

THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM: COVID 2025

AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT SUPPORTS COVID 2.0

Gain-of-function research for the next planned pandemic is underway in Australia

By DR PHILLIP ALTMAN

Dr Phillip Altman BPharm (Hons), MSc, PhD is a pharmacologist, a Clinical Trial and Drug Regulatory Affairs senior industry pharmaceutical consultant in drug research and regulatory approval with over 40 years' experience.

It has finally been admitted by the US CIA, after 4 years, that the Covid pandemic was caused by a man-made virus from the Wuhan Institute of Virology. We now know Anthony Fauci, Peter Daszak and associated criminals conspired to engineer the virus SARS-CoV-2 to make it more pathogenic using banned gain-of-function research. The funding was covered up and in part was made through the now discredited USAID [United States Agency for International Development] program under cover of assisting developing countries. Fauci lied numerous times under oath at US Congressional hearings but that is no bother to him as he is now covered by Joe Biden's pardon back to 2014 when gain-of-function began according to emails, contracts, money transfers etc. The Covid pandemic would never have occurred without gain-of-function research which enabled this laboratory virus to come into existence. It goes without saying that if gain-of-function research continues, there will certainly be another pandemic, perhaps even worse than SARS-CoV-2. More and more credible authorities are now referring to SARS-CoV-2 as a bioweapon. They knew full well the damage it could cause. I alerted my readers to gain-

of-function research previously in a Substack on 9 June 2024 and indicated at that time that Australia was involved. Now, an important Substack dated 9 January by ExcessDeathsAU



Illustration by Frank Maiorana

Expert Panel as gain-of-function research 'of particular concern.' Ten of the projects were funded by NHMRC and 8 were conducted by

Shame on them all! Why has gain-of-function research not been banned? Is it the intention to depopulate or control populations or perhaps both? The Australian Government is continuing with gain-of-function research knowing full well that all BSL4 (biological safety laboratory Level 4) labs have recorded leaks! So, it is guaranteed that gain-of-function will be responsible for another pandemic. But, of course, any future pandemic can be plausibly explained by an "inadvertent leak" and an

"The Australian Government is continuing with gain-of-function research knowing full well that all BSL4 (biological safety laboratory Level 4) labs have recorded leaks!"

[Australia's gain-of-function research as reported by the NHMRC in 2022] details Australian involvement in dangerous gain-of-function

research and states: "Over the last 10 years, the Australian Government has funded or conducted 17 research projects that were identified by the

the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO); one project was both funded by NHMRC and conducted by CSIRO."

intentional release would be almost impossible to prove.

See Dr Phillip Altman's Substack: <https://phillipaltman.substack.com/>

Health Authorities Hold No Evidence for Claim That Covid Vaccines Do Not Alter DNA

By REBEKAH BARNETT

Rebekah Barnett is a Western Australia journalist.

Last year, Australia's drug regulator, the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) was unable to provide any evidence for its claim that "there is no accepted science that shows any alteration of the human genome" related to Covid vaccination when this information was requested under Freedom of Information (FOI). More recently, US-based public health watchdog Informed Consent Action Network (ICAN) reported that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) doesn't hold any evidence for its safety claims on this issue either. The CDC states on its website that, "COVID-19

vaccines do not change or interact with your DNA in any way."

However, when law firm Siri & Glimstad requested "all documents sufficient to support" this claim from the US health agency under Freedom of Information (FOI), the CDC advised that no records had been found. Moreover, in its response to managing partner Aaron Siri, the CDC stated, "Subject matter experts have informed us that it is not biologically possible for the vaccines to change or interact with an individual's DNA." Siri followed up with another FOI request to ascertain who exactly these subject matter experts were. The CDC went to excessive lengths to block this request.

ICAN reported on the exchange, "First, CDC sent documents that

were completely redacted. ICAN appealed and was then forced to sue when CDC did not respond. Finally, after a year of legal battles, ICAN has obtained the unredacted forms filled out by the "experts" in response to our original FOIA request." These unredacted documents revealed that the experts upon whose advice the CDC relied on were not qualified to make the claim that "it is not biologically possible for the vaccines to change or interact with an individual's DNA."

One of the experts, Dr John Su, of the CDC Immunization Safety Office (ISO) wrote that, "The requested information is outside of ISO's purview. Documents requested involve demonstration of molecular and/or atomic forces that are beyond the scope

of ISO to document." Another expert consulted on the request, a public health analyst with a master's in public health and presumably lacking specialised expertise in genetics or "molecular and/or atomic forces" wrote, without any sources or citation, "This request is not biologically possible." However, in internal emails recently released under FOI, members of the Australian drug regulator's Scientific Evaluation and Pharmacovigilance Branches were caught on record acknowledging that integration of Covid mRNA vaccine elements into the human genome is biologically possible.

Furthermore, TGA personnel acknowledged amongst themselves that the SV40 enhancer/promoter found in the Pfizer vaccine can

drag DNA into the nucleus of cells. And, TGA staff acknowledged the speculative nature of regulators and health agencies' claims that "there is no evidence of Covid vaccines integrating into the human genome," with one admitting that, "I am unaware of studies which have tested this." In other words, there is no evidence because government agencies and pharmaceutical companies have not run any trials looking for it.

For more on Rebekah's work see Dystopian Down Under <https://news.rebekahbarnett.com.au> and her Substack at <https://substack.com/@rebekahbarnett>

To find out more see also Port Hedland Council Motion at www.porthedlandmotion.info

A NSW Cancer Cluster Causes Concern

What Are the Chances?

By DR JULIE SLADDEN

Julie Sladden is a doctor (retired) and writer with over 25 years clinical experience across multiple disciplines in Australia and the UK. Dr Sladden is also a councillor for West Tamar in Tasmania.

The precautionary principle is a cornerstone of medicine, prioritising patient safety by ensuring that medical decisions err on the side of caution rather than risk causing harm. History is filled with examples of government interventions that, despite good intentions, have led to unintended consequences. A prime example is the COVID-19 lockdowns imposed on healthy populations, which has been estimated to have incurred costs at least 68 times greater than the benefits they delivered.

Similarly, new therapeutics must be rigorously scrutinised and actively monitored to ensure they do more good than harm. Regulators claim that the COVID-19 mRNA vaccines are among the most closely examined medical products in history. However, surveillance systems around the globe have reported record numbers of adverse events, with scientists, doctors, and the public continuing to raise concerns.

A Troubling Discovery in NSW
In a recent interview with Russell Broadbent MP, freedom of information and data researcher Sharon Cousins shared alarming



Photo by pexels-anttarazevich

findings regarding a cluster of 18 cancer cases occurring at a single location in New South Wales (NSW).

One particularly concerning revelation involved two nurses who developed breast cancer and had received doses from the same vaccine batch (FN0565). This batch was recently tested by Dr. David Speicher, who reported alarmingly high levels of residual DNA contamination. One of the affected nurses, Belinda, also joined the interview. 'I knew Belinda from two years ago when she attended a meeting in Wollongong, where we discussed vaccine batch numbers and the TGA's Database of Adverse Event Notifications (DAEN),' said Cousins. 'Belinda was already battling breast cancer, and it turns out she received a dose from batch FN0565 - the same batch that was later sent to Canada and found to contain DNA contamination.'

One particularly concerning revelation involved two nurses who developed breast cancer and had received doses from the same vaccine batch (FN0565).

In September 2024, Dr. Speicher's findings were sent to the Prime Minister and Health Minister in a formal letter co-signed by highly credentialed professionals and world-renowned scientists.

A Failure of Active Surveillance

These findings raise significant concerns because the COVID-19 mRNA vaccines were granted provisional approval without medium or long-term safety data. Additionally, no genotoxicity

(DNA damage) or carcinogenicity (cancer risk) testing was conducted before approval.

One would expect regulators to actively monitor for anomalies such as cancer clusters, yet there is no evidence of proactive surveillance. Instead, authorities appear to rely on a passive surveillance system, which involves long delays between adverse events and their detection.

Meanwhile, emails released under Freedom of

Information (FOI) reveal that the TGA (Therapeutic Goods Administration) is aware of the theoretical risks. Internal discussions acknowledge that: ■ "Foreign DNA can integrate into chromosomal DNA." ■ "The SV40 enhancer region can promote nuclear transport of DNA."

While these risks are officially considered low, this contradicts the TGA's earlier assertions that DNA integration is impossible. Independent research by Kevin McKernan and Phillip Buckhaults has already demonstrated DNA integration of COVID-19 vaccines into human cells in separate laboratory studies. Similarly, oncogenic (cancer-causing) and genomic (gene-altering) risks are acknowledged but dismissed as "highly unlikely."

The Need for Caution

While it is essential to recognise that correlation does not equal causation, the precautionary principle demands rigorous scrutiny of unintended consequences whenever a new medical product is introduced. Given the increasing reports of adverse events and the emerging evidence of potential risks, a thorough and independent investigation into these findings is not just warranted - it is imperative.

Video interview available at <https://xc.com/status/1866357119186043093>
Follow Dr Sladden on X: @DrJulieSladden

The Cape Byron Lighthouse Declaration

By DR PAUL OOSTERHUIS MBBS FANZCA

In September 2021, my 32-year career as a Senior Specialist in Anaesthesia and Critical Care came to an abrupt halt when my license to practice was suspended. The reason? Facebook posts where I challenged the government's lockdowns and mask mandates, citing a lack of evidence, while advocating for natural immunity, vitamin D, and early treatments like Zinc, Ivermectin, and Hydroxychloroquine. I also raised alarms about the dangers and inefficacy, sometimes even negative efficacy, of the synthetic gene technology. Dissident practitioners like me faced censorship, legal harassment, bullying, and intimidation from regulators. In response, on January 22, 2023,

I joined Dr. Robert Brennan and Ros Nealon-Cook to launch the Cape Byron Lighthouse Declaration, a bold global cry of "ENOUGH!" demanding an end to censorship, the restoration of informed consent, the abolition of mandates and unethical coercion, and the return of bodily autonomy and practitioner-patient independence.

Two years later, on 22 January 2025, founders and supporters of the Declaration gathered in Byron Bay, New South Wales, to celebrate its second anniversary. As we did at its inception, we assembled at the Cape Byron Lighthouse before dawn, witnessing a stunning sunrise from Australia's easternmost point.

The movement, chronicled at lighthousedeclaration.org, has flourished since 2023. Alongside the original trio, new



Photo courtesy of Dr Paul Oosterhuis

The Cape Byron Lighthouse Declaration, a bold global cry of "ENOUGH!" demanding an end to censorship, the restoration of informed consent, the abolition of mandates and unethical coercion, and the return of bodily autonomy and practitioner-patient independence.

"Lighthouse Keepers" from across the globe have joined, including health practitioners who risked their careers to speak out: Dr. Sally Price from Western Australia, and Dr. Peter McCullough from the USA, Dr. Rosamond Jones from the UK, Dr. Anne McCluskey from Ireland, and Fleur Borelli from the UK via video link.

Soon, "Torch Bearers" like economist Professor Gigi Foster will bolster the cause, illuminating ethical paths in broader society. What began as a defiant stand has grown into a thriving global community of courageous practitioners and supporters united in the mission to "Make Ethics Great Again".

For more information visit <https://lighthousedeclaration.org>