, flag and family. But they have made great strides with Covid-19. Vuval Noah Harari, expert advisor to the World Economic Forum, pronounced the replacement of God, the soul and free will by genetic and biochemical engineering. The fourth industrial revolution has a transhuman agenda, creating the internet of bodies.

How will the third act in this play end? World Economic Forum leader Klaus Schwab warns of civil unrest, as people realise that a technocratic elite will control every aspect of their lives. Humanity has a choice: either take a passive role, like the non-playing characters in video games, or rise in revelatory union against these diabolical forces of darkness.

Using Cash = Individual Freedom
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The WA Police Challenge to Government Medical Mandates: Were They Legal?

By BEN FALCONER

In The Beginning
TOWARDS the end of 2021, the Western Australian (WA) Premier, Mark McGowan, implemented a directive that mandated 75% of WA employees to be ‘fully vaccinated’ against Covid-19 to go to work. This was despite the Federal Government stating that vaccination in Australia is voluntary. It followed the disgraceful regional lockdowns and total ban on interstate and international travel.

At this time, the WA Police Force issued an employee direction for all sworn officers to have one jab by 1st December and the 2nd by the end of December 2021 unless they held a medical exemption. I was then and remain today deeply troubled by this order and by our State and Federal government’s handling of the Covid-19 threat.

Doctors are required by their medical ethical guidelines to provide informed consent to all medical interventions “without coercion, manipulation or pressure” (Australian Immunisation Handbook Ed.10). Yet in 2021, the Western Australian government, without a workplace risk assessment, coerced employees with their livelihoods to take this newly developed mRNA gene technology that was being called a ‘vaccine’.

In October 2022, Pfizer executive Janine Small admitted that the company had never adequately tested the Covid-19 injections to see if they prevented the disease or transmission. The Pfizer documents also showed that they were only tested in small clinical trials for two months before the disease or transmission.

The only reason for the mandate was to prevent the disease or transmission. The narrative around the Covid-19 jab is in tatters. The virus itself appears to be weaker than it was in 2020, and the death rates are much lower than predicted.

The Judicial Review Trial and Outcome
I was among a courageous few who contacted and retained lawyers to act out legal plans to challenge the decisions of my employer and our State government by commencing a judicial review. From the outset, we wanted to check and hopefully overturn the government’s ability to issue mandates and shaped our legal arguments seeking relief for all WA workers, not just those employed in the WA Police Force.

Although we were very skeptical about the ‘vaccines’, we wanted to attack the mandates on purely legal grounds. Similar cases challenging the ‘science’ weren’t gaining traction in other states. Instead, we shaped our case around challenging the lawfulness and proportionality of the employee directions and mandates. The judicial review hearing eventually took place in July 2022.

The trial had its exciting moments. However, an unlooking only seeing the trial, would have missed much of the evidence presented to the court in document form, in the lead up to the hearing.

In August 2022, the court handed down its decision and dismissed all three of our cases. This outcome was unwanted but not unexpected, and our lawyers immediately began shaping grounds to appeal the decisions, which have now been submitted to the WA Court of Appeal.

The Road Ahead
The appeal hearing date has yet to be scheduled by the court, but is speculated to occur around mid 2023. Recently, our lawyers sought an interlocutory injunction from the WA Court of Appeal, which was granted, restraining the Commissioner of Police from dismissing me until the appeal outcome is known. This means I have been stood down on full pay since December 2021 despite being willing, ready and able to work throughout the period. Further, the mandate that required me to be jabbed was dropped in June 2022.

The narrative around the effectiveness of the Covid-19 jabs is in tatters. The virus itself appears to only exist in ambiguous testing results, with deaths usually occurring in the elderly or those with other severe co-morbidities. Yet now the government and media are ignoring the unexplained spike in all-cause mortality data. I believe it is time we stopped following the ‘science’ and started following the evidence. With any reasonable fraud investigation, we should begin by following the money.

Our current legal team has expressed a willingness to take the judicial review matter to the Australian High Court if relief is not found in the WA Court of Appeal. The judicial review action is entirely crowd-funded. Anyone wishing to support the case or read updates on the progress can do so by visiting the following websites.


https://www.givesendgo.com/InjectionObjection

Disclaimer - This article was provided by Ben Falconer strictly in his capacity as a private citizen and in no way represents the position or views of his employer, namely the WA Police Force.
E.S.G. - socialism disguised as free market capitalism

By THENAKEDDOLLAR.
BLOGSPOT.COM

AS A State Treasurer, I operate at the intersection of politics and capital markets, squarely where ESG resides. Many are afraid to speak out about it, but people need to understand the threat that it poses to our system based on free-market capitalism and constitutional principles.

ESG uses economic force to drive a political agenda through corporate America. As Larry Fink, CEO of BlackRock, the largest investment manager in the world, so succinctly stated: “You have to force behaviours, and at BlackRock, we’re forcing behaviours.”

BlackRock manages $10 trillion of other people’s money. Other investment managers have trillions more. Unchecked by anyone, they are using the might of other people’s capital to drive a far-left agenda. Arguably, no one outside the federal government has more power, and few people outside the industry know what’s going on.

There’s a small tech company in my state of Utah that employs fewer than 75 people. A Silicon Valley tech titan was a client. Call it Twoogle. Last year, Twoogle exploded on the company that it wanted to continue to do business with Twoogle, they would need to implement a whole range of policies. For example, the Utah company would have to provide six months of paid parental leave. This is just one small example of how ESG plays out across our economy. Multiply it by a million.

ESG is a political scoring system for investors, with companies like S&P Global issuing ESG scores on corporations. It sounds great, right? In reality, ESG is dictatorship in capitalist clothing. Our banks, investment managers and corporations are all promoting ESG, cleverly lending ESG the veneer of free-market capitalism. But it is not capitalism.

Capitalism is freedom in an economic context. ESG is a system of force. ESG substitutes our pluralistic institutions for conformity to centralised power, one that makes up the rules as it goes. If you don’t believe me, think about the indeterminate nature of ESG. There are two layers of subjectivity: the determination of the ESG factors themselves and the answers to those factors. If you ask people what the factors should be, you will get different answers depending on their shade of politics.

Even if people agree on the factors, the answers as to what constitutes a “good” or a “bad” score for a particular factor also depends on whom you ask. Is British Petroleum bad because it sells oil or good because of its focus on developing alternatives?

This is an inherently political exercise, and ESG cedes power to the entities determining those two central questions. Government bureaucrats appear to be colluding with investment behemoths like BlackRock to make the agenda, and they use the threat of regulation and the power of our investment money to bully corporate America into carrying it out.

What would prevent new factors from being used as a weapon against the other side? For example, what if the government, under different party control, suddenly decided to use capital to favour donations to pro-life groups? I would oppose this on the same grounds. We need to keep our capital markets and businesses politically neutral.

Pension allocators, most of whom are government employees, are also in on the game. As one insider put it, they are “effectively creating law and enforcing it without voter input, debate, or even knowledge. Given their size, their standards flow downstream, changing everything.” Why, you may ask, is any of this a problem for the average person? Well, why are gas prices so high?

In the past, capital would have flowed to traditional energy companies to fund profitable projects. With oil prices so high, there are plenty of projects to choose from. But these projects find themselves on the wrong side of this administration’s regulators and the ESG mob, so they don’t get funded. The result is less supply and higher prices.

The misallocation of capital leads to vital industries dying on the vine. This is not merely inflationary, but also a national security threat. We see this playing out in Europe right now, their own early version of ESG having largely banished much-needed fossil fuels. When Russia invaded Ukraine, their moral assessment of fossil fuels and firearms flipped. There’s no consistency with ESG.

When power is centralised, society suffers. Pluralistic institutions like free capital markets protect us from the tyranny of elite power.

On the other side of the ledger, ESG leads to asset bubbles in favoured industries. The resulting busts harm us all, as we saw in 2008 when the federal government forced banks to provide mortgages to high-risk borrowers in the name of social justice. The resulting defaults nearly brought down our economy.

ESG also leads to just plain bad investing, where high ESG scores trump mundane concerns like balance sheets and income statements. Solyndra, anyone?

If you’re in a large pension plan, the performance of these ESG funds has underperformed the broader index (e.g. FTSE All-Share). Given that ESG funds command higher fees (around 9.1%) compared to a typically passively manged fund (0.02%), then everyone is laughing, except for the people who are actually invested in the funds.

Every single person who owns financial investments (actively or passively manged funds) needs to contact their manager and demand that they are not invested in ESG products. This is especially important for people who own pension funds because a large portion of their pension will now be allocated to this type of funds (the pension fund manager would have rubber stamped it with an ounce of common sense – “This has ramifications because it means that firms are no longer looking for investors, but rather invest in companies that are politically correct.”)

As Marlo Oaks eloquently puts it, “the political weaponisation of the corporate world.”

There is no real transparency in how the scores are created, and given that asset managers tend to rely on these scores rather than their own in-house research, it is essentially ceding central control of a large part of the investment decision-making process to MSCI. Who at MSCI are making these decisions and what criteria are they using to determine whether a corporation is good or bad? Anyone with an ounce of common sense would realise that this is highly subjective and open to corruption (“I’ll give you a backhander if you give me a better score”).
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A Selection of Words and Phrases with their Oldspeak Definitions

DON'T THINK - WE'LL DO THAT FOR YOU

Community/
The Greater Good
The State

Shared
Because you will own nothing

Inclusive
No one will escape. See 'one left behind'

Connected/Internet of Things
Monitorable 24/7

Education
Indoctrination and removal of individual ideas, in order to serve the Greater Good

Work Appraisal
Ritual humiliation undergone in order to keep receiving a paycheck

Birthgiver/Birthing Person
Mother

Individuals with a Cervix/
People who Menstruate/
Vulva Owners
Women

Conspiracy Theory/
Misinformation
The truth

Conspiracy Theorist
Critical thinker

Hate Speech
Anything not in this dictionary

LANGUAGE CONTINUALLY REDUCED FOR YOUR SAFETY & SECURITY
A Selection of Words and Phrases with their Oldspeak Definitions

Renewable Energy
Energy that's still created from hydrocarbons, but costs much more

Carbon Emissions
A way to literally tax the air

Carbon Footprint
Theoretical measure of consumption, based on fear of the weather

Vaccine
Injection of unknown substances, with no health benefit whatsoever

Safe and Effective
Highly dangerous and totally useless

Died Suddenly and/or Mysteriously
Often comes after 'vigorously promoting the covid vaccine'

Anti-Vaxxer
Someone who has been damaged or seen damage by vaccines

Sustainable
Back to the Dark Ages

Resilient
Poor

Smart
Spying

White Male Privilege
Allowable racist AND sexist insult

Patriarchy/Male Toxicity
Sexist insult

Asylum Seeker
Government enabled economic migrant

Fact-checker
Censor/Propagandist

Democracy
Plutocracy

Representative Democracy
Totalitarian Autocracy

Freedom and Democracy
As supplied by Lockheed Martin, Raytheon and BAE systems.

Transitory Inflation
The currency is collapsing

Quantitative Easing
Propping up the markets by demolishing the currency

Public-Private Partnership
Using public funds for projects that private stakeholders will profit from, aka 'fascism'

National Security
Anything the state wants kept secret from the public

Barbaric Despot/Ruthless Dictator/Butcherer/Murderer et al
Our opponent

Axis of Terror/Rogue States
Countries that are about to be sanctioned and/or bombed

Science
Fearmongering propaganda

The Science is Settled
Don't question the propaganda

Unprecedented
We've not tried anything this bold before

It's for your Safety and Security
It's for our safety and security

We're all in this together
You're all in this together; it won't affect us at all
You are not crazy, you are being perpetually gaslighted

By ABIGAIL ROSENBERG

As the light of truth dims across the world it is vital to trust yourself and listen to your heart

THE term ‘gaslighting’ originates in the systematic manipulation of a victim by her husband in Patrick Hamilton’s 1938 stage play Gas Light, and the film adaptations released in 1940 and 1944.

In the story, the husband attempts to convince his wife and others that she is insane, by manipulating small elements of their environment and insisting that she is not remembering things incorrectly, or delusional when she points out these changes.

The play’s title alludes to how the abusive husband slowly dims the gas lights in their home, while pretending nothing has changed in an effort to make his wife doubt her own perceptions. The wife repeatedly asks her husband to confirm her perceptions about the dimming lights, but in defiance of reality, he keeps insisting that the lights are the same as they’ve always been and, instead, it is she who is going insane!

Today, we are living in a perpetual state of gaslighting. The world that we are being shown by the media is at complete odds with what we are seeing with our own two eyes. And when we question the false reality that we are being presented, or we claim that what we see is the actual reality, we are vilified as racist or anti-science, or just plain crazy.

You’re not racist. You’re not crazy. You’re being gaslighted.

New York State had twice as many deaths from Covid-19 than any other state, and New York accounted for one fifth of all U.S. Covid-19 deaths, but we are told that New York governor, Andrew Cuomo, handled the pandemic better than any other governor. But if we support policies of governors whose states had only a fraction of the infections and deaths as New York, we’re called anti-science, and want people to die. So, we ask ourselves, am I crazy? No, you’re being gaslighted.

We see mobs of people looting stores, smashing windows, setting cars on fire and burning down buildings, but we are told that these demonstrations are mostly peaceful protests. And when we call this destruction of our cities ‘riot’, we are called racists. So, you ask yourself, am I a racist? No, you’re being gaslighted.

We see the major problem destroying many inner cities is crime; murder, gang violence, drug dealing, drive-by shootings, armed robbery; but we are told that it is not crime, but the police that are the problem in the inner-cities. We are told we must defend the police and remove law enforcement from crime-riddled cities to make them safer. But if we advocate for more policing in cities overrun by crime, we are accused of being white supremacists and racists.

So, we ask ourselves, am I crazy? No, you’re being gaslighted.

The United States of America accepts more immigrants than any other country in the world. The vast majority of the immigrants are non-Europeans, and these immigrants are enjoying freedom and economic opportunity not available to them in their country of origin. But we are told that the United States is the most racist and oppressive country on Earth, and if we disagree, we are called racist and xenophobic. So, we ask ourselves, am I crazy? No, you’re being gaslighted.

Capitalist countries are the most prosperous in the world. The standard of living is the highest in capitalist countries. We see more poor people move up the economic ladder to the middle and even the wealthy class through their effort and ability in capitalist countries than any other economic system in the world; but we are told capitalism is an oppressive system designed to keep people down. So, we ask ourselves, am I crazy? No, you’re being gaslighted.

Communist countries killed over 100 million people in the 20th century. Communist countries strip their citizens of basic human rights, dictate every aspect of their lives, treat their citizens as slaves, and drive their economies into the ground; but we are told that communism is the fairest, most equitable, most free, and most prosperous economic system in the world. So, we ask ourselves, am I crazy? No, you’re being gaslighted.

Another egregious example of gaslighting is the concept of ‘white fragility’. You spend your life trying to be a good person, trying to treat people fairly and with respect. You disavow racism and bigotry in all its forms. You judge people solely on the content of their character and not by the colour of their skin. You don’t discriminate based on race or ethnicity.

But you are told you are a racist, not because of something you did or said, but solely because of the colour of your skin. You know instinctively that charging someone with racism because of their skin colour is itself racist. You know that you are not racist, so you defend yourself and your character, but you are told that even your defence of yourself is proof of your racism. So, we ask ourselves, am I crazy? No, you’re being gaslighted.

Gaslighting has become one of the most pervasive and destructive tactics in politics and debate today. It is the exact opposite of what our political system was meant to be. It deals in lies and psychological coercion, not the discovery of truth or quality intellectual discourse. If you ever ask yourself if you’re crazy, you are not.

Crazy people aren’t sane enough to ask themselves if they’re crazy. So, ask yourself, believe what’s in your heart and mind. Trust your eyes over what you are being told. Never listen to the people who tell you that you are crazy, because you are not; you’re being gaslighted.

Sophocles said: ‘What people believe prevails over the truth’. And that’s what the media exploit every day. Hopefully you are smart enough to understand what is being done to you on a daily basis from many directions. Just think through what you are being told. Don’t listen with a deaf ear, or see with a blind eye.

Question everything - even things from people who you think you can trust. Question why you are being told whatever, by whomever. Question their motives. Question who benefits. Question if there is a hidden agenda behind the propaganda.

Question, question, question. Then do your own research, and use some of your own critical thinking skills to get to the truth. Listen with your heart and with your mind.

Sadly, 95% of the masses don’t even know that they are being gaslighted.

https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/basics/gaslighting

Get Ready for Your Carbon-Tracking Credit Card

By Dr JOSEPH MERCOLA

There’s a new credit card in town and this one not only will monitor the carbon footprint of everything you buy but will shut off your credit line when it’s determined you’ve reached your limit on the amount of carbon you’re allowed to impart on the world.

Lest you think it will only apply to your frivolous use of a gas stove or purchase of a gas-powered vehicle, be aware: Every bite of food you consume will count toward your carbon limit, as pointed out by human rights advocate Chris Sky. Of course, in line with The Great Reset’s goals of aiming only for plant-based foods on your plate, a vegan diet is what will get you the highest allowance.

The carbon credit card is the vision of the World Economic Forum (WEF), which introduced the idea on its website in 2019. Complete with a colourful graphic showing you where various foods fit on the footprint, the WEF’s article introduces the card as a product of Swedish fintech company Deoomony.

The card “monitors the carbon footprint of its customers — and cuts off their spending when they hit their carbon max,” the WEF says. The card “calculates the carbon footprint of each product purchased. Users can set a maximum value for their carbon spend and learn how to compensate for their carbon footprint by contributing towards schemes to reduce or remove greenhouse gas emissions.”

https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2019/05/this-credit-card-has-a-carbon-emission-spending-limit/

https://twitter.com/chrisaucecol/status/1621967526199751707?c=4&d=RXvFMu/65A_exw1f4t6owv

This article was brought to you by Dr Mercola. A multi time bestselling author. For more helpful articles, please visit mercola.com
China’s alliance with Saudis: a complete disaster for Biden

By CON COUGHLIN

NOTHING better illustrates the utter ineptitude of the Biden administration’s dealings with the Middle East than Saudi Arabia’s decision to forge a strategic alliance with China.

This is a time when Washington should be working overtime to strengthen its ties with long-standing allies like the Saudis, to combat the mounting threat Iran poses to the region’s security.

Thanks to the Biden administration’s wilful neglect of its relationship with the Saudis, Riyadh is instead looking to build a partnership with Beijing, as was evident from the lavish reception given to Chinese President Xi Jinping during his state visit to the kingdom this month.

Rarely has a visiting leader been the recipient of such lavish state pageantry as Xi, after Saudi Crown Prince, Mohammed bin Salman, spared no effort to afford the Chinese leader a warm welcome, which included a jet escort on his arrival.

During his three-day visit, Xi held extensive talks with the Crown Prince, Saudi Arabia de facto ruler, as well as other senior Saudi officials, and signed a strategic partnership agreement that will deepen ties between Riyadh and Beijing on a range of issues, from defence to technology.

One particularly eye-catching aspect of the agreement was a deal with the Chinese tech giant, Huawei, to supply the Saudis with cloud computing services and allow high-tech complexes to be built in Saudi cities, according to Saudi officials.

Huawei has been designated a potential security threat by the U.S., with intelligence officials claiming that the company has close links to China’s ruling Communist Party, and should be used to conducting spy operations.

That Riyadh is now moving away from its traditional alliance with the U.S. and strengthening its ties with Beijing is a strategic disaster of epic proportions, and serves as a damning indictment of the Biden administration’s careless treatment of the Saudis, for which the president is personally to blame.

Biden set the tone for his strained relationship with the Saudi royal family during the 2020 presidential election, when he denounced the Saudi-led military coalition in Yemen, that has taken place in the vacuum created by Biden’s leadership.

New Zealand demands citizens report ‘conspiracy theorists’

By MAGS PRESS

Those who oppose government policies being branded ‘terrorists’

THE authoritarian left-wing New Zealand government has ordered its citizens to report their friends and family to the police if they suspect them of opposing government policies, including Covid measures.

According to literature released by Jacinda Ardern’s WEF-infiltrated government, New Zealand citizens who are yet suspected of being ‘conspiracy theorists’ will be investigated as terrorists.

Rebecca Kitteridge, director of the New Zealand Security Intelligence Service, explained that there are ‘dozens of indicators’ that suggest a family member or friend who has been ‘radicalised by conspiracy theories’ could be planning a terror attack.

Anyone who opposes ‘government policies that are interpreted as infringing on rights’ or ‘covid measures the government took’ must be reported and investigated as terrorists, according to Kitteridge.

Ardern’s New Zealand Labour government has been using it to suppress all opposition to its policies, and arrest all its critics.

By Kevin Towell

Wars are never in the people’s interest

THE Ukrainian conflict is becoming a slaughter with no end in sight, and the risk of escalation is growing and can no longer be ignored.

Putin has blood aplenty on his hands, yet the simplistic propaganda narrative – that he randomly went mad one day and invaded Ukraine in a fit of expansionism – is absurd, and fails to acknowledge the well-documented role of the U.S. and NATO in fomenting this conflict.

As a thought-experiment, who would claim it’s none of Russia’s business whether Ukraine joins NATO care to imagine London’s response if a future independent Scotland were on the cusp of being lured into military alliance with Russia?

For months now, we have been hearing that Putin’s troops are demoralised, ill-equipped and close to defeat, yet we are simultaneously fed that Russia poses an imminent expansionist threat to her neighbours such as Finland, Poland or Sweden.

These propaganda narratives are mutually exclusive, therefore at least one of them must be false.

The literally suffering Ukrainians whom the politicians claim to champion won’t benefit much from a pyrrhic victory at the price of reducing their country to rubble, and a pyrrhic or otherwise victory is never certain.

General Smedley Butler famously declared that ‘war is a racket’.

As always, the vested interests of the Military Industrial Complex and shadowy elites are set to be the only winners. Many of our vulnerability are already huddling hungry this winter. This is in neither our national interest nor our class interest.

U.S./NATO victory via war is in neither our national interest nor our class interest.
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By NIGEL MARCH

The reality of green energy

Treating the planet better is an easy decision, but as Alan Moran says in Climate Change: The Facts “…only one molecule of every 85,000 in the atmosphere is CO2 of human origin, and yet we are asked to believe that this one molecule drives hugely complex climate change systems.” Moran’s Co-authors question the notion that consensus exists within the scientific community.

So, why push CO2 as a demon when there are other options to improve the planet, like phased rollout of soil regeneration/regenerative agriculture, that have positive results, such as a 98% reduction in soil erosion by depth in the Mt Mort experiment and a 100% increase in yield (Mexican National Institute of Forestry, Agriculture and Livestock Research project 2010 in the region of Chiapas), and are little talked about.

Wind and solar energy are being pushed as they are free and renewable, but is this really true?

Succeeding technologies usually replace preceding technologies because they are more efficient and cheaper, a case in point being whale oil, which was the main lamp oil and primary machine lubricant in Europe and the US prior to the 1850’s when petroleum and primary machine lubricant had declined to 1% by 1855, as South Wales’s exports in 1832 burned cleaner, were more plentiful and cheaper, especially if we have to include the cost of extracting ever more minerals. This does not meet the metric of more efficient or cheaper, especially if we have to create multiple backup systems to guarantee supply, so ultimately, we pay more for energy. Cheap energy (along with free markets and the rule of law) is vital for improving living standards. The more a nation’s wealth is devoted to energy expenses, the less is available for other endeavours and lowers the standard of living.

Redwood Materials, a former Tesla Engineers’ company, believe it will be the first to recycle enough anodes and cathodes for 1 million car batteries annually by 2025, demonstrating how difficult it is to recycle these batteries in a cost-effective manner. For reference, there are approximately 1.446 billion vehicles on the road worldwide, that need to be replaced. This is why the rush to transition to renewables is contentious. Politicians think it is a vote winner and they have not considered actual cost and the amount to be replaced. In his book – Power Hungry, energy journalist Robert Bryce made the point: “…if the world’s policymakers really want to quit using carbon-based fuels, then we will need to find the energy equivalent of 23.5 Saudi Arabia’s every day, and all of that energy must be carbon-free.”

The faster we rush to transition, the greater the costs to us and the impact on the earth as we dig it up, consume it and throw it away. Proposed restrictions on fossil fuel production, either by legislation, or by limiting the number of new wells that can be opened, restrict supply which leads to higher fossil fuel prices. And until viable solutions to recycle (which come with their own costs) and ways to increase the lifespan of renewables can be implemented efficiently, the price of energy can only go one way - UP.

If you want to help spread the uncensored truth...
There is NO climate emergency

1,100 eminent Scientists declare:
‘There is NO climate emergency’

By CLINTEL GROUP

CLIMATE science should be less political, while climate policies should be more scientific. In particular, scientists should emphasise that their modelling output is not the result of magic: computer models are human-made.

What comes out is fully dependent on what theoreticians and programmers have put in: hypotheses, assumptions, relationships, parameterisations, stability constraints, etc. Unfortunately, in mainstream climate science, most of this input is undeclared.

To believe the outcome of a climate model is to believe what the model makers have put in. This is precisely the problem of today’s climate discussion to which climate models are central. Climate science has degenerated into a discussion based on beliefs, not on sound, self-critical science. We should free ourselves from the naïve belief in immature climate models. In future, climate research must give significantly more emphasis to empirical science.

There is no climate emergency

A worldwide network of over 1,100 scientists and professionals has prepared this urgent message. Climate science should be less political, while climate policies should be more scientific. Scientists should openly address uncertainties and exaggerations in their predictions of global warming, while politicians should in their predictions of global uncertainties and exaggerations.

Scientists should openly address

that global warming is intensifying. The gap between the real world and the modelled world tells us that we are far from understanding climate change.

Climate policy relies on inadequate models
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If better approaches emerge, and they certainly will, we have ample time to reflect and re-adapt. The aim of any policy should be ‘prosperity for all’, reflecting and re-adapting.

Climate policy must respect scientific and economic realities. There is no climate emergency. Therefore, there is no cause for panic and alarm. We strongly oppose the harmful and unrealistic net-zero CO2 policy proposed for 2050.
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China builds cheap coal plants while West suffers energy crisis

By DARREN SMITH

China was responsible for more than half of the new coal power station capacity being built across the world in 2021, with 176 gigawatts of new coal-fired power station projects commenced.

China now has over 1,200 coal plants. This was a new record, even though its power stations were turned in 2021, despite the COP26 agreement for nearly every country to scale back their coal use. The amount of electricity generated from coal rose by 9% in 2021. As gas and oil become more expensive, Asian countries are giving themselves the economic advantage Western nations had in the 19th century. In recent decades, however, much of the West has dropped coal and gas in favour of wind turbines and solar panels, which are not sufficient to provide constant power for modern societies.

The number of coal power stations is actually growing in Asia, as new constructions more than offset the closure of old plants. India, South Korea and Malaysia also increased their coal capacity in 2021, according to the Global Energy Monitor.

Corporate media outlets such as New Scientist and The Guardian are only wringing their hands at the prospect that all of this natural, cheap energy production will raise the Earth’s temperature beyond the 1.5-degree figure agreed at the COP26 summit in Glasgow in 2021. Proof that a vague and unproven ideology means more to these publications than the average person’s general standard of living, which is clearly declining rapidly through these misguided but no doubt lucrative political choices.

It’s a mixed story. Everywhere outside of China, plans for new coal-fired power plants have been scaled back dramatically.” says one of the report’s authors, Lauri Myllyvirta at the Centre for Research on Energy and Clean Air. “However, Chinese firms have continued to announce new coal-fired power stations and there is very clear government backing for that. That’s a worrying sign.”

Those who have bought into climate change, formerly known as ‘the weather’ (over which we have no control), have clearly been led to believe so by a decades-long campaign from the establishment to convince them the impossibility of ‘saving the planet’ is of more importance than their own individual needs. When one is hungry, cold, sick or hopeless, how helpful will this dangerously misguided collective philosophy be?
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How to detox naturally
Easy methods to flush away those toxins

By LUCY DAVIES

Detox has become such a buzzword - a bit of a meaningless cliche, especially in January. What does it really mean and do we really need to do it?

SOME will always disagree, but in my view, given the toxic cocktail our world has become, and how disturbingly normalised and omnipresent it all is, it’s an absolute no-brainer.

From water to food, to clothing, cleaning products, cosmetics, pharma drugs, emf radiation, weird lines in the sky - toxins have infiltrated all areas of our lives. And as dire as it may sound, there really is no escape.

None of us want to be ill or feeling under par, but unfortunately we’ve been conditioned and manipulated over the last few generations into allowing the likes of government, media and pharmaceutical companies to tell us what’s best when it comes to our health and wellbeing.

Possibly the biggest mistake in the history of human evolution, given what’s currently unfolding. But thankfully there is much that can be done if, as ever, we look beyond the accepted norm and take matters into our own hands.

There is an art to detoxing, because it happens in two parts: first, toxins must be released from the cells; then they need to exit the body. The art is in the flow - like pouring water through a funnel - pour too fast and the water backs up and overflows. In detox terms, this would mean getting rid of one problem and causing another, and this is possibly why many people give up before they’ve really got going.

Mastering the art involves using some methods/products that release toxicity from the cells and others which ensure the body’s exit points are open and operating efficiently. Below are lists of both with a little information on each suggestion.

My intention here is to give ideas which may or may not resonate with you, which you can research further if you feel called to. To detox the cells:

**Limit your intake of toxins.**

Consider organic food, natural cleaning products & cosmetics. There are so many alternatives available now or you can even make your own to save on cost.

**Don’t suppress acute illnesses.** Your body knows what to do; e.g. a fever serves to thin the lymph to remove toxins & pathogens (the head can be kept cool with a flannel), and there are endless natural remedies to ease a multitude of symptoms & help the body release what it needs to.

Homeopathic pharmacies such as Helios sell various kits which you can then learn how to use for all kinds of first aid situations.

**Hydrate, hydrate, hydrate.** The cornerstone of good health; this means water (2 litres a day) but also electrolyte balance. Magnesium is hugely lacking in the soil & therefore pretty much in all of us. In my view & experience, magnesium is the most significant supplement for humans in today’s world. Also keeping the colon healthy with soothing, anti-inflammatory helpers such as aloe vera and linseed tea, as the colon determines much of our hydration level.

**Fasting/intermittent fasting.** Food is important, yet demands a great deal of energy to process. During fasting periods, the body can get on with metabolising and repairing.

**Plenty of sleep, and leave a few hours between eating and going to bed.**

**Listen to your body.** If you feel rubbish after consuming gluten/sugar/alcohol/coffee/dairy or anything else, you don’t have to wait for a professional to validate it for you - cut it out for a few weeks or months and see how you feel. We are generally becoming less tolerant to foods and drinks which are a challenge for the body to process, and leaving them out can often have a very powerful effect on our healing.

There are many, many supplements, herbs, homeopathic and other natural remedies to aid detox. I can’t list them all here, but a few of my favourites include turmeric (a potent anti-inflammatory), concentrated greens such as chlorella (highly cleansing - keeps grey hair at bay too!), zeolite clay (used internally or in the bath to attract toxins like a magnet and remove them from the body; great for mopping up radiation), zinc (fantastic adrenal and immune support; another important all-rounder), iodine (also great for radiation protection, thyroid health & general metabolism), and magnesium as mentioned above.

Once the toxins are released from the cells, to remove them from the body, look after these hardworking organs:

**Liver.** The workhorse of detoxing. Castor oil packs are absolutely fantastic and can be used regularly for maintenance. Herbs such as dandelion and milk thistle are helpful too, as are concentrated greens and juices; particularly celery, and a squeeze of lemon in water first thing.

**Kidneys.** Looking after the liver naturally protects the kidneys, as do hydration, electrolytes and pH balance. Also reducing stress. Fear is held in the kidneys and the adrenals sit on top of them, which release cortisol and adrenaline, associated with our flight or fight response.

**Lymph.** The lymphatic system is like a cleansing river running through the body. It needs to flow well, so skin brushing keeps it moving, as does exercise and a healthy body temperature.

**Lungs.** Many toxins are released through the breath, so it pays to breathe well. As obvious as it sounds, very few of us actually do it properly. Breathing also helps regulate the nervous system & hormonal balance. Castor oil packs are great for the lungs, and many people find salt pipes and neti pots helpful too.

**Blood.** Juices, particularly celery, help to cleanse the blood. Exercise is important for healthy circulation, as is efficient breathing for proper oxygen exchange.

**Skin.** Our largest organ and an easy way to remove toxins, as they bypass the internal organs. This is where baths come in; epsom salts (high in magnesium), Himalayan salts, clays & bicarbonate of soda to name a few. Also skin brushing to increase efficiency.

**Bowels.** The all-important final gateway of the internal organs. We really don’t want toxins sticking around in here too long as they’ll be reabsorbed. Fibre is what we hear about the most, and it is important, although hydration is the key. Enemas and colonics can also be invaluable here, and I can’t write about detoxing without including the words ‘urine therapy’. I’m well aware these last two suggestions won’t float everyone’s boat, so on that note I’ll leave it there!

Be sure to read up on any of the above that interest you before jumping in feet first.

For further reading, I would highly recommend Cellular Awakening, by Barbara Wren.

By Lucy Davies, naturopathy nutritionist www.lucydaviesnn.com
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